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A B S T R A C T

Calorimetric and fluorescence techniques were used to characterize the binding of aminoglycoside-
neomycin, paromomycin, and ribostamycin, with 5’-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3’ intramolecular DNA triplex
(x = hexaethylene glycol) and poly(dA):2poly(dT) triplex. Our results demonstrate the following features: (1) UV thermal analysis reveals that the Tm for triplex decreases with increasing pH value in the presence of neomycin, while the Tm for the duplex remains unchanged. (2) The binding affinity of neomycin decreases with increased pH, although there is an increase in observed binding enthalpy. (3) ITC studies conducted in two buffers (sodium cacodylate and MOPS) yield the number of protonated drug amino groups (Δn) as 0.29 and 0.40 for neomycin and paromomycin interaction with 5’-dA12-x-dT12-x-
dT12-3’, respectively. (4) The specific heat capacity change (ΔCp) determined by ITC studies is negative, with more negative values at lower salt concentrations. From 100 mM to 250 mM KCl, the ΔCp ranges from −402 to −60 cal/(mol K) for neomycin. At pH 5.5, a more positive ΔCp is observed, with a value of −98 cal/(mol K) at 100 mM KCl. ΔCp is not significantly affected by ionic strength. (5) Salt dependence studies reveal that there are at least three amino groups of neomycin participating in the electrostatic interactions with the triplex. (6) FID studies using thiazole orange were used to derive the AC50 (aminoglycoside concentration needed to displace 50% of the dye from the triplex) values. Neomycin shows a seven fold higher affinity than paromomycin and eleven fold higher affinity than ribostamycin at pH 6.8. (7) Modeling studies, consistent with UV and ITC results, show the importance of an additional positive charge in triplex recognition by neomycin. The modeling and thermodynamic studies indicate that neomycin binding to the DNA triplex depends upon significant contributions from charge as well as shape complementarity of the drug to the DNA triplex Watson–Hoogsteen groove.

© 2010 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Considerable attention has been given to oligonucleotide-directed triple helix formation of nucleic acids [1–3] due to its possible regulatory role in vivo [4] and its potential applications in medicine and biotechnology [5,6]. In the intermolecular triplex system, the double helix associates with a single-strand triple helix-forming oligonucleotide (TFO) via Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds in the major groove. Because TFOS bind in the major groove of duplex DNA, they can be used as transcription inhibition agents [7]. TFOS have been used to study the molecular mechanism of triplex-mediated TCR (transcription-coupled repair) in HeLa nuclear extracts, and the formation of a triplex induced much stronger DNA repair activity in promoter-containing plasmids [8]. A 17-mer homopyrimidine oligonucleotide has been shown to bind to the major groove of SV40 DNA, inhibiting enzymatic cleavage [9]. Catapano has shown the suitability of a triplex based approach using phosphorothioate-linked oligos in targeting the C-myc and Ets-2 transcription factors [10–12]. A number of reviews on the potential of DNA triplex in DNA targeted diagnostics and drugs have appeared in the recent literature [13–18].

The triplex structure contains two motifs: purine and pyrimidine (Scheme 1). In the purine motif, the homopurine third strand binds antiparallel to the purine strand of the duplex by reverse-Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds [19–21]. In the pyrimidine motif, the third strand composed of pyrimidine bases binds parallel to the purine strand of the Watson–Crick duplex by forming Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds. However, in the intramolecular triplex, first found to exist in biological systems in 1986 [22], triplex formation is different from that of intermolecular triplex. In this case, the homopurine–homopyrimidine mirror repeats within the DNA.
duplex denature to allow one strand to fold back, forming Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds with the adjacent DNA duplex sequence. The intramolecular triplex, known also as H-DNA [21], is favored under negative DNA supercoiling conditions [23], acidic solutions, or in the presence of divalent cations [24]. The intramolecular triplex has also been reported to have an effect on the transcriptional regulation and replication in vivo [25–27]. H-DNA, found in Escherichia coli and eukaryotic cells [28–30], is found in promoter regions and around recombination hot spots [31]. Therefore, it likely plays a regulatory role in gene expression [32], recombination [33], and in transcription of human viruses [34]. H-DNA, found in Escherichia coli and eukaryotic cells [28–30], is found in promoter regions and around recombination hot spots [31].

However, the DNA triplex is not as stable as its corresponding duplex. The association of a third strand with a duplex ($k_{on} \approx 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$) is a much slower process than the association of two single strands in forming a duplex ($k_{on} \approx 10^{6} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$) [36–38]. Many studies have been carried out using small molecules to improve the thermal stability of DNA triple helices [39–49]. However, these ligands do not selectively bind to the DNA triple helices, and some even destabilize triple helices [38]. Our previous work has shown the remarkable ability of neomycin, its conjugates with other intercalators and other aminoglycosides (Scheme 2), to stabilize DNA, RNA and hybrid triple helices [38,39,50–53] and to even aid the delivery of PS-modified TFOs into cancer cells [54]. In particular, neomycin was found to induce the stabilization of a DNA:DNA:DNA [50] triple helix, a DNA:RNA hybrid duplex [39,55], as well as DNA:DNA:RNA: hybrid triple helices [39], significantly adding to the number of nucleic acids (other than RNA) that aminoglycosides have been shown to target [56]. Among the aminoglycosides studied, it was found that neomycin significantly stabilized DNA and RNA triple helices [38]. Herein, we report our observations regarding the role of aminoglycosides in the thermal stabilization of AT-rich triplexes and their interactions with aminoglycosides from a thermodynamic perspective.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Nucleic acids and aminoglycosides

The intramolecular triplex (5’-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3’) was synthesized using an Expedite Nucleic Acid Synthesis System (8909) with standard phosphoramidite chemistry. The oligomer was purified on an anion exchange HPLC column (Water Gen-Pak FAX, 4.6 × 100 mm) with a Tris HCl buffer system. Buffer A: 25 mM Tris HCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 10% MeCN (v/v%); Buffer B: Buffer A + 1 M NaCl. Conditions: 2–60% buffer B over buffer A during 0–16 min at a flow rate of 0.75 mL/min. Neomycin sulfate and ribostamycin were obtained from ICN Biomedicals Inc., and paromomycin sulfate was purchased from Sigma. Neomycin sulfate was protected with Boc anhydride, chromatographed on silica gel and deprotected to obtain pure neomycin B. All aminoglycosides were used without further purification. All the polynucleotides were purchased from GE Healthcare Amersham Bioscience. The concentrations of polymer solutions were determined spectrophotometrically using the following extinction coefficients (in units of mol of nucleotide or bp/L cm):

- $\varepsilon_{260} = 6000$ for poly(dA):poly(dt); $\varepsilon_{260} = 8520$ for poly(dt), $\varepsilon_{260} = 341,100$.

