Combining the Best in Triplex Recognition: Synthesis and Nucleic Acid Binding of a BQQ–Neomycin Conjugate
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Recognition of duplex DNA by oligonucleotides (major groove binders—DNA triple helices) is a promising approach to a chemical solution for DNA recognition.1 Triple helix formation has been the focus of considerable interest because of possible applications in developing new molecular biology tools as well as therapeutic agents, and because of the possible relevance of H-DNA structures in biological systems.2–5 Several intercalators as well as various DNA minor groove ligands have been shown to bind to DNA triple helices.4,6,7 Intercalators usually stabilize to a greater extent triple helices containing T-A-T triplets, whereas minor groove binders usually destabilize triplexes.8 In our quest for new ligands for triple helix stabilization, we have investigated aminoglycoside antibiotics (neomycin, Scheme 1).9–11

The stabilization of the poly(dA)-2poly(dT), poly(rA)-2poly(rU),9 a mixed base DNA 22mer,11 and DNA/RNA hybrid duplex/triple helices10 by neomycin has recently been reported by us. Among all of the aminoglycosides, neomycin was shown to be the most effective triplex groove binder that remarkably stabilized DNA and RNA triple helices with little effect on the double helices. This work has complemented the success in the development of triplex specific intercalators in the past decade, among which the penta-cyclic BQQ-based structures have been presented as one of the best in triplex binding selectivity and potency.7,12 We have recently reported that a pyrene–neomycin conjugate can stabilize poly(dA)-2poly(dT) triplex much more effectively and specifically than neomycin or pyrene at low concentrations.13 Because pyrene by itself is not a potent intercalator (but a transient one), we hypothesized that by replacing pyrene with a more specific triplex binding intercalator such as BQQ,7 new classes of molecules with high triplex affinity can be identified. A BQQ–neomycin conjugate has therefore been synthesized by forming a thiourea linkage between neomycin and benzo[3,4-b]quinolizine derivative (BQQ) (Scheme 1). We report that the BQQ–neomycin conjugate is more potent in stabilizing DNA triple helices than neomycin, BQQ, or a combination of both.

The following assumptions were made in the design of the conjugate: The amino groups on rings I, II, and IV of neomycin are necessary in stabilizing and in recognizing the triplex groove (aminoglycosides without any of these amines do not stabilize triplexes as efficiently).11 The 5′-OH on ring III was thus chosen to provide the linkage to the intercalating unit. The intercalator (Scheme 1, BQQ-amine12) was linked to neomycin isothiocyanate 3 (prepared in four steps from neomycin)14 in the presence of 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) as a catalyst. The synthesis rests on the selective conversion of ring III 5′-OH of neomycin into a good leaving group (TIBS-2,4,6-trisopropylbenzenesulfonyl) as previously reported by Tor.15 The displacement of TIBS by aminoethanethiol, conversion into isothiocyanate, followed by coupling with the primary amine in BQQ,12 and deprotection with HCl gives the target conjugate 4 in good yields (Scheme 1).
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Figure 1. Scheme 1

Reagents and conditions: (a) (Boc)₂O, DMF, H₂O, Et₃N, 60 °C, 5 h, 60%; (b) 2,4,6-trisopropylbenzenesulfonyl chloride, pyridine, room temperature, 40 h, 50%; (c) HSCH₂CH₂NH₂, NaOEt/EtOH, room temperature, 18 h, 50%; (d) 1,1′-thiocarbonyldi-2(1H)-pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature, overnight, 86%; (e) BQQ, DMF, DMAP, room temperature, 7 h, 83%; (f) 4 M HCl/dioxane, HSCH₂CH₂SH, room temperature, 5 min, 58%.
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Figure 1. Competition dialysis of various nucleic acids with 1 μM BQQ–neomycin. 180 μL of different nucleic acids (75 μM per monomeric unit of each polymer) was dialyzed with 400 mL of 1 μM BQQ–neomycin (150 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10 mM sodium cacodylate, pH 6.8) solution for 72 h. At the end of dialysis, 150 μL of nucleic acid samples was carefully removed and placed in microfuge tubes, and the samples were taken to a final concentration of 1% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). Each mixture was allowed to equilibrate for 2 h. Each nucleic acid solution was then further diluted to an overall volume of 4 mL by BPES buffer. The concentration of BQQ–neomycin after dialysis was determined by fluorescence (FluoroMax-3).16

To ascertain the selectivity of this novel conjugate, we used the competition dialysis method developed by Chaires.16 Figure 1 shows competition dialysis results obtained for various nucleic acids with BQQ–neomycin. No binding is observed with single-stranded DNA or RNA. Duplex DNA only weakly binds to BQQ–neomycin as compared to triplex. BQQ–neomycin binding to triplex DNA is greater than to duplex DNA or single strands. RNA triplex similarly
has a higher affinity for the drug than does RNA duplex, even higher than the 16S ribosomal RNA A-site, the natural target for aminoglycosides.

