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ABSTRACT: Recognition of nucleic acids is important for our understanding of nucleic acid structure as well as for our understanding of nucleic acid–protein interactions. In addition to the direct readout mechanisms of nucleic acids such as H-bonding, shape recognition of nucleic acids is being increasingly recognized as playing an equally important role in DNA recognition. Competition dialysis, UV, fluorescent intercalator displacement (FID), computational docking, and calorimetry studies were conducted to study the interaction of neomycin with a variety of nucleic acid conformations (shapes). At pH 5.5, the results suggest the following. (1) Neomycin binds three RNA structures [16S A site rRNA, poly(rA)-poly(rA), and poly-(rA)-poly(rU)] with high affinities ($K_a \sim 10^7$ M$^{-1}$). (2) The binding of neomycin to A-form GC-rich oligomer d(A2G15C15T2)$_2$ has an affinity comparable to those of RNA structures. (3) The binding of neomycin to DNA-RNA hybrids shows a 3-fold variance that can be attributed to their structural differences [for poly(dA)-poly(dU), $K_a = 9.4 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$, and for poly(rA)-poly(dT), $K_a = 3.1 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$]. (4) The interaction of neomycin with DNA triplex poly(dA)-2poly(dT) yields a binding affinity ($K_a$) of $2.4 \times 10^8$ M$^{-1}$. (5) Poly(dA-dT)$_2$ shows the lowest association constant for all nucleic acids studied ($K_a < 10^8$). (6) Neomycin binds to G-quadruplexes with $K_a$ values of $\sim 10^7-10^8$ M$^{-1}$. (7) Computational studies show that the decrease in major groove width in the B to A transition correlates with increasing neomycin affinity. Neomycin’s affinity for various nucleic acid structures can be ranked as follows: RNAs and GC-rich d(A2G15C15T2)$_2$ structures > poly(dA)-poly(dU) > poly(rA)-poly(dT) > T-A-T triplex, G-quadruplex, B-form AT-rich, or GC-rich DNA sequences. The results illustrate the first example of a small molecule-based “shape readout” of different nucleic acid conformations.

Aminoglycoside antibiotics are well-known chemotherapeutic agents that have been in clinical use for more than six decades. Their activity against Mycobacterium tuberculosis and other microorganisms has established them as life-saving drugs and aided significantly in the near eradication of tuberculosis. Aminoglycosides are known to bind to the 16S rRNA subunit of the bacterial ribosome at the A-site and cause erroneous translation leading to disruption of protein synthesis in bacteria. The recent advancements in structural elucidations of aminoglycoside–RNA interactions have greatly improved our understanding of their recognition and have revealed that bulged RNA sites serve as the most preferred binding sites for aminoglycosides. Thermodynamics of an aminosugar binding to RNA and DNA sites have been investigated in the past decade. Combined with the knowledge obtained from structural studies, ligand–nucleic acid thermodynamics is important for a deeper understanding of small molecule binding, which can be further used for the development of more efficient nucleic acid binding ligands.

Aminoglycoside–nucleic acid recognition studies have primarily centered on binding to ribosomal targets because the ribosome is the site of antibiotic action. There are other nucleic acid structural forms such as triplex, quadruplex, and hybrid structures (Figure 1) that play significant biological roles in a number of cellular processes and have been shown to bind aminoglycosides. The binding of small molecules to nucleic acids and subsequent inhibition of their biological functions is a widely accepted strategy for the development of novel therapeutics. For example, targeting duplex DNA can inhibit DNA-protein interactions. Binding of aminoglycosides to DNA triplex targets has also been reported. Targeting triplexes has been the focus of antigenic strategy for gene regulation, while interaction of the ligand with the quadruplex can be utilized to inhibit the function of telomerase and disfavor the interactions of telomere end binding proteins. On the other hand, targeting DNA-RNA hybrids such as Okazaki fragments, which are transiently formed during the DNA replication, may also be useful for inhibiting transcription. The studies in our laboratory have shown that binding of aminoglycosides leads to remarkable thermal stabilization of both DNA and RNA triple helices and can induce hybrid triple helix formation. Other examples of DNA-RNA hybrids have been observed in the reverse...
transcription processes such as telomere elongation by telomerase. In addition to duplex and higher-order nucleic acid structures, single-stranded polynucleotide chains have also been known to modulate key cellular processes. A well-known example is the poly(A) tail that is involved in RNA translation where it helps to cap the m-RNA and assists in its translation. Thus, targeting nucleic acids with a small molecule can lead to varied therapeutic results. The reports mentioned above were the first examples of higher-order DNA structure-selective ligands such as intercalators and minor groove binders to achieve specificity and selectivity for targeted nucleic acids. These nucleic acid structures all bind to aminosugars, yet do so with varying affinities. Additionally, all the aforementioned nucleic acid structures present a wide variation of shapes with varying major and minor groove widths. In this report, we explore the correlations between nucleic acid shape, as determined by major groove widths, and their affinities for an aminosugar (neomycin). In particular, the interaction of neomycin with different nucleic acid structures ranging from B-form to A-form, which include single, double, triple, and quadruple strands, is reported.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Nucleic Acids and Aminoglycosides.
All aminoglycosides were purchased from ICN Biomedicals Inc. (Solon, OH) and used without further purification. All the polynucleotides were purchased from GE Healthcare Amersham Biosciences (Piscataway, NJ). The concentrations of all the polymer solutions were determined spectrophotometrically using the following extinction coefficients (in units of moles of nucleotide base pairs per liter per centimeter): $\varepsilon_{260} = 8520$ for poly(dT), $\varepsilon_{260} = 6000$ for poly(dA)-poly(dT), $\varepsilon_{265} = 6600$ for poly(dA-dT)-poly(dA-dT), $\varepsilon_{255} = 8400$ for poly(dG-dC)-poly(dG-dC), $\varepsilon_{258} = 9800$ for poly(A), $\varepsilon_{265} = 9350$ for poly(U), and $\varepsilon_{274} = 7400$ for poly(dC). d(A$_{16}$G$_{15}$T$_{15}$T$_{2}$) was purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies ($\varepsilon_{260} = 301200$ per strand). 16S A-site rRNA was purchased from Dharmacon (Chicago, IL) and deprotected before being used ($\varepsilon_{260} = 253300$ per strand). Calf thymus DNA was purchased from Sigma ($\varepsilon_{260} = 12824$ per base pair). All other oligomers used were purchased from Eurofin MWG Operon (Huntsville, AL) and used without further purification.