2.2. UV spectrophotometry

All UV absorbance experiments were conducted on a Cary 1E UV/vis spectrophotometer equipped with temperature programming. Quartz cells with a 1 cm path length were used for all the absorbance studies. For the triplex preparation, all samples were heated at 95 °C for 5 min, then cooled slowly to room temperature and allowed to incubate for 16 h at 4 °C prior to use. Absorbance vs. temperature profiles were recorded at 260 nm and 280 nm. The samples were heated from 5 °C to 95 °C at a rate of 0.2 °C/min, then
they were cooled to 10 °C at a rate of 5.0 °C/min. Data was recorded at 1° increments. For melting temperature (Tm) determination, two baselines (upper and lower) were drawn, corresponding to folded and unfolded forms, respectively. Thereafter a median line between the two baselines was drawn, and the crossing point between the experimental curve and median line was used for Tm. First derivatives of the denaturation curves were also obtained and were ±2 °C for all reported values in this paper. For all thermal denaturation experiments, DNA concentrations were 1 μM in strand for intramolecular triplex and 15 μM for poly(dA)·2poly(dT) triplex.

2.3. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

All isothermal calorimetric measurements were performed on a MicroCal VP-ITC (MicroCal, Inc., Northampton, MA) at 10 °C, except for temperature dependence experiments. In ITC studies, DNA concentrations were varied from 10 μM/base triplet to 50 μM/base triplet to obtain reliable signal intensity. In every titration, 5 or 10 μL aliquots of aminoglycoside solution were injected into a sample cell containing 1.42 mL of DNA triplex solution. After each experiment, a corresponding control experiment was performed by titrating the same drug solution into experimental buffer. The experimental curve and median line was used for Tm. First derivatives of the denaturation curves were also obtained and were ±2 °C for all reported values in this paper. For all thermal denaturation experiments, DNA concentrations were 1 μM in strand for intramolecular triplex and 15 μM for poly(dA)·2poly(dT) triplex.

2.4. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

The DNA melting temperature and melting enthalpy changes in the absence of drug were obtained using a MicroCal VP-DSC (MicroCal, Inc., Northampton, MA). The scan rate was 1 °C/min, and the operating temperature range was 5 °C–95 °C. After each DSC experiment, a corresponding control experiment was conducted with only sample buffer in the sample cell. The corrected DSC profile was obtained by subtracting the control data from the sample data. The enthalpy changes for the melting of triplex in the absence of drug (ΔHcal) were calculated by integrating the area under the heat capacity curves using Origin version 5.0.

2.5. Circular Dichroism Spectropolarimetry (CD)

All CD experiments were conducted at 10 °C on a JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter equipped with a thermoelectrically-controlled cell holder. A quartz cell with a 1 cm path length was used in all CD studies. CD spectra were recorded as an average of 3 scans from 300 nm to 200 nm in 0.1 nm increments. In isothermal CD titration experiments, small aliquots of concentrated drug solutions were added to a solution of DNA, inverted twice, and allowed to equilibrate for at least 10 min prior to scanning. In each CD titration, small aliquots (0.6–40 μL) of a concentrated aminoglycoside solution (500 μM) were added to a 2 mL solution of DNA triplex. The initial DNA solution was allowed to equilibrate for at least 30 min prior to the first addition of drug.

2.6. Fluorescence intercalator displacement assay (FID)

FID assay was done using a Photon Technology International instrument (Lawrenceville, NJ) at 10 °C. A 2 mL quartz cuvette was filled with sodium cacodylate buffer (10 mM SC, 150 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 6.8, 5.5) and thiazole orange (700 nM final concentration) was added. Thiazole orange was excited at 504 nm and the emission was recorded from 520 to 600 nm. The triplex deoxynucleotide hairpin 5’-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3’ was added (100 nM in strand final concentration) and the fluorescence was measured again and normalized to 100% relative fluorescence. A concentrated solution of compound, aminoglycoside, (100 μM—10 mM) was added, and the fluorescence was measured after 5 min of incubation at 10 °C. The addition of compound was continued until the fluorescence reached saturation. For all titrations, final concentrations were corrected for dilution (less than 5% of the total volume).

The solution of poly(dA)·2poly(dT) was incubated with thiazole orange for 30 min prior to use for FID titration. Each well of 96-well plate was loaded with poly(dA)·2poly(dT) solution (200 μL each). A concentrated solution of compound, aminoglycoside, (9.35 μM–935 μM) was added, and the fluorescence was measured after 5 min of incubation. The 96-well plate was read in triplicate on Carry eclipse plate reader fluorometer with advanced reads software (ex. 504, em. 532 nm, cutoff filter at 430–1100 nm). (No aminoglycoside = 100% fluorescence, no DNA = 0% fluorescence). Fluorescence readings are reported as % fluorescence relative to control wells.

2.7. Molecular modeling

The structures of the dA10·2dT10 triplex, neomycin, and paromomycin structure have been built and optimized with MacroModel program[57]using the AMBER* force field [58,59]. The all atom AMBER* force field was used since it reproduces X-ray and NMR-derived DNA structures. The continuum GB/SA model of water [60,61], as implemented in MacroModel, has been used in all
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Study of KCl on the melting temperature of AT-rich triplexes

It has been previously demonstrated that at 260 nm both Hoogsteen (HS) and Watson–Crick (WC) transitions can be observed [66]. It has also been reported that at 280 nm both hyperchromic and hypochromic effects are observed, due to duplex denaturation [66]. In the absence of KCl, a monophasic transition is observed, due to duplex denaturation. The structure of the dA10·dT10·dA10 triplex was built starting from the experimental (NMR) solution structure of related DNA triplex [62,63]. In the absence of KCl, the transition was reoptimized to within a gradient of 0.05 kJ/(mol Å) or lower. In the next step, all the restrictions were removed except the movement of ring atoms of the terminal bases, and the structure was optimized to a gradient of 0.05 kJ/(mol Å) or lower. To test the stability of the complex, the low energy complexes were submitted to 500 ps MD simulation using reported procedures (stochastic dynamics, constant temperature of 300 K, with coupling constant of 0.2 ps, and a time step of 1.0 fs) [66]. The SHAKE procedure was used for all bonds. Electrostatic potential was generated from viewerLite 5.0 for windows (Accelrys Inc., CA, USA) using Gasteiger charges.