Thermal denaturation of complex I (dA$_{22}$-2dT$_{22}$) was then studied [using a 2:1 pyrimidine (dT$_{22}$) to purine (dA$_{22}$) strand ratio] by UV and CD spectroscopy. Figure 2a shows the UV melting profiles of this 2:1 strand mixture I in the presence of 4 mM neomycin, BQQ, and BQQ–neomycin. In the absence of ligand, the melting profile of the mixture is monophasic, which represents the duplex dissociation at $T_{m(2,2)} = 49^\circ\text{C}$. No triplex transition is observed. In the presence of 4 mM BQQ–neomycin, a new transition emerges at $-80^\circ\text{C}$. From UV and CD melts (Figure 2b), this new transition was identified as the dissociation of triplex dA$_{22}$-2dT$_{22}$ directly to single strands. In the presence of 4 mM BQQ–neomycin, the duplex melts at $49^\circ\text{C}$, and the triplex melts at $80^\circ\text{C}$, as was previously observed with a few other triplex specific intercalators (coralyne). A negative CD (260 nm) transition at $47^\circ\text{C}$ represents duplex dissociation, while a positive transition at $80^\circ\text{C}$ represents triplex dissociation. A similar melting profile is obtained when dA$_{22}$ and dT$_{22}$ are present in a 1:1 ratio, suggesting that disproportionation of some of the duplex to the triplex occurs in the presence of the drug.

Additionally, the transition at $80^\circ\text{C}$ shows pronounced hysteresis (indicative of slow triplex annealing), whereas the duplex melting and annealing transitions overlap (Supporting Information). This suggests that as little as 4 mM of the ligand leads to a $T_{m(2,2)}$ of $>60^\circ\text{C}$ (assuming a triplex $T_{m}$ of $<10^\circ\text{C}$ in the absence of ligand). BQQ alone shows triplex melt at $65^\circ\text{C}$, whereas neomycin is unable to induce the triplex under these low concentrations. At higher BQQ–neomycin concentrations, duplex and triplex transitions merge to a $T_{m(3,1)}$ transition.

The UV melting of a mixed base triplex II (Figure 3) was then monitored at 260, 280, and 284 nm. Melting curves of triplex II in the presence of different ligands (BQQ, BQQ–neomycin, and neomycin) at 8 mM concentration are shown in Figure 3. The melting profiles are clearly biphasic, as is typically observed with this triplex. Without any ligand, the triplex melts at $30^\circ\text{C}$, and the duplex melts at $56^\circ\text{C}$. In the presence of 8 mM BQQ (Figure 3b,d), a broad triplex melt is observed from $32^\circ\text{C}$ upward.

In the presence of 8 mM BQQ–neomycin, the melting profile exhibits a broad transition before the duplex, but a much sharper transition after the duplex melt ($T_{m(3,1)} = 71^\circ\text{C}$), with the duplex transition at $56^\circ\text{C}$ (similar to what is observed with dA$_{22}$-2dT$_{22}$ triplex). BQQ–neomycin stabilizes A-T as well as C-G:C+C mixed base triplex DNAs with drug induced triplex stabilization occurring.

**Figure 2.** (A) UV melting profile of a 2:1 strand mixture I (dA$_{22}$-2dT$_{22}$) at 260 nm with 150 mM KCl, 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 6.8: (a) 4 mM BQQ, (b) 4 mM neomycin, (c) 4 mM neomycin + 4 mM BQQ, (d) no ligand, (e) 4 mM BQQ–neomycin. (B) CD melting profile (right) of dA$_{22}$-2dT$_{22}$ at 260 nm in the presence of 150 mM KCl, 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 6.8. dA$_{22}$ = 1 mM/stand; dT$_{22}$ = 2 mM/stand; [BQQ–neomycin] = 4 mM.

**Figure 3.** (Left) UV melting profiles of 22mer triplex II (shown below) at 260 nm in the presence of 150 mM KCl, 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 6.8: (a) no ligand, (b) 8 mM BQQ, (c) 8 mM neomycin, (d) 8 mM neomycin + 8 mM BQQ, (e) 8 mM BQQ–neomycin, (f) 10 mM BQQ–neomycin. dR$_{22}$ = dY$_{22}$ = dT$_{22}$ = 1 mM/stand. (Right) A computer model of BQQ–neomycin docked in a T-A-T DNA triplex. dR$_{22}$: 5′d(AAGAGAGAGAGAGAAAGAA)′3′. dY$_{22}$: 3′dTTCCTCCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTC′5′. dX$_{22}$: 5′dTTCCTCCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTC′5′.
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(18) dA$_{22}$-2dT$_{22}$ triplex does not form below 300 mM NaCl in the absence of the drug.
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