#### Ultraviolet (UV) Spectroscopy.
All UV experiments were conducted on a Cary 1E UV-Vis spectrophotometer equipped with a temperature controller. Quartz cells with a 1 cm path length were used for all the experiments. All samples were heated at 95 °C for 5 min, cooled slowly to room temperature, and allowed to incubate for at least 16 h at 4 °C prior to being used. Absorbance versus temperature profiles were recorded at 260, 280, and 295 nm. For thermal denaturation experiments, the samples were heated from 5 to 95 °C at a rate of 0.2 °C/min followed by cooling to 10 °C at a rate of 5.0 °C/min. Data were recorded in 1 °C increments. For the determination of melting temperatures ($T_m$), the first derivative was used. For all the thermal denaturation experiments, DNA concentrations were 15–30 μM in base pair or 15–30 μM in base triplet.

#### Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC).
All isothermal calorimetric measurements were performed on a MicroCal VP-ITC (MicroCal, Inc., Northampton, MA) at 10 °C, except for temperature dependence experiments. In ITC studies, DNA concentrations were varied to obtain a reliable signal. In every titration, 5 or 10 μL aliquots of aminoglycoside solution were injected into a sample cell containing 1.42 mL of nucleic acid solution. The injection spacing was either 240 s or 300 s, the syringe rotation rate was 260 rpm, and the duration of each injection was 20 s. For each titration, a control experiment was performed by titrating the ligand into buffer. The resulting data were processed using Origin version 5.0. Each heat burst curve corresponds to a signal drug injection. Integrating the area under each heat curve yielded the heat released upon ligand injection. The corresponding heat of dilution was subtracted to yield the actual heat changes associated with ligand-nucleic acid binding.
Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC). The nucleic acid melting temperature and enthalpy changes in the absence of drug were obtained using a MicroCal VP-DSC (MicroCal, Inc.). The scan rate was 1 °C/min, and the operating temperature range was 5–110 °C. After each DSC experiment, a corresponding control experiment was conducted with only buffer in the sample cell. The corrected DSC profile was obtained by subtracting the control data from the sample data. The enthalpy changes for the melting of nucleic acids structures in the absence of ligand \( (\Delta H_{HS}) \) were calculated by integrating the area under the heat capacity curves using Origin version 5.0.

Circular Dichroism (CD) Spectroscopy. All CD experiments were conducted at 20 °C on a JASCO J-810 spectrophotometer equipped with a thermoelectrically controlled cell holder. A quartz cell with a 1 cm path length was used in all CD studies. CD spectra were recorded as an average of three scans from 300 to 200 nm. In isothermal CD titration experiments, small aliquots of concentrated ligand solutions were added to nucleic acid solutions and allowed to equilibrate for at least 20 min prior to scanning.

Competition Dialysis. For each competition dialysis assay, 200 μL of different nucleic acids was placed in a MINI dialysis flotation device (Pierce Chemical Co.) and then dialyzed with 400 mL of 0.1 μM ligand in BPES buffer solution for 72 h at ambient temperature (20–22 °C). At the end of the experiment, 180 μL of nucleic acid samples were carefully transferred to microfuge tubes and were taken to a final sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) concentration of 1% (w/v). Each mixture was allowed to equilibrate for 2 h. The concentration of ligand after dialysis was determined by fluorescence (Fluoromax-3, Jobin Yvon, Inc.). Appropriate corrections were made due to volume changes. The amount of bound drug was determined by the relationship \( C_b = C_t - C_f \) where \( C_f \) is the concentration of the free ligand solution, \( C_t \) is total ligand concentration, and \( C_b \) is the concentration of the bound ligand. Data were plotted as a bar graph using Kaleidagraph (version 3.5, Synergy Software). A calibration curve was made to determine \( C_t \) and \( C_f \).

Fluorescence Intercalator Displacement (FID) Assays. FID experiments were conducted on either a Photon Technology International (Lawrenceville, NJ) or TECAN Geno96 well plate reader. The FID experiments were performed at 20–22 °C with the polynucleotides and 10 °C with the oligonucleotides. In the 96-well plate experiments, a total volume of 200 μL was used. The total volume used in the fluorimeter experiments was 1.8 mL. For polynucleotides, the nucleic acid concentration used was 0.88 μM per base pair or triplet with a 1.24 μM thiazole orange (TO) concentration. For 30-mer oligonucleotides, the nucleic acid concentration was 1 μM per duplex or triplex with a 15 μM TO concentration. All experiments were performed in a buffer containing 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM EDTA (pH 5.5 or 6.8). TO was excited at 504 nm, and the emission was recorded from 515 to 600 nm. The ligand concentration required to displace 50% of the bound fluorescent probe was determined from a dose–response curve and is expressed as \( A_{50} \).

\[ \Delta T_m \text{ Method.} \] The following equation was used to calculate association constants at the corresponding melting temperatures at which the nucleic acid formed a complex with the ligand.\(^{35} \)

\[
\frac{1}{T_{mo}} - \frac{1}{T_m} = \frac{R}{n(\Delta H_{HS})} \ln(1 + K_{T_m} L) \tag{1}
\]
where \( T_{\text{m0}} \) is the melting temperature of ligand free nucleic acid, \( T_{\text{m}} \) is the melting temperature of ligand-bound nucleic acid, \( \Delta H_{\text{HS}} \) is the enthalpy change corresponding to nucleic acid base pair melting in the absence of ligand (determined from a DSC measurement), \( L \) is the free ligand concentration at \( T_{\text{m}} \) (estimated by half of the total ligand concentration), and \( n \) is the binding site size determined by CD and fluorescence experiments. After the association constants at \( T_{\text{m}} \) had been determined, the integrated van’t Hoff equation (eq 2) was used to calculate the association constants at 10 °C.\(^{36}\)

\[
K_{\text{obs}} = \frac{K_{T_{\text{m}}}}{e^{-\Delta H_{\text{obs}}/R(1/T_{\text{m}}-1/T)}e^\Delta C_pT(1/T_{\text{m}}-1/T)(\frac{\Delta C_p}{R})}
\]  
(2)

where \( \Delta H_{\text{obs}} \) is the observed enthalpy for binding of ligand to nucleic acid as derived from ITC excess site binding experiments at 10 °C, \( R \) is the gas constant, and \( \Delta C_p \) is the heat capacity change determined from eq 3 by using binding enthalpies at various temperatures.

\[
\Delta C_p = \frac{\partial H}{\partial T}
\]
(3)

Synthesis of the Fluorescein–Neomycin Conjugate (F-neo) (4). The fluorescein–neomycin conjugate (4) was prepared by coupling an activated fluorescein ester (1) with neomycin amine (2) followed by deprotection of Boc groups using trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (Scheme 1 and Figures S43 and S44 of the Supporting Information).