3.2. UV denaturation of 5'-dA12-x·dT12-x·dT12-3' triplex

Fig. 1a shows the melting temperature increase ($\Delta T_m$) with respect to the KCl concentration. The increase of KCl concentration from 50 mM to 2 M resulted in a 55 °C increase in the HS transition, with a corresponding increase in the WC transition of 25 °C in the absence of neomycin. However, in the presence of neomycin (rbd:1.5, rbd = the ratio of base triplet to drug), KCl exhibited a smaller effect on the HS transition. As shown in Fig. 1a, both the duplex (when the KCl concentration is below 50 mM) and the triplex (when the ionic strength is below 150 mM) were destabilized by the addition of KCl in the presence of neomycin, with a 20 °C decrease in the HS transition and only a 4 °C decrease in the WC transition. When the ionic strength was increased above 50 mM for the duplex or 150 mM for the triplex, the stability of both structures increased. The observation of destabilization of both duplex and triplex by added KCl is likely due to the competitive binding of neomycin to DNA. With increasing K+ concentration, the apparent affinity of neomycin for duplex and triplex decreases, resulting in a decrease in duplex and triplex stabilization by neomycin. Since the interaction of neomycin with the triplex groove will be more sensitive to competition with added electrolytes than the interaction of neomycin with the duplex (due to a higher negative potential—three negatively charged backbones for triplex vs. two for duplex), the effects are larger for the triplex. The experiment in question is a thermal denaturation of DNA and the effect of the drug is being monitored indirectly by looking at the stability of the DNA. Since the triplex melts at a lower temperature than the duplex, temperature dependence of drug binding likely affects the changes in duplex and triplex $T_m$ as well.

The phase diagram (Fig. 1b) also reveals three different phases in the triplex melting process. In Region I, the solution contained only single strands of dA12 and dT12; in Region III, the triplex was formed completely; while Region II represents the coexistence of duplex dA12·dT12 and single strand dT12. The transition lines meet when the concentration of KCl was above 1200 mM (triple point), and together, they formed the boundary between Region I and Region III. Similar results can be seen for the triplex poly(dA)·2poly(dT) (see supporting information Fig. 7). Increased ionic strength also increased the thermal stability of triplex, but with less effect on the duplex as shown in Fig. 1c.

Triplex thermal stability at a saturated amount of neomycin was investigated; the results are shown in Fig. 1d. In this study, the rbd ratio used were 6.2, 6.8, 5.9 and 5.8 under 100, 150, 200, and 250 mM KCl, respectively. The comparison of the stabilization effect of neomycin on intramolecular and polynucleotide triplex lead to the following conclusions: (1) At low ionic strengths (up to 150 mM KCl), the intramolecular triplex is more stable than the intermolecular polynucleotide triplex in the absence of neomycin, most probably because of the vicinity of three strands held by a linker (Fig. 1). When the KCl concentration was increased above 150 mM, the stabilization effect of the salt on the polynucleotide is much more pronounced. (2) Under the same ionic strength, neomycin stabilized the poly(dA)·2poly(dT) triplex much more significantly than the 5'-dA12-x·dT12-x·dT12-3' triplex, with a 31.5 °C increase in $T_m$ for poly(dA)·2poly(dT) (rbd of 6.2) (Fig. 1d) but only a 11.0 °C increase for 5'-dA12-x·dT12-x·dT12-3' triplex at a much higher concentration of neomycin (rbd of 1.5) (Fig. 1b). (3) For both poly(dA)·2poly(dT) and 5'-dA12-x·dT12-x·dT12-3' triplex, the stability of duplex was relatively unchanged in the absence or presence of neomycin or any aminoglycoside (Figs. 1a and 2). (4) There was no significant effect of neomycin on the stability of triplex at ionic strength above 150 mM (Fig. 1).
Fig. 1. (a) Plots of $\Delta T_{m3\rightarrow2}$ and $\Delta T_{m2\rightarrow1}$ of the 5'-dA$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-3' triplex as a function of increasing KCl with or without 8 $\mu$M neomycin. (b) Phase diagram of $T_m$ as a function of $\log [K^+]$ for 5'-dA$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-3' triplex with or without 8 $\mu$M neomycin. The data points represent the melting temperature. Each phase labeled as I, II, and III refers to the single strand, duplex and triplex structural states, respectively, that DNA adopts. (c) UV melting profile of poly(dA)$_2$poly(dT) at various KCl. (d) A bar graph of triplex melting temperature increase ($\Delta T_m$) of poly(dA)$_2$poly(dT) at saturated amount of neomycin. Buffer conditions: 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and pH 6.8. DNA triplex concentration was 1 $\mu$M/strand for oligomer and 15 $\mu$M/base triplet for polymer.

Fig. 2. (a) UV melting profiles of 5'-dA$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-3' triplex at 260 nm in the presence of aminoglycosides. From left to right, the UV melting profiles correspond to 0 $\mu$M drug, 8 $\mu$M ribostamycin, paromomycin and neomycin respectively. (b) A plot of $\Delta T_{m3\rightarrow2}$ of 5'-dA$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-3' triplex as a function of increasing $r_{db}$ values [$r_{db}$ = drug/base triplet ratio]. Buffer conditions: 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, 100 mM KCl, and pH 7.2. DNA triplex concentration was 1 $\mu$M/strand.
3.3. Study of aminoglycosides on the thermal stability of AT-rich triplexes

To investigate the stabilization effect of different aminoglycosides on the intramolecular triplex, the UV melting studies were conducted in the presence of three aminoglycosides (neomycin, paromomycin and ribostamycin). As shown in Fig. 2a, the representative UV melting profiles (*r*db: 1.5) reveal the different abilities of these aminoglycosides to stabilize the intramolecular triplex. The melting temperatures for the 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ triplex increased in the presence of drugs at 100 mM KCl (Fig. 2a). However, there was no effect observed on the thermal stability of the corresponding duplex (the melting temperature remained around 57 °C), suggesting that these aminoglycosides selectively stabilized the intramolecular triplex.

As shown in Fig. 2a, among the three aminoglycosides studied here, neomycin was found to be the most effective in enhancing the thermal stability of the intramolecular triplex, with an 11 °C increase in the melting temperature at the saturated *r*db studied; paromomycin exhibited a 6 °C lower melting temperature than neomycin; no significant change in the melting temperature was observed for the triplex in the presence of ribostamycin, as shown in Fig. 2b. This observation is consistent with previous studies showing that neomycin stabilizes the poly(dA) 2poly(dT) triplex most effectively and selectively among the aminoglycosides [38,50].