To a DMF solution (1 mL) of 1 (5 mg, 0.0086 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (catalytic amount) was added 2 (10 mg, 0.0078 mmol) and the mixture stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum. Flash chromatography of the residue [8% (v/v) CH\(_3\)OH in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)] yielded the Boc-protected F-neo conjugate as a yellow solid (10 mg): R\(_f\) 0.21 [10% (v/v) CH\(_3\)OH in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)]. The product was then dissolved in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (3 mL), and trifluoroacetic acid (0.5 mL) was added to it. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. After the solvents had been removed under vacuum, the residue was dissolved in H\(_2\)O (2 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 2 mL). The aqueous layer was concentrated under vacuum to yield the desired product (7 mg, 45% for two steps); UV−vis (H\(_2\)O) \( \lambda \) = 232, 455, 478 nm; \( \varepsilon \) (478 nm) = 13260 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, D\(_2\)O) \( \delta \) 8.34 (s, br, 1H), 7.86 (m, 2H), 7.47−7.45 (d, \( J \)= 9.3 Hz, 2H), 7.33−7.32 (d, \( J \)= 8.20 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (s, br, 1H), 7.07−7.05 (d, \( J \)= 9.36 Hz, 1H), 6.74−6.73 (d, \( J \)= 6.73 Hz, 1H), 5.96−5.94 (m, 1H) (ring I-1″), 5.32−5.29 (br, 2H) (contains ring III-1″), 5.19 (s, br, 1H) (ring IV-1″), 4.33−4.17

\( ^{36} \)Dimethylformamide (DMF), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), room temperature; \( ^{36} \)TFA, dichloromethane (DCM), room temperature.

\( ^{36} \)Scheme 1. Synthesis of F-neo Conjugate 4
(m, 4H) (contains ring III-2‴, ring IV-3‴), 4.01–3.97 (t, J = 9.67 Hz, 1H), 3.93–3.89 (t, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.83–3.78 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, br, 1H), 3.61–3.57 (t, J = 9.89 Hz, 1H), 3.49–3.11 (m, 16H) (contains ring IV-2′, ring II 1′, ring II-3‴), 3.07–2.99 (m, 3H), 2.87–2.84 (m, 2H), 2.73–2.61 (m, 2H), 2.55–2.53 (t, J = 6.52 Hz, 2H), 2.39–2.37 (br, 1H) (ring II, 2 equiv), 1.83–1.76 (m, 1H) (ring II-2‴); MS (MALDI-TOF) calcd m/z for C_{99}H_{198}N_{38}O_{19}S_{2}Na 1171.22, found 1171.86.

Docking Studies. All dockings were performed as blind dockings using Autodock Vina 1.0.37 Docking was performed using an “exhaustiveness” value of 12. All other parameters were default values. All rotatable bonds within the ligand were allowed to rotate freely, and the receptor was kept rigid. The Protein Data Bank was used to download the nucleic acid receptors (entries are listed with sequences in Tables S1–S3 of the Supporting Information). All ligand structures were created using Discovery Studio Visualizer 2.5 and then brought to their energetically minimized structures with Vega ZZ38 utilizing a conjugate gradient method with an SP4 force field. Autodock Tools version 1.5.439 was used to convert the ligand and receptor molecules to the proper file formats for AutoDock Vina docking.

### RESULTS

**Fluorescence Intercalator Displacement (FID).** FID assays have been shown to offer a means for qualitative comparison of relative binding affinities.40 Two separate but comparable FID methods were employed; fluorescence titrations and 96-well plate reader fluorescence titrations performed in triplicate. In both methods, thiazole orange (TO) was used as the intercalator that was displaced by the ligand. In addition to neomycin, three other aminoglycosides (paromomycin, ribostamycin, and neamine) were screened to assess the relative binding of these structurally similar ligands. In addition to polynucleotides, 30-mer oligonucleotides were also studied with neomycin. The results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

The AC_{50} values represent the ligand concentrations required to displace 50% of the bound fluorescent probe and are derived from sigmoidal fits for the fluorescence titration curves (Tables 1 and 2 and Figures S1–S8 of the Supporting Information). Lower AC_{50} values generally represent higher affinities as less ligand is required to displace the bound intercalator. The 30-mer sequences generally followed the trend established in Table 1 with one exception, the dA_{30}·dT_{30} triplex, which had an AC_{50} value substantially higher than those of the other complexes because of the higher salt concentration needed for formation (also see Competition Dialysis). Overall, the order of the AC_{50} values for interactions of neomycin with nucleic acids was as follows: poly(rA)·poly(rU) ~ poly(rA)·poly(dT) < poly(dA)·poly(rU) < poly(dA)·poly(dT) < poly(rA)·poly(dT) < poly(dA)·poly(rU). In terms of individual interactions of aminoglycosides with the nucleic acids, neomycin exhibited the lowest AC_{50} value followed by paromomycin, ribostamycin, and neamine.

**Competition Dialysis.** We have previously reported that in addition to the 16S A-site rRNA, aminoglycosides bind to nucleic acids that adopt A-form structures.21,27 To explore aminoglycoside structure selectivity, we used competition dialysis as a nucleic acid screening technique41 to illustrate the binding of neomycin to different nucleic acid structures. Previous inquiries have reported the use of an acridine derivative to follow the nucleic acid selectivity in the competition dialysis assay.42 Acridine, however, binds to several nucleic acids and therefore perturbs the selectivity of the free aminoglycoside. We herein report the competition dialysis studies using a fluorescein–neomycin conjugate (F-neo) (Figure 2b). As a control, binding of fluorescein to nucleic acids was investigated in the competition dialysis assay and showed negligible binding.

As shown in panels a, c, and e of Figure 3, comparative binding of F-neo to 14 different nucleic acid structures was examined, including single-strand nucleic acids [poly(dT), poly(A), and poly(U)], duplexes [poly(dA)·poly(dT), poly(rA)·poly(rT), poly(dA)·poly(rU), poly(dG)·poly(dC), and calf thymus DNA], triplexes [poly(dA)·poly(dT) and poly(rA)·poly(rU)], an i-motif [poly(dG)·poly(dC)], and 16S A-site rRNA. The amount of F-neo bound to each nucleic acid is shown as a bar graph. Because all nucleic acids are dialyzed simultaneously in the same ligand solution, the amount of bound F-neo is directly proportional to its affinity for each nucleic acid.41

Figure 3 suggests the following conclusions. First, F-neo prefers to bind to 16S A-site rRNA yielding a bound ligand concentration of 85 nM in 100 mM Na⁺, 50 nM in 150 mM

Table 1. AC_{50} Values Obtained from the FID Assay of the Polynucleotides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>nucleic acid</th>
<th>neomycin AC_{50} (µM)</th>
<th>paromomycin AC_{50} (µM)</th>
<th>ribostamycin AC_{50} (µM)</th>
<th>neamine AC_{50} (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>poly(rA)·poly(rU)</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(rA)·2poly(rU)</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.60</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dA)·poly(rU)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
<td>119.00b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(rA)·poly(dT)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>40b</td>
<td>60b</td>
<td>234b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dA)·2poly(dT)</td>
<td>2.4b</td>
<td>22.4b</td>
<td>37.2b</td>
<td>355b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dA·dT)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>57 ± 3</td>
<td>239b</td>
<td>609 ± 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“See Materials and Methods for experimental conditions. bData obtained from FID titration experiments in a 3.0 mL quartz cell. All other experiments were conducted in a 96-well plate.

### Table 2. AC_{50} Values Obtained from the FID Assays of 30-mer Oligonucleotides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>nucleic acid</th>
<th>AC_{50} (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rA_{20}·rU_{20}</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rA_{20}·2rU_{20}</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dA_{20}·rU_{20}</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rA_{20}·dT_{20}</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dA_{20}·dT_{20}</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dA_{20}·2dT_{20}</td>
<td>53.7b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“See Materials and Methods for experimental conditions. bThe AC_{50} value was obtained with additional 150 mM KCl salt to ensure stable triplex formation.
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Na\(^+\), and 15 nM in 200 mM Na\(^+\). Binding to the RNA triplex poly(rA)\(\cdot\)2poly(rU) is comparable to binding to A-site rRNA under low-salt conditions, with 60, 20, and 5 nM bound F-neo in 100, 150, and 200 mM Na\(^+\), respectively. F-neo also shows significant binding to RNA duplex poly(rA)\(\cdot\)poly(rU), DNA triplex poly(dA)\(\cdot\)2poly(dT), and hybrid duplex poly(dA)\(\cdot\)poly-(rU) with approximately 10–20 nM bound drug under these salt conditions. However, F-neo exhibits moderate to very weak binding with DNA duplex poly(dA)\(\cdot\)poly(dT), calf thymus DNA, i-motif DNA, and all single-stranded nucleic acids studied here.

Second, the binding of F-neo to nucleic acids is affected by the salt concentration. As the Na\(^+\) concentration increases from 100 to 200 mM, the amount of bound neomycin decreases approximately 4–5 times for each nucleic acid structure. These results are also consistent with the thermodynamic studies of neomycin–nucleic acid interaction, as discussed below (Table 4).

Third, fluorescein itself shows little binding affinity for any nucleic acid under all three salt conditions as shown in Figure 3b,d,f. The concentration of bound fluorescein is <4 nM under...
The low level of bound drug observed for poly(dA)·poly(dT) under 100 mM NaCl is consistent with the nonformation of the DNA triplex at this salt concentration at ambient temperatures. This can be explained by the nonformation of the DNA triplex at pH 6.8. In the presence of neomycin at pH 6.8, the CD bands of poly(dA)·poly(dT) under 100 mM NaCl decrease, and little red or blue shift is observed (Figure 4c).48 When neomycin is added to the poly(dA) solution, the intensities of the CD bands associated with a decrease in temperature, indicating a structural transition from a stacked helix to a random coil as shown in Figure 4a. In the presence of neomycin, the thermal denaturation results reveal that the transition becomes sharper, as shown in the CD melting profile (Figure 4b). Here, a positive transition for the poly(dA)·neomycin complex is observed. These observations indicate a conformational change in poly(dA) upon neomycin binding. To investigate the neomycin-induced poly(dA) conformation, we conducted a CD study. The CD spectrum of poly(dA) in the absence of neomycin at pH 6.8 shows a positive band around 265 and 220 nm and a strong negative band at 250 nm, characteristic of poly(dA) upon neomycin binding. The neomycin-induced CD spectrum at pH 6.8 is clearly different from that obtained at pH 5.5, indicating significant structural differences.

Small molecules, such as protoberberine alkaloids berberine,48 palmatine,49 and coralyne,50 have been shown to bind strongly to single-stranded poly(dA). The binding mode for these ligands is hypothesized to be a partial intercalation in which the ligand molecule is inserted between neighboring adenine bases through the stacking of the ligand between the bases on the chain.48 The binding of neomycin to poly(dA) found here generates a CD spectrum similar to those of berberine and palmatine, suggesting the involvement of a similar RNA structure. However, additional structural studies will be needed to confirm these analyses and understand the poly(dA) conformation induced by neomycin.

**Polyuridylic Acid [poly(rU)].** RNA homopolymer poly(U) does not show a clear melting transition in the temperature range studied (10–75°C) at either high or low pH (Figure S9 of the Supporting Information). It has been reported that poly(U) does not possess any specific structure at ambient temperatures, indicating a lack of stacking interaction between the uridine bases, thereby leading to a random-coil con-
However, poly(rU) has been found to exhibit a secondary structure, an antiparallel double helix, at low temperatures. This structure is reported to adopt an A-form conformation. The stability of this duplex is quite low, exhibiting a melting temperature of \( \sim 5^\circ C \). Counterions and polyamines such as spermine have been shown to stabilize this poly(rU)·poly(rU) duplex significantly.

A CD scan was taken at high temperatures for randomly structured poly(rU) (Figure 5a). A strong positive band at 270 nm, a negative band at 243 nm, and a very strong positive band at 203 nm were observed. These peaks are considered to be characteristic CD peaks for single-stranded poly(rU). The CD scan at 20 °C shows a 5 nm blue shift of the 270 nm band and the development of a new negative peak around 210 nm (Figure 5b), indicating the formation of a secondary structure. This secondary structure, the antiparallel double-stranded poly(rU), shows unexpectedly high binding enthalpies when it forms a complex with neomycin. In contrast to those of all other nucleic acids investigated, this observed binding enthalpy increased in magnitude as the temperature decreased (Figure 5d). A likely reason for this observation is the fact that neomycin favors double-stranded poly(rU) and has no effect on single-stranded poly(rU). The significantly lower binding enthalpy at 20 °C can be attributed to the decreased number of poly(rU) duplex species in solution. Furthermore, addition of neomycin to poly(rU) at 20 °C increased the magnitude of the positive CD signal and induced a blue shift of bands at 270 and 210 nm (Figure 5b). As for the poly(rA) system, the \( \Delta T_m \) method is not applicable here in calculating the binding constant of neomycin bound to poly(rU) at pH 5.5 or 6.8 because of the difficulties in experimentally determining the melting temperature.