3.4. Study of pH on thermal stability of AT-rich triplexes

UV melting analysis showed the effect of pH on the stabilization of 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ triplex. These UV experiments were conducted at increments of 0.2 pH units, ranging from pH 5.5 to pH 8.0 for 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ (Fig. 3a). In the absence of neomycin, the melting temperature of the triplex (29 °C) and duplex (58 °C) remained unchanged over the pH range studied. However, in the presence of neomycin, the melting temperature of the triplex was found to be dependent on pH. With increasing pH values, the melting temperature decreased gradually (Fig. 3b). At a low pH (5.5–5.9), the triplex exhibited nearly monophasic transitions at 260 nm, suggesting a 3 → 1 transition while biphasic transitions are observed at pH above 5.9 (Fig. 3a). These results indicate that neomycin is more effective in stabilizing the intramolecular triplex at lower pH values as more amino groups of neomycin are protonated. However, an opposite trend was observed when studying poly(dA) 2poly(dT) triplex stability at pH 5.5 and 6.8 under the same salt conditions. The potency of neomycin in stabilizing the polynucleotide triplex at pH 5.5 was not as strong as at pH 6.8 (see supporting information Fig. 2). The thermal stability of poly(dA) 2poly(dT) (as monitored by Δ*T*m*2poly(dT)) was raised by neomycin (*r*db 6.2) by approximately 31.5 °C at pH 6.8, but only 8.5 °C at pH 5.5. The reason for this difference observed between the intramolecular triplex 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ and intermolecular triplex poly(dA) 2poly(dT) may be due to the fact that the rigid intramolecular triplex 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ structure may be quite different from the intermolecular triplex. It has been reported that the duplex conformation in the intramolecular triplex structure is somewhat different from the free duplex conformation [67], suggesting that the covalent linkage between the two strands could affect the conformation of triplex.

3.5. ITC studies of neomycin, paromomycin and ribostamycin binding to 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ and poly(dA) 2poly(dT) triplex

3.5.1. 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) is a technique that allows one to extract a complete thermodynamic profile of a bimolecular interaction, was then applied. In a sample ITC titration, a macromolecule (DNA triplex) solution was filled in a sample cell, and the interacting ligand (neomycin) is titrated into the solution through a titration syringe gradually. The heat evolved or absorbed resulting from the reaction is measured by the instrument.

3.5.2. At pH 5.5

As discussed previously, the stabilization effect of aminoglycosides toward intramolecular triplex is more potent at a low pH than at a higher pH. It is important to study the interaction at a pH value in which the aminoglycosides are highly protonated, to minimize drug protonation contributions upon binding. Inspection of the p*K*a values of six amino groups on neomycin indicates that neomycin ammonium groups are substantially protonated at pH 5.5 [68]. The merit of studying the complex at this pH is that the observed binding enthalpy reflects a more intrinsic interaction between the ligand and the DNA triplex. However, study at such a low pH leads to practical problems since the ITC signal intensity and derived

---

**Fig. 3.** (a) UV melting profiles at 260 nm of the 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ triplex in the presence of 8 μM neomycin (*r*db: 0.75). From left to right, the pH values of solutions were 7.4, 6.9, 6.7, 6.3, 5.9 and 5.5, respectively. (b) Plots of the Δ*T*m*3→1 and Δ*T*m*2→1 of the 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ triplex as a function of increasing pH value in the presence of 8 μM neomycin (*r*db: 0.75). The *T*m for the triplex was determined from the profiles at 280 nm Δ*T*m* = *T*½(any pH)-*T*½(5.5). All the buffer solutions contained 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA and 100 mM KCl. DNA triplex concentration was 1 μM in strand.
binding enthalpy is much lower than the enthalpy at a higher pH (Fig. 4d, e). In order to obtain enough signal, a much larger amount of drug and DNA triplex sample is required. Both 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ and poly(dA)-2poly(dT) triplex were found to be stable at a pH of 5.5.

Model fitting with two binding sites yields a binding affinity of $9.1 \pm 4.5 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$, a binding enthalpy of $-0.15 \pm 0.01 \text{ kcal/mol}$, and a binding entropy of $9.7 \text{ kcal/mol K}$ for the first binding event. Approximately 98% of the binding results from entropy, with only 2% contribution from enthalpy [69]. The second binding site contains a binding affinity of $(6.1 \pm 1.4) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$, a binding enthalpy of $-0.34 \pm 0.03 \text{ kcal/mol}$, and a binding entropy of $7.2 \text{ kcal/mol K}$. Our thermodynamic studies can not offer a more detailed explanation of the nature of the second binding site, as it differs from the first site.

It has previously been reported that in the absence of the effect of drug protonation, paromomycin binding to the A-site of 16S rRNA is entropy-driven, providing 72% of the driving force for binding, with only 28% coming from the enthalpic contribution at pH 5.5 [68]. The factors causing this favorable entropic contribution in our study could include a conformational change upon drug-DNA triplex complexation, release of counterions caused by the electrostatic interactions between drug and DNA triplex, and the desolvation of both the drug and DNA triplex upon binding [68].

Since the ITC signal-to-noise ratio becomes larger when the binding signal intensity decreases to less than 0.1, it is desirable to apply more than one technique to confirm and validate the ITC derived binding constant for a system. Fluorescence intercalator displacement (FID) method, a technique complementing the limitations of ITC in calculating the intrinsic affinities, has been introduced by Boger [70,71]. In this method, an intercalator such as ethidium bromide or thiazole orange intercalates into the DNA triplex base triplets. Titration of the ligand into the solution will displace the intercalator. The amount of displaced intercalator, measured with decrease in intercalator fluorescence, is then directly proportional to the amount of bound ligand. Ethidium bromide, which intercalates into nucleic acid with relatively small affinities [72], can be easily displaced by a subsequently added ligand. Thiazole orange was used in our assay as its high fluorescence enhancement upon intercalation has been reported to yield a 3000-fold increase (only a 20-fold increase for ethidium bromide) [72].

The displacement assays were first performed as complete titrations on a fluorometer (Fig. 4a) and were then run (as triplicates) on a 96-well plate reader at pH 5.5 and at pH 6.8 at a salt concentration of 150 mM KCl (Fig. 4a-c). The AC50 values reported in Table 1c–d are the aminoglycoside concentrations required to displace 50% of thiazole orange from the triplex, as measured by a decrease in 50% of the fluorescence. At pH 5.5, the neomycin AC50 ($\text{AC50} = 0.42 \mu M$) is 22-fold lower than paromomycin ($\text{AC50} = 11.5 \mu M$) and approximately 50 fold lower than ribostamycin ($\text{AC50} = 26.7 \mu M$). A similar trend, with neomycin ($\text{AC50} = 13 \mu M$) < paromomycin ($\text{AC50} = 157 \mu M$) < ribostamycin ($\text{AC50} = 459 \mu M$) is observed for the 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′

![Fig. 4. (a–c). A graphical representation of thiazole orange displacement assay (a) A raw emission data of 1.25 µM thiazole orange upon excitation at 534 nm with buffer only (open circles) and after addition of 100 nM strand triplex deoxynucleotide hairpin 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ (black circles). Neomycin was then titrated from 0.25 µM to 1.06 mM. (b) The decrease in the fluorescence intensity of the complex (DNA-thiazole orange) upon addition of neomycin aliquots. (c) Assuming a linear relationship between the changes in fluorescence intensity with the fraction of thiazole orange displaced results in S-shaped binding isotherm. This graph allows the determination of concentration of ligands needed to displace half of the thiazole orange from triplex deoxynucleotide hairpin 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′. (d–e) ITC titration of neomycin with intramolecular triplex at pH 5.5. (d) ITC profiles of neomycin binding with 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ triplex. (e) Corrected injection heat as a function of [drug]/[triplex] ratio. The data points represent the experimental injection heat and the solid lines were corresponding to the calculated fits of the data using a model with two sets of binding sites (Origin 5.0). Buffer condition: 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, 150 mM KCl, pH 5.5. $T = 10^{-1} \circ C$.](image-url)
triplex. The AC₅₀ values for the smaller intramolecular triplex are about an order of magnitude higher than the AC₅₀ values observed for the polynucleotide triplex.