**AT-Rich Duplexes Poly(dA)-Poly(dT) and Poly(dA-dT)-Poly(dA-dT).** The conformations of polynucleotide AT-rich duplexes poly(dA-dT)-poly(dA-dT) and poly(dA)-poly(dT) are B- and B*-form DNA, respectively, containing a narrow minor groove and a wide and shallow major groove. The two polymers possess differing physical properties. For example, the melting temperature of poly(dA)-poly(dT) (AT duplex, 69.3 °C) is \( \sim 8^\circ C \) higher than that of poly(dA-dT)-poly(dA-dT) (alternating AT duplex, 61.7 °C) (Table 3 and Figures S10 and S11 of the Supporting Information). In addition, the disproportionation of the poly(dA)-poly(dT) duplex to a poly(dA)-2poly(dT) triplex and the single-stranded poly(dA) is observed at low pH, similar to the disproportionation of the poly(rA)-poly(rU) duplex into a triplex and single strands.

\[ \Delta H = -8.074 \pm 0.04 \text{ Kcal/mol} \]

\[ \Delta H = 22.459 \pm 0.1 \text{ Kcal/mol} \]

**Figure 5.** CD titration of neomycin into poly(rU) (100 μM/strand) at (a) 59 and (b) 20 °C. The filled circles show data of the RNA by itself. The inset in panel b shows the CD spectra of RNA alone (---) and the ligand-saturated complex (----). ITC titration of neomycin with poly(rU) (200 μM/strand) at (c) 20 and (d) 10 °C. The experiments were conducted in 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 100 mM NaCl (pH 6.8).
Table 3. Thermodynamic Profiles of the Interaction of Neomycin with a Variety of Nucleic Acids in 10 mM Sodium Cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 100 mM NaCl (pH 6.8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleic Acid</th>
<th>( \Delta H_m (\text{kcal/mol}) )</th>
<th>( \Delta T_m (\text{C}) )</th>
<th>( \Delta C_p (\text{cal mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>poly(dA)</td>
<td>-8.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-0.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dA-dT)·poly(dA-dT)</td>
<td>-22.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>-6.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dG-dC)·poly(dG-dC)</td>
<td>-6.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>-1.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(rA)</td>
<td>-8.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(rA)·poly(rA)</td>
<td>-29.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>-22.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calf thymus DNA</td>
<td>-12.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>-16.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dA·poly(rU))</td>
<td>-9.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-0.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dA-dT)·poly(dA-dT)</td>
<td>-6.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dT)</td>
<td>-10.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-10.4</td>
<td>-3.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dT)·poly(rU)</td>
<td>-10.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because of the formation of poly(dA)-2poly(dT) under this condition, the stabilization of poly(dA)-poly(dT) by neomycin cannot be evaluated, thus preventing the calculation of the binding constant using the \( \Delta T_m \) method.

Unlike poly(dA)-poly(dT), alternating AT duplex poly(dA-dT)·poly(dA-dT) does not disproportionate into a triplex (Figure S12 of the Supporting Information). The thermal stabilization upon binding of neomycin to poly(dA-dT)·poly(dA-dT) was, however, observed to be very small (\( \Delta T_m \sim 1^\circ C \)) (Figure 6b). The heat capacity change derived from ITC titration was observed to be \(-16 \pm 10 \text{ cal mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \) (Table 4), indicating almost no solvent-accessible surface area change upon binding. Using this small change in denaturation temperature, the association constant of neomycin binding to poly(dA-dT)·poly(dA-dT) was estimated to be \((8.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \) (Table 4). Further analysis of the thermodynamic data showed that 74% of the driving force of binding was entropy-driven (Figure 23).

**pH 6.8.** As expected, both poly(dA-dT)·poly(dA-dT) and poly(dA)-poly(dT) exhibit higher binding enthalpies at pH 6.8 \((-3.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ and } -7.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ kcal/mol, respectively (Table 3 and Figure 7).})\). In addition, both duplexes show small heat capacity changes upon neomycin binding \([-26 \pm 24 \text{ cal mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \text{ for poly(dA-dT)·poly(dA-dT) and } -58 \pm 25 \text{ cal mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \text{ for poly(dA)-poly(dT) (Table 3).})\]. Thermodynamic experiments revealed a negligible change (\( \Delta T_m \sim 0.5^\circ C \)) in the melting temperature upon neomycin binding. The binding enthalpy obtained at pH 6.8 includes the heat of protonation of amino groups upon formation of a complex of neomycin and the nucleic acid. Therefore, all association constants reported using the \( \Delta T_m \) method in this article were obtained at pH 5.5.

Figure 6. (a) UV thermal denaturation profiles of poly(dA)-poly(dT) in the absence (1) and presence (2) of neomycin at an \( n_{eq} \) of 5. (b) UV thermal denaturation of poly(dA-dT)·poly(dA-dT) in the absence (1) and presence (2) of neomycin at an \( n_{eq} \) of 5. The experiments were conducted in 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 100 mM NaCl (pH 5.5).
Table 4. Thermodynamic Profiles of the Interaction of Neomycin with a Variety of Nucleic Acids in 10 mM Sodium Cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 100 mM NaCl (pH 5.5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d(A2G15C15T2)2</th>
<th>pH 6.8</th>
<th>∆Tm (°C)</th>
<th>∆Hf,1 (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>∆Sf,1 (cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>Kf,1 (M⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d(A2G15C15T2)2</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-14.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-99.6 ± 5.3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(A2G15C15T2)2</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-14.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-98.5 ± 5.3</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GC-Rich Duplexes Poly(dG)-Poly(dC), d(A2G15C15T2)2, and Poly(dG-dC)-Poly(dG-dC). GC tract DNA can adopt either an A-form or a B-form conformation, depending on the guanine-guanine base stacking. The poly(dG)-poly(dC) fiber is known to exhibit the A-form conformation, but in the aqueous solution and at a low salt concentration (30 mM NaCl), poly(dG)-poly(dC) was also found to adopt a B-form conformation. This B-form conformation of poly(dG)-poly(dC) can be converted to the A-form by changing the salt concentrations. The A-form can be also be significantly stabilized by Cs methylation. However, the study of long runs of G-C sequence can be problematic because of aggregation and the susceptibility of base pair slippage. Moreover, multiple structures may coexist in the 1:1 poly(dG)/poly(dC) aqueous solution. For example at pH 8.0, both the 2poly(dG)-poly(dC) triplex and the poly(dG)-poly(dC) duplex were found to coexist in solution. As shown in Figure 8a, the DSC melting profile of poly(dG)-poly(dC) exhibits two peaks, one at ~93.2 °C and the other at ~102.7 °C at pH 6.8. This structure of poly(dG)-poly(dC) is affected by ionic strength, resulting in only one transition at 96.2 °C in 150 mM KCl (data not shown). More strikingly, the structure of the original poly(dG)-poly(dC) is not re-formed after renaturation. The newly formed structure melts at approximately 60 °C (data not shown). The addition of neomycin to this GC-rich duplex shifts to the red the positive peak at 257 nm and induces a strong negative peak at 210 nm, as well (Figure 8b). This change in the CD spectrum suggests induction of an A-form-like conformation. However, the spectrum also displays two shoulders at 257 and 290 nm, which negates the existence of a pure A-form conformation in solution (Figure 8b). This heterogeneous composition of the poly(dG)-poly(dC) aqueous solution prevented us from obtaining thermodynamic data upon neomycin interaction. Therefore, a GC-rich oligomer, d(A2G15C15T2)2, has been used as a model for poly(dG)-poly(dC) and is discussed in the next section.