3.5.3. At pH 6.8

FID assay was first used to determine the AC₅₀ values for the three aminoglycosides with poly(dA)-2poly(dT) and with 5'-dA₁₂-x-dT₁₂-x-dT₁₂-3' triplex. As seen in Table 1d (see supporting information Figs. 11–13), neomycin shows a seven fold higher affinity (AC₅₀ = 3.1 μM) than paromomycin (AC₅₀ = 21.1 μM) and an eleven fold higher affinity than ribostamycin (AC₅₀ = 34.6 μM) at pH 6.8. A similar trend, with neomycin (AC₅₀ = 35.5 μM) < paromomycin (AC₅₀ = 179 μM) < ribostamycin (AC₅₀ = 486 μM) is observed for the 5'-dA₁₂-x-dT₁₂-x-dT₁₂-3' triplex (Table 1c). The AC₅₀ values for the smaller intramolecular triplex are about an order of magnitude higher than the AC₅₀ values observed for the polynucleotide triplex. When the AC₅₀ values for the aminoglycosides are compared are different pHs, neomycin shows a larger decrease at lower pH (3–6 fold), when compared to paromomycin (2 fold). A very little change in ribostamycin AC₅₀ is observed at different pH values signifying that ring IV amino protonation at lower pH contributes to increased affinity of paromycin and neomycin. When the FID experiments were carried out with poly(dA)-poly(dT) duplex, thiazole orange could not be displaced up to 10 μM neomycin, suggesting that the affinity of the aminoglycoside is 2–3 orders of magnitude lower for the AT-rich DNA duplexes, when compared to its affinity for the AT-rich DNA triplex.

As indicated previously, the complexation of aminoglycosides with DNA triplex involves the protonation of aminoglycosides. To investigate drug protonation upon binding, ITC was used to study the AT-rich DNA duplexes, when compared to its affinity systems utilizing carbohydrates [76]. In their study, they proposed four requirements in the design of ITC experiments involving low c value: (1) binding stoichiometry; (2) sufficient binding isotherm used for curve fitting; (3) concentration of both macromolecule and ligand known to be accurate; and (4) adequate level of signal-to-noise in the binding data. Meeting these four requirements supports the validity of ITC model fitting for determining the association constant Kₐ. However, great caution is recommended in interpreting the ΔH value. The c values shown in Table 1a–b fall in the low range (all smaller than 5), with highest value of 2.1 for neomycin. However, it is not feasible to bring the c value to the so-called experimental window 10 < c < 500 because then the ligand concentrations become too high. Neomycin and triplex complexation at high concentrations causes precipitation (at 1:1 or higher drug: triplex ratios) hence a full ITC titration cannot be performed. Therefore, after obtaining the binding stoichiometry from CD titration and fulfilling all the other requirements listed above, a reliable Kₐ can be determined for neomycin and paromomycin. Ribostamycin binding was simply too weak to evaluate using ITC. An ITC excess site titration is also conducted to obtain a few uniform heat bursts to calculate a reliable binding enthalpy (see supporting information Figs. 4 and 5). This method uses a high concentration of nucleic acid to obtain several uniform binding heat bursts. However, for excess site titrations, the drug to triplex ratio is much lower and thus no precipitation takes place, allowing for high c values to be used in excess site titrations leading to a more accurate estimate of the binding enthalpies. A correction of the heat per injection signal with respect to the total number of moles of ligand added per injection yields a reliable independent estimate of binding enthalpy [82,83]. These results indicate that there is very little discrepancy (∼7%) in neomycin binding enthalpies obtained from ITC model fitting and ITC excess site titration (Table 1a and supporting information Table 1).

Fourth, it can be seen that all the binding enthalpies in the cacodylate buffer are greater than those in the MOPS buffer. This difference is due to the different ionization heat of the two buffers (∼0.19 kcal/mol for cacodylate buffer and ∼0.07 kcal/mol for MOPS buffer at 10 °C) [77]. At pH 6.8, however, the drug–triplex interaction also involves a contribution from drug protonation. The number of protons linked to the drug–triplex complexation ...
can be determined by solving the following two equations simultaneously [78]:

\[ \Delta H_{obs1} = \Delta H_{int} + \Delta H_{ion1} \Delta n \]  
\[ \Delta H_{obs2} = \Delta H_{int} + \Delta H_{ion2} \Delta n \]

where \( \Delta H_{int} \) represents the intrinsic binding enthalpy (a value that excludes the enthalpic contribution from the buffer ionization), \( \Delta H_{ion} \) the heat of buffer ionization, the numerical subscripts the different buffers, and \( \Delta H_{obs} \) the observed binding enthalpies obtained from the data fitting of ITC profiles. A positive value of \( \Delta n \) indicates a net uptake of protons while a negative value indicates the net release of protons. Using the data listed in Table 1a–b, \( \Delta H_{int} \) and \( \Delta n \) for drug binding with an intramolecular triplex are calculated (Table 2).

Table 2 shows positive values for \( \Delta n \), suggesting that aminoglycoside interacting with the intramolecular triplex is coupled to the uptake of protons. The \( \Delta n \) values are 0.29 and 0.40 for intramolecular triplex binding to neomycin and paromomycin at 10 °C, respectively. The fractional protonation number implies the shift of pK_a of amine group upon binding. Notice that the pK_a of 3-amine group on the ring 1 is 5.74, while the remaining amine groups on both neomycin and paromomycin have the pK_a values all above 7.55 [79]. Thus, it can be determined that the 3-amine group on the ring 1 is induced a pK_a shift upon drug-DNA complexation at pH 6.8. With the \( \Delta n \) values of 0.29 and 0.40 observed for neomycin and paromomycin, the pK_a value of 3-amine group is calculated to be 6.56 for neomycin and 1.02 for paromomycin, respectively. To observe the effect of temperature on protonation, similar experiments were also conducted at 20 °C (data not shown), yielding a \( \Delta n \) value of 0.38 and 0.29 for neomycin and paromomycin, respectively. Comparison of the \( \Delta n \) value at 10 °C and 20 °C reveals that the binding-linked protonation is not affected significantly by temperature, suggesting that at physiological temperature, the intramolecular triplex–aminoglycoside interaction may have the same extent of protonation as reported here. It has been shown that a large number of binding-linked

\[ \text{Fig. 5. ITC studies of intramolecular triplex 5’-dA_{12-x}-dT_{12-x}-dT_{12-3’} with neomycin (a) and paromomycin (b) in 10 mM cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, 150 mM KCl, pH 6.8; T = 10 °C. [DNA] = 4 mM strand, [drug] = 600 mM (c–d) Corrected injection heat as a function of [drug]/[triplex] ratio. The data points represent the experimental injection heat and the solid lines correspond to the calculated fits of the data by using a model with two binding sites (Origin 5.0).} \]