d(A2G15C15T2)2. pH 5.5. Although GC tract sequences are known to have a propensity for A-form structures, an absolute A-form structure (as evidenced by CD spectroscopy) was not observed for this duplex under the conditions studied (Figure 9a). However, addition of neomycin converts the self-complementary d(A2G15C15T2)2 duplex to the A-form, observed as a predominant CD band at 270 nm (Figure 9a), consistent with previous reports. The structural basis for the B-form to A-form transition can be explained by the relative proximity of two negatively charged sugar—phosphate backbones along the major groove of the A-form conformation that can be neutralized by the positively charged amino groups present on these ligands. Neomycin has a greater stabilizing effect on the d(A2G15C15T2)2 duplex at pH 5.5 than at pH 6.8 [ΔTm = 7 °C at an rH of 10 and pH 5.5 (Table 4 and Figure 9b)] compared with a <2 °C increase at pH 6.8 (Table 3 and Figure 9c). However, the ITC-derived heat capacity change (−173 ± 23 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ (Table 4 and Figure S13 of the Supporting Information)) is significant at such a low pH value, which implies enhanced binding due to the removal of a large amount of nonpolar surface area. A direct ITC titration led to an association constant for binding of neomycin to the d(A2G15C15T2)2 duplex of (1.8 ± 0.6) × 10⁷ M⁻¹ at pH 5.5 (Table 4). A breakdown of binding energetics revealed a large contribution from entropy (~92%) for this interaction at low pH (Figure 23).
The conformation of the d(A2G15C15T2)2 duplex at pH 6.8 was observed to be similar to the conformation observed at pH 5.5 as seen from the CD spectra (Figure S14 of the Supporting Information). However, the heat capacity change observed at pH 6.8 \[ \Delta H = -7.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ kcal/mol} \] (Table 3, Figure 9d, and Figure S15 of the Supporting Information) is larger than that obtained at pH 5.5. In addition to the change in solution electrostatics, the heats of amino group protonation of neomycin likely contribute to the difference in the heats of binding and heat capacities.

**Poly(dG-dC)-Poly(dG-dC).** Alternating GC sequence poly-(dG-dC)-poly(dG-dC) was also studied and exhibited conformational features different from those of G\(_n\)-C\(_n\) tract sequences. As seen in Figure 10a, poly(dG-dC)-poly(dG-dC) displays a negative band at 247 nm and two positive overlapping bands at 265 and 285 nm. This can be identified as B-form base stacking through a comparison with its related canonical B-form structure, d(GCGCGCGC), while the peak at 265 nm corresponds to A-form base stacking.\(^{45}\) Although poly(dG-dC)-poly(dG-dC) exhibits some features of the A-form structure, overall it is still regarded as a B-form DNA.\(^{62}\) It has been previously reported that poly(dG-dC)-poly(dG-dC) undergoes a B-form to Z-form transition under different salt conditions.\(^{63,64}\) This Z-form conformation of poly(dG-dC)-poly(dG-dC) is stable only under high-salt conditions (4 M NaCl).\(^{62}\) However, bromination at C8 of the guanine can stabilize the Z-form under low-salt conditions (150 mM NaCl).\(^{65}\) As shown in panels a and b of Figure 10, titration of neomycin into this duplex does not result in the conformational change until saturation is reached (\(r_{\text{bd}} = 6\)). A conformational change was observed with the gradual disappearance of the negative band at 247 nm, formation of a new negative band at 295 nm, and the blue shift for the positive band at 270 nm (Figure 10c). All of those features indicate the unexpected formation of a new structure, Z-form DNA. A comparison of a high-salt-induced Z-form with the neomycin-induced structure reveals little difference between the two spectra with one exception, a new positive band at 205 nm (Figure 10d). The opposite patterns observed in the CD spectra appear to be a consequence of the different handedness of the respective polymeric backbones. This result suggests that neomycin induces a left-handed conformation similar in structure to the polyanine-induced Z-form transition observed with methylated poly(dG-dC).\(^{66}\)

**pH 6.8.** The conformation of the d(A\(_2\)G\(_{15}\)C\(_{15}\)T\(_2\))\(_2\) duplex at pH 6.8 was observed to be similar to the conformation observed at pH 5.5 as seen from the CD spectra (Figure S14 of the Supporting Information). However, the heat capacity change observed at pH 6.8 [\(-235 \pm 10 \text{ cal mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}\)] (Table 3, Figure 9d, and Figure S15 of the Supporting Information) is larger than that obtained at pH 5.5. In addition...
The thermodynamics of poly(dG-dC)·poly(dG-dC) reveals little thermal stabilization caused by neomycin binding (ΔT_m = 1.0 °C) and a small heat capacity change (−28 ± 22 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) (Table 3 and Figures S16 and S17 of the Supporting Information).

Calf Thymus DNA. Calf thymus DNA has been found to undergo a transition from B- to Z-form by polyamines such as spermine, spermidine, and putrescine. A recent study has shown that the conformation of calf thymus DNA can be partially converted from B- to A-form by the intercalation of ethidium bromide, acridine orange, and methylene blue. Calf thymus DNA, which contains 42% GC base pairs, was studied with the aim of investigating the GC content dependence of the binding affinity of neomycin with GC-rich sequences. CD spectra of calf thymus DNA show a positive band at 270 nm, a negative band at 247 nm, and a weak band at 210 nm, characteristic of a standard B-form DNA (Figure S18 of the Supporting Information). Titration of neomycin into a DNA solution results in a red shift of the band at 270 nm, indicating base stacking may change toward A-form structure. However, the overall structure of neomycin-bound calf thymus DNA still exhibits the characteristics of the B-form.

Hybrid Duplexes Poly(dA)·Poly(rU) and Poly(rA)·Poly(dT). The DNA-RNA hybrid duplex is believed to adopt an intermediate conformation between the A-form of RNA and the B-form of DNA. Its global structure tends to be closer to the A-form, with the RNA strand containing A-form features and the DNA strand adopting a B-form structure. It has been reported that the hybrid duplex containing a DNA purine strand and an RNA pyrimidine strand (dR·rY) is much less stable than its corresponding reciprocal structure (rR·dY). As shown in Figure 11a, poly(dA)·poly(rU) denatures at 43.6 °C, while poly(rA)·poly(dT) melts at 64.7 °C. Previous studies of oligonucleotide hybrid duplexes have shown that DNA-RNA hybrid duplexes exhibit more characteristics of an A-form conformation than a B-form, with the exception of polynucleotide hybrid poly(rA)·poly(dT) that can adopt the B-form conformation under highly solvated conditions.

pH 5.5. As observed in Figure 11b, the CD spectrum of poly(dA)·poly(rU) exhibits a strong positive band at 260 nm and a strong negative band at 210 nm, indicating an A-form conformation. In contrast, a strong negative band at 247 nm and a broad positive shoulder from 270 to 285 nm were observed for poly(rA)·poly(dT), indicating a B-form conformation. A greater heat capacity change was observed for poly(dA)·poly(rU) (−160 ± 18 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) than for poly(rA)·poly(dT) (−50 ± 20 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) (Table 4 and Figures S19 and S20 of the Supporting Information). A positive binding enthalpy (2.8 kcal/mol) was observed for neomycin binding to poly(dA)·poly(rU) (Figure S20 of the Supporting Information). At low pH, the binding becomes entropically favorable. Factors that contribute to favorable entropy include desolvation of interacting species, a conformational change upon binding, dehydration, and hydrophobic effects. Therefore, the endothermic binding interaction observed between neomycin and poly(dA)·poly(rU) can be explained by one or more of the factors mentioned above. The binding constant for neomycin−poly(dA)·poly(rU) interaction (K_a) was calculated...
to be \((9.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\), approximately 3 times higher than that of poly(rA)·poly(dT) \([3.4 \pm 0.4] \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\) (Table 4).

**pH 6.8.** At pH 6.8, both poly(rA)·poly(dT) and poly-(dA)·poly(rU) adopt conformations similar to those observed at pH 5.5 (Figure S21 of the Supporting Information), indicating that the pH has no effect on hybrid structures. Neomycin stabilizes poly(dA)·poly(rU) significantly at both low and high pH, increasing the melting temperature to \(\sim 20^\circ\text{C}\) at saturated drug ratios (Figures S20−S24 of the Supporting Information). This thermal stabilization induced by neomycin is much greater than that of the well-known intercalator for DNA·RNA hybrids, ethidium bromide.\(^{30}\)

**RNA Duplex 16S A-Site rRNA.** The natural target of aminoglycosides, 16S A-site rRNA, has been studied extensively over the past two decades. The binding of the aminoglycosides has been shown to disrupt codon−anticodon interaction on the rRNA leading to erroneous protein synthesis.\(^5\) It has been previously shown that neomycin-class aminoglycosides target a specific conserved sequence in the 16S rRNA A-site of the 30S ribosomal subunit.\(^{73}\) The first molecular insights into aminoglycoside−A-site binding were obtained for paromomycin and gentamicin C1a using NMR.\(^{2,24}\) Later, X-ray crystal structures were reported for paromomycin,\(^{75}\) tobramycin,\(^{76}\) and Genetin\(^{77}\) bound to RNA sequences containing the A-site. We have conducted ITC titrations of neomycin with the 16S A-site rRNA at a pH at which all amino protons on neomycin are protonated, and under solution conditions identical to those used for other nucleic acids in this work.

**pH 5.5.** Figure 12a shows the CD spectra showing the titration of 16S A-site rRNA by neomycin. The titration of neomycin gradually increases the intensity at 210 and 265 nm, with no changes at other wavelengths. The induced CD signal at 265 or 210 nm can be plotted with the respective \(r_{bd}\) ratios, yielding the binding site size of one ligand per RNA molecule (Figure 12b). However, it has been shown that binding of neomycin to 16S A-site rRNA exhibits two binding events with ligand:duplex binding stoichiometries of \(\sim 1:1\) and \(\sim 2:1\) using ITC.\(^7\) This second binding event was not detected using CD. The enthalpy of binding of neomycin to 16S A-site rRNA was found to be \(-2.4\) kcal/mol at 20\(\circ\)C, contributing a 23% driving force to the binding free energy (Table 4, Figure 23, and Figure S25 of the Supporting Information). The association constant of neomycin binding was found to be \((8.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\), the highest among those of all the nucleic acids studied in this work (Table 4). The thermal stability increase upon neomycin binding was found to be \(\sim 7\) \(\circ\)C (Figure 12c).

**pH 6.8.** Titration of neomycin into the 16S A-site rRNA at pH 6.8 yielded a binding event similar to that found at pH 5.5. The thermal stability increase induced by neomycin was found to be \(\sim 7\) \(\circ\)C at pH 6.8, with no changes at other wavelengths. The induced CD signal at 265 or 210 nm can be plotted with the respective \(r_{bd}\) ratios, yielding the binding site size of one ligand per RNA molecule (Figure 12b). However, it has been shown that binding of neomycin to 16S A-site rRNA exhibits two binding events with ligand:duplex binding stoichiometries of \(\sim 1:1\) and \(\sim 2:1\) using ITC.\(^7\) This second binding event was not detected using CD. The enthalpy of binding of neomycin to 16S A-site rRNA was found to be \(-2.4\) kcal/mol at 20\(\circ\)C, contributing a 23% driving force to the binding free energy (Table 4, Figure 23, and Figure S25 of the Supporting Information). The association constant of neomycin binding was found to be \((8.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\), the highest among those of all the nucleic acids studied in this work (Table 4). The thermal stability increase upon neomycin binding was found to be \(\sim 7\) \(\circ\)C (Figure 12c).
Such a large discrepancy in the heat capacities can be attributed to the large binding-induced ligand protonation heats at higher pH values.  

RNA Duplex Poly(rA)-Poly(rU). Poly(rA)-poly(rU) was first reported in solution by Warner. The conformation of poly(rA)-poly(rU) is an A-form conformation. An equimolar mixture of poly(rA) and poly(rU) does not necessarily form a duplex. The poly(rA)-2poly(rU) triplex can be induced at higher temperatures, high salt concentrations, or low pH values or in the presence of magnesium ions. The nature of species present at a 1:1 poly(rA):poly(rU) ratio triggered much debate in the 1960s and 1970s. Warner concluded that duplex species were present. Fresco, on the other hand, concluded that a three-stranded poly(rA)-2poly(rU) complex formed at equilibrium regardless of the relative proportion of poly(rA) and poly(rU) in the mixture. Miles and Frazier demonstrated directly the existence of duplex poly(rA)-poly(rU) using infrared spectroscopy under various ionic conditions. This result was confirmed by Stevens and Felsenfeld. It has been suggested that the hypochromism observed at 280 nm as the temperature increases corresponds to the denaturation of duplex poly(rA)-poly(rU) and the rearrangement of poly(rA) and poly(rU) to form the three-stranded poly(rA)-2poly(rU) species and free poly(rA). Upon being heated further, the three-stranded complex then denatures to a single-stranded coil form. The formation of poly(rA)-2poly(rU) in an equimolar mixture of poly(rA) and poly(rU) has been demonstrated by Blake and Fresco to be the transient byproduct in the formation of poly(rA)-poly(rU), which disappears very slowly (~72 h) in 200 mM Na+. Thus, the discrepancies observed by different groups could be attributed to the kinetics of duplex formation.