### Table 1a

Thermodynamic profiles of aminoglycosides binding to the 5’-dA_{12-x}-dT_{12-x}-dT_{12-3’} triplex in 10 mM sodium cacodylate or MOPS, 0.5 mM EDTA and 150 mM KCl with pH 6.8. T = 10 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Cacodylate buffer</th>
<th>Paromomycin</th>
<th>MOPS buffer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K_{obs} (M^{-1})</td>
<td>(3.8 ± 0.4) x 10^5</td>
<td>(8.7 ± 0.2) x 10^4</td>
<td>(1.6 ± 0.3) x 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\Delta H_{obs} (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>–5.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>–4.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>–4.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\Delta G (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>–7.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>–6.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>–6.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(drug/triplex)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta H_{obs} \) measured from fits of ITC profile using Origin 5.0 using a model with two sets of binding sites. The results shown here correspond to the high affinity first binding event.
protons are involved in aminoglycosides A-site RNA interaction at pH 7.0 ($\Delta n$ values are 1.42, 1.55, 1.11 for neomycin, paromomycin, and ribostamycin, respectively) [78]. It was revealed previously that the aminoglycoside (in particular neomycin) likely binds to the W–H DNA triplex groove, with rings I, II and IV as the key components involved in this process [51]. The 3’-amino group on ring II has the lowest $pK_a$ value for both neomycin and paromomycin [68].

3.5.4. Poly(dA)-2poly(dt) triplex

For the study reported here, polynucleotide DNA triplex poly (dA)-2poly(dt) was studied for comparison with intramolecular triplets. A $\Delta T_{m}$-based approach, derived by McGhee [80], was used to obtain binding affinities. The following equation was used to calculate the association constants at the corresponding melting temperatures where the triplex was complexed with drug:

$$
\frac{1}{T_{m0}} - \frac{1}{T_m} = \frac{R}{N(\Delta H_{BS})} \ln \left(1 + K_{T, L} \right)
$$

(4)

where $T_{m0}$ is the melting temperature of the drug-free DNA triplex; $T_m$ the melting temperature of drug-bound DNA triplex; $\Delta H_{BS}$ the enthalpy change corresponding to melting enthalpy of Hoogsteen bonds in the absence of drug, determined from the DSC measurement (Fig. 6c); $L$ is the free drug concentration at $T_m$ (estimated by one-half of the total drug concentration), and N the apparent binding site size determined through CD titration of drug into the DNA triplex. After obtaining the association constants at $T_m$, the integrated van't Hoff equation (equation (5)) was used to calculate the association constants at 10 °C [81]:

$$
K_{obs} = \frac{K_{T, L}}{e^{-\Delta H_{obs}/(R/1/T_m - 1/T_0)} \Delta C_T (1/T_m - 1/T_0) / T_{m} \Delta C_P / R}
$$

(5)

where $\Delta H_{obs}$ is the observed binding enthalpy of the drug to the triplex as derived from ITC excess nucleic acid binding experiments conducted at 10 °C; $R$ the gas constant, and $\Delta C_P$ the heat capacity change, determined from equation (6) by using binding enthalpies at various temperatures (Fig. 6b). The calculated binding constants are shown in Table 4.

3.5.5. At pH 5.5

The protonation of aminoglycosides contributes to the observed binding enthalpy, the heat capacity and the binding constant calculated at pH 6.8. However, the appropriate application of Equation (6) requires the thermodynamic parameters of binding

### Table 1c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aminoglycoside</th>
<th>$A_{C_0}$ (pH 6.8) (µM)</th>
<th>$A_{C_0}$ (pH 5.5) (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paromomycin</td>
<td>179.0</td>
<td>157.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribostamycin</td>
<td>486.0</td>
<td>459.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

enthalpy and heat capacity change from the intrinsic binding, both of which were obtained from the interaction at pH 5.5, in which binding induced drug protonation is minimized. Observation of the thermal stability of poly(dA)-2poly(dt) at both pH 5.5 (Fig. 6a) and 6.8 (Fig. 1c) indicates that the formation of DNA triplex is not affected by pH. However, the heat capacity change (−98 cal/mol K) (Fig. 6b) at pH 5.5 was much lower than the value (−218 cal/mol K) at pH 6.8 (see supporting information Fig. 9). When studying the thermal stability increase of triplex by neomycin at saturated $f_{bd}$ ratio of 8.8 (see supporting information Fig. 10), $T_m$ of triplex was increased only 6 °C by neomycin at pH 5.5 ($f_{bd}$: 8.8) (Fig. 6a). In addition to the triplex transition, two transitions were observed, one at 67 °C corresponding to 2 → 1 transition and a second one at 80 °C due to the 3 → 1 transition. This phenomenon was also observed in the poly(dA)-poly(dt) duplex melting profile, in which the two strands of duplex disproportionate after denaturation to form a triplex in the presence of neomycin, directly melting to a single strand at 80 °C. The 3 → 1 transition (82 °C) of poly(dA)-2poly(dt) has also been previously reported in the presence of coralyne [84]. In this case, two triplex transitions were observed, one at 29 °C (3 → 2) and the other at 80 °C (3 → 1). The former reflects neomycin binding to the preexisting triplex, while the second (3 → 1) reflects a neomycin-induced structure at low pH. Thus, this result suggests that the neomycin binding to polynucleotide triplex is not as favorable as the intramolecular triplex at low pH, confirmed by the lower binding constant of (6.17 ± 0.1) $\times$ 10^4 M$^{-1}$ derived from the Equations (4) and (5) (Table 4). It was observed that the binding enthalpy of neomycin with DNA triplex at pH 5.5 is only approximately −1.0 kcal/mol at 10 °C. Entropy was calculated to be −6.50 kcal/mol K using Equations (1) and (2), contributing 86% of driving force to the binding of neomycin with poly(dA)-2poly(dt) triplex. This significant entropic contribution to the binding force is consistent with that observed for the 5’-dA$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-3’ at low pH.