Figure 11. (a) DSC melting profiles of dA·rU and rA·dT (150 μM/bp). (b) CD scans of rA·dT and dA·rU hybrids at 20 °C (40 μM/bp). The experiments were conducted in 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 100 mM NaCl (pH 5.5).

Figure 12. (a) CD scans of the titration of neomycin with 16S A-site rRNA. The filled circles show data of RNA by itself. The inset shows the CD spectra of RNA alone (—) and the ligand-saturated complex (→). (b) Plot of the change in CD signals at 265 nm vs corresponding rbd values. The intersection of two apparent linear sections reveals the binding site size. (c) UV thermal denaturation profiles of RNA in the absence (1) and presence (2) of neomycin at an rbd of 1. The experiments were conducted with 10 μM rRNA in 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 100 mM NaCl (pH 5.5).
interaction at low temperatures (Table 4 and Figure S28 of the Supporting Information). The temperature increase led to diminished contribution from entropy, but it still remained the dominating factor (Table 4 and Figure 23). Poly(rA)·poly(rU) exhibited a high binding constant with neomycin \( K_a = (2.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \) (Table 4).

pH 6.8. It has been reported that magnesium can induce the poly(rA)·2poly(rU) triplex in equimolar solutions of poly(rA) and poly(rU). Neomycin, a polycation with at least five positive charges, induces the formation of triplex RNA at low pH. However, continuous variation and CD spectroscopy showed that titration of neomycin into the poly(rA)·poly(rU) duplex at high pH does not convert the spectrum toward that of a neomycin·poly(rA)·2poly(rU) complex (Figure 14a) under the experimental conditions used here. CD titration with neomycin revealed a binding site size of 7 bp/duplex. (Figure 14b). ITC titrations utilizing the "model-free" method that improves the accuracy of \( \Delta H \) determination by plotting a large population of individual heat bursts versus their relative frequencies were conducted to assess the accuracy of single excess site \( \Delta H \) values. Four separate experiments were performed in which 30 \( \Delta H \) values from 10 \( \mu \text{L} \) injections at a low ligand concentration (2 \( \mu \text{M} \)) and excess nucleic acid (150 \( \mu \text{M/bp} \)) were recorded (Figure S29 of the Supporting Information). The titrations were then plotted as a function of \( \Delta H \) versus relative frequency. A Gaussian curve fit of the data yielded a \( \Delta H \) of \(-9.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ kcal/mol at } 30^\circ \text{C} \) (Figure 15). A single excess site titration \( \Delta H \) yielded an enthalpy of binding of \(-9.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ kcal/mol} \) (Table 3 and Figure S30 of the Supporting Information), which constitutes a <10% error for single excess site titrations.

**Triplex Poly(dA)-2Poly(dT).** Triplex DNA or RNA can be formed by associating the triplex-forming single strand with a duplex via Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds in the major groove. The solution conformation of DNA triplex poly(dA)-2poly(dT) has been debated. Evidence of a C3′-endo sugar pucker that is characteristic of the A-form conformation and a C2′-endo sugar pucker that is indicative of a B-form conformation has been reported. It is likely that the poly(dA)-2poly(dT)
structure contains conformational features that are intermediate between those of A- and B-form conformations.

Aminoglycosides have a significant stabilizing effect on triplex thermal stability. Of all aminoglycosides studied, neomycin was discovered to have the highest level of thermal stabilization on triplex DNA.25

pH 5.5. As shown in Figure 16a, the DSC melting profile confirms the formation of the poly(dA)-2poly(dT) triplex, with the enthalpy of triplex melting (1.21 kcal/mol) being ∼4 times smaller than that of the corresponding duplex melting (4.87 kcal/mol). The melting temperature of the poly(dA)-2poly(dT) triplex shows a slight concentration dependence, with the one observed in the DSC profile (26.0 °C, 100 μM/base triplet) being 2.5 °C higher than that obtained from the UV melting profile (23.5 °C, 15 μM/base triplet) (Figure 16b). The addition of neomycin (rbd = 6) to the DNA triplex does not affect duplex stability. However, it stabilized the triplex thermal stability by 7.5 °C as shown in Figure 16b. These results suggest that neomycin selectively stabilizes the triplex under physiological conditions. ITC excess site titrations at various temperatures yield a large heat capacity change, −264 ± 30 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ (Table 4). The binding constant of neomycin interacting with the DNA triplex at pH 5.5 (Kₘₐₓ) was found to be (2.4 ± 0.1) × 10⁵ M⁻¹ (Table 4 and Figure S31 of the Supporting Information).

pH 6.8. As expected, the binding enthalpy of neomycin interacting with poly(dA)-2poly(dT) is larger at pH 6.8 than at pH 5.5 (Tables 3 and 4 and Figures S31 and S32 of the Supporting Information). Neomycin shows enhanced thermal stability with the DNA triplex at pH 6.8 and an rbd of 7 (Figure 17 and Figure S33 of the Supporting Information). However, at pH 5.5, the neutralization of the DNA triplex (three negatively charged polymers) potential leads to a weakened interaction with the positively charged ligand, as evidenced by a smaller increase in the triplex denaturation temperature (Figure 16b).

Figure 16. (a) DSC melting profile of poly(dA)-2poly(dT) (100 μM/base triplet), (b) UV melting profiles of triplex in the absence (1) and presence (2) of neomycin at an rbd of 6. The experiments were conducted in 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 100 mM NaCl (pH 5.5).

Figure 17. UV thermal denaturation profiles of poly(dA)-2poly(dT) in the absence (1) and presence (2) of neomycin at an rbd of 7. The experiments were conducted in 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 100 mM NaCl (pH 6.8).

Figure 18. (a) DSC melting profile of poly(rA)-2poly(rU) (100 μM/base triplet) in the presence of neomycin at an rbd of 8.5 and pH 6.8. (b) UV melting profile of poly(rA)-2poly(rU) alone at pH 5.5. The experiments were conducted in 10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 100 mM NaCl.