3.5.6. At pH 6.8

However, the binding affinities of the aminoglycosides for the DNA triplex cannot be obtained accurately using this method at pH 6.8. As indicated previously, the binding enthalpy observed at pH 6.8

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Buffer</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{obs}$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{obs}$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{obs}$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta \nu$ (per drug)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>MOPS</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>−5.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paromomycin</td>
<td>MOPS</td>
<td>+5.07</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>−0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta H_{obs}$ (ionization heat) for the cationic buffer and MOPS buffer are obtained from Fukuda and Takahashi [1998] [77]. $\Delta H_{obs}$ are derived directly from the ITC experiments. $\Delta H_{obs}$ (intrinsic binding enthalpy) and $\Delta \nu$ (the number of binding-linked protons) are calculated from the equations (2) and (3).
includes the drug protonation heat. As a consequence, the heat capacity change calculated from binding enthalpies is not intrinsic as well. Thus, the binding affinities calculated using Equations (4) and (5) at pH 6.8 will be overestimated due to the overestimation of both binding enthalpies and heat capacity changes.

The binding enthalpy at pH 6.8 still can be used to calculate the protonation number of neomycin upon complexation with poly(dA)–2poly(dT) triplex. The binding enthalpies of neomycin–poly(dA)–2poly(dT) interaction were obtained under both cacodylate and MOPS buffer. A positive value of ΔH (Table 1) was calculated using Equations (2) and (3). This number is consistent with the protonation number calculated for neomycin interacting with 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ (0.29), suggesting that the binding-linked drug protonation is not affected by the length of the nucleic acid.

3.6. A negative heat capacity change is associated with neomycin and paromomycin binding to 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ and poly(dA)–2poly(dT) triplex

To determine the heat capacity of drug binding with the DNA triplex, parallel ITC experiments at 5 °C, 10 °C and 15 °C were conducted to obtain the observed binding enthalpies (ΔHobs) (see supporting information Table 1). Theoretically, one can obtain the binding enthalpies by fitting the ITC profiles as described above. However, it has been found that when the heat signal is not significant, model-dependent data fitting is sensitive to any small change in data processing, for example, for paromomycin binding within the triplex. As shown in Table 1a–b, the uncertainty of the binding constant for paromomycin is much larger than for neomycin. As a result, ΔHobs could reflect significant errors. The model-independent protocol, the ITC excess site binding experiments as discussed above, was used in this case to obtain reliable binding enthalpies (see sample ITC excess titrations in supporting information Figs. 4 and 5) [85]. The ΔHobs obtained from several different temperatures facilitates determination of the heat capacity change related to the drug interaction with the intramolecular triplex by applying the following equation:

$$\Delta C_p = \frac{\partial H}{\partial T}$$

(6)

3.6.1. 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ triplex

Our calculations reveal that the magnitude of ΔCp value decreases with increasing salt concentration at pH 6.8 for 5′-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3′ (Table 4). The ΔCp value for the neomycin interaction with the triplex decreased in magnitude from −310 cal/(mol K) to −47 cal/(mol K) while for paromomycin it decreased from −229 cal/(mol K) to −13 cal/(mol K) as the salt concentration was increased from 100 mM to 250 mM KCl. For ribostamycin, the ΔCp was only −78 cal/(mol K), even in 100 mM KCl. Although observed heat capacity changes are overestimated to some small extent due to the drug protonation, the intrinsic heat capacity changes are expected to be still negative. Recall that ΔCp values for other minor groove binding drugs, such as netropsin, propamidine, berenil, Hoechst 33,258 and distamycin, have been found to fall in the range of −150 to −350 cal/(mol K) upon binding the A3T3 duplex [78,86], while furan derivatives, such as DB244, DB75 and DB226, have ΔCp values between −95 and −180 cal/(mol K) [87]. Intercalators including ethidium, propidium, daunomycin, and adriamycin have been observed to exhibit ΔCp values of −140 to −160 cal/(mol K) with calf thymus DNA, a smaller range than that of the groove binders [88,89]. A positive ΔCp value of +10 cal/(mol K) for ethidium binding to calf thymus was also observed using van′t Hoff analysis [89]. However, to date, all groove binder-DNA interactions have been found to have a negative ΔCp.

Negative ΔCp was observed for neomycin–nucleic acid interactions at both pH 5.5 and 6.8 (Table 4). The negative sign has been suggested to be due to the removal of large amounts of nonpolar surface from water upon complex formation [90,91]. Solvent-accessible surface area change (ΔSASA) also has an impact on the value of ΔCp [78, 86,92]. The removal of a nonpolar surface causes the ΔCp value to be more negative while the removal of the polar surface leads to a more positive ΔCp. In addition to the removal of nonpolar solvent accessible surface area, changes of vibrational modes of macromolecules and water molecules [93], and conformational effects of macromolecules [94,95] can contribute to the ΔCp value. However, in our system, the conformational effects are likely minimal in the temperature range studied since those temperatures were carefully determined based on the thermal denaturation profiles from either UV or DSC and CD spectra show little change upon drug binding. Binding coupled protonation of drug molecule contributes to the observed ΔCp value at pH 6.8 since it has been found that drug protonation is associated with a more negative ΔCp [96]. At pH 5.5, the contribution of drug protonation to ΔCp should be negligible. Recently, the effect of anions to the ΔCp

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Buffer</th>
<th>ΔHmix (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔHobs (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔHden (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>Δn (per drug)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>cacodylate</td>
<td>−7.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>−7.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>MOPS</td>
<td>−6.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>+5.07</td>
<td>−7.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
value in the protein-nucleic acid interaction has been reported, with the magnitude of $\Delta C_p$ being larger when salt type switches from NaF, NaCl to NaBr [97]. The effect of anions such as Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$ to the $\Delta C_p$ may also exist in the small molecule-nucleic acid interaction system by interacting weakly with the positively charged neomycin, but not significant given that such interactions are likely to be weak in solution. The heat capacity change, thus, not only is linked to the changes between nonpolar and polar surface area change upon complexation, but also is probably dependent on the solution conditions.

We observe a decrease of $\Delta C_p$ in magnitude as the salt concentration increases. The salt effect of observed $\Delta C_p$ here, similar to those reported for protein-DNA interaction, could be explained by the disruption of salt bridges formed between positive and negative residues. Based on this model, the salt bridges formed at low salt condition are easily disrupted upon another molecule binding. This disruption of salt bridge contributes to the large and negative $\Delta C_p$. When salt concentration increases, the shielding of the ammonium groups in neomycin by excess salt leads to lesser disruption of the salt bridge and thereby leading to a smaller $\Delta C_p$.

Among the three aminoglycosides studied here, a much less negative $\Delta C_p$ was observed for the binding of ribostamycin than for neomycin and paromomycin. This comparatively less negative $\Delta C_p$ value for the binding of ribostamycin than neomycin and paromomycin arises from the absence of ring IV in ribostamycin, which, in turn could reduce the amount of nonpolar surface that can be buried upon DNA triplex binding [78].

3.7. The salt dependence of neomycin and paromomycin binding for 5'-dA$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-x-dT$_{12}$-3' and poly(dA)-2poly(dT) triplex

The observed binding constants for neomycin with poly(dA)-2poly(dT) triplex calculated using $T_m$ method at 10 °C over a range of KCl concentrations at pH 5.5 are summarized in Table 4. As this table shows, the $K_{obs}$ value decreases as KCl concentration increases, indicating that electrostatic interactions play an important role in the drug-triplex interaction. The apparent number of drug NH$_3^+$ groups participating in electrostatic interactions with the triplex can be estimated from plots of log ($K_{obs}$) vs. log ([KCl]). The observed linear dependencies have been described by the following relationship [98,99]:

$$\log(K_{obs}) = \log(K^0) - mW \log([K^+]^n)$$

where $K_{obs}$ is the observed binding constant, ’m’ the number of ion pairs formed between the drug and the DNA triplex, ‘$\Psi$’ the thermodynamic counter-ion binding parameter for the DNA triplex, and $K$ the equilibrium constant for the drug-DNA interaction at 25 °C.

A plot of log ($K_{obs}$) vs. log ([KCl]) yields a slope of − mW, giving the smallest number of ion pairs formed between the drug and DNA triplex. As shown in Fig. 7, the linear dependence of log ($K_{obs}$) vs. log [KCl] yields a slope of 2.8 for the neomycin-DNA triplex complexation, suggesting that there are about 3 ion pairs formed upon binding at pH 5.5. Another aminoglycoside, tobramycin, using calorimetric methods, has been found to bind to poly(rI)-poly(rC) as a tri-cation (3 out of 5 NH$_3^+$ participating in electrostatic interaction) [99]. Neomycin and paromomycin contribute at least 3 and 3.6 amino groups, respectively, when forming an electrostatic interaction with A-site 16S rRNA [78]. Berenil has been shown to bind to poly(dA)-poly(dT), poly(dA-dT)$_2$, poly(dI-dC)$_2$ and poly (rA)-poly(rU) with m values of 2.3, 1.4, 1.9 and 2.3, respectively [100]. The result reported here suggests a strong electrostatic contribution to the drug-triplex complexation, which is comparable to aminoglycosides’ binding to other RNA structures.
At pH 6.8, however, the salt dependence study of the binding affinities of neomycin to poly(dA)-2poly(dT) cannot be determined accurately. The observed binding affinities calculated with $\Delta T_m$ method at this pH are overestimated due to the overestimation of binding enthalpy arising from drug protonation.

3.8. Models of neomycin vs. paromomycin docked in the W–H groove: the difference a charge makes

We have previously proposed a model for neomycin binding to the W–H groove of the DNA triplex [51]. The modeling studies suggest that the most likely mode of neomycin binding involves the primary amine in neomycin ring I that occupies the center of the groove, while the amines on ring II and IV help bridge the two pyrimidine strands together. A stereo view of this model is shown in Fig. 8. The salt dependent ITC studies further substantiate this model, with three ion pairs being formed between the neomycin amines and the TAT triplex groove, as shown in Fig. 8. In addition to the charge complementarity, the shape complementarity of neomycin and the W–H groove has also been suggested as a key factor in the recognition of the DNA triplex by neomycin. A molecular dynamics simulation (up to 1 ps) shows this model of neomycin to the DNA triplex to be relatively stable. On the other hand, a different picture emerges if paromomycin is docked into the

![Fig. 8. Stereo view of neomycin bound to the W–H groove of the DNA triplex. Only the two pyrimidine strands are shown for clarity. Neomycin occupies 6–7 base-pairs per triplex.](image1)

![Fig. 9. A 500 ps MD simulation of paromomycin bound to the W–H groove of the DNA triplex, with ring I inside the groove. Three snapshots show the dissociation of paromomycin with time. Dissociation of ring I drives the molecule outside the groove.](image2)
W–H groove in a similar orientation (Fig. 9). The replacement of 6'-amino group on neomycin ring I with an 6'-OH in paromomycin destabilizes the complex such that in about 500 ps, paromomycin is released from the groove, and as a result, the 6'-OH group cannot realize efficient electrostatic interaction or H-bonding within W–H groove. Only the 2'-amino group can form this interaction, but it may be relatively weak due to its inflexibility and its long distance from the DNA backbone. Paromomycin perhaps binds to the W–H groove in a different orientation from neomycin (for example, ring II or ring IV being docked into the W–H groove). This difference in stability is also borne out by the lower binding affinity of paromomycin vs. neomycin (Table 1a–d), as well as the difference in DNA triplex stability induced by the two drugs (Fig. 2), showing how a single charge can have a dramatic effect on the recognition of biomolecules.

Our previous work[53] has also suggested that aminoglycoside specificity (neomycin in high mM–low μM range) may be for nucleic acid forms that show some features characteristic of an A-type conformation [RNA triplex [38,101], DNA–RNA hybrid duplex [39], RNA duplex [102], DNA triplex [38,50,103–105], A-form DNA duplexes[103] and DNA tetraplex [106]]. The conformation of the triplex and of the Watson–Crick duplex within the triplexes has been debated for some time. Both A-like[107–110] and B-like [111–113] geometry have been described in the literature. Some previous studies [67,112–116] on different types of DNA triplex helices have suggested that the structure of the DNA triplex helix to be closer to a B-form helix. Because DNA triplexes have mixed properties, such as an axial rise similar to B-DNA, an X-displacement intermediate between the A- and B-DNA, a low helical twist (A-DNA), and S-type sugar puckers (B-DNA), terms such as J-acid forms that show some features characteristic of an A-type biomolecules.

The following conclusions can be drawn from above results: Among the aminoglycosides studied here, neomycin is the most effective agent in the stabilization of the 5'-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3' and polynucleotide poly(dA):2poly(dT) triplex. UV, ITC, fluorescence and DSC were used to calculate the observed binding affinities for both the 5'-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3' and the poly(dA):2poly(dT) triplex. The observed Tm(3–2) values for neomycin appear to be approximately 25 °C greater for poly(dA):2poly(dT) than for 5'-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3' at pH 6.8 but the trend is reversed at pH 5.5. Furthermore, the observed heat capacity changes are smaller in magnitude for poly(dA):2poly(dT) than 5'-dA12-x-dT12-x-dT12-3'. These observations can most likely be attributed to the differences in conformation between the poly(dA):2poly(dT) triplex and the intramolecular covalently linked triplex. The salt dependence studies reveal that there are approximately 3.0 ion pairs formed upon neomycin binding with poly(dA):2poly(dT). The FID and ITC based ΔTm methods provide a range of affinities for aminoglycoside binding to the DNA triplex. The work reported here gives an increased understanding of drug–triplex interactions from a thermodynamic standpoint, forming the basis for further investigation and development of triplex selective agents and therapeutics.
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Appendix. Supplementary data

UV melting profiles of salt dependence of melting temperature for DNA triplex. CD titration curve of paromomycin with DNA triplex. ITC excess-site titration curves at different salt concentrations and temperatures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j. biochi.2010.02.004.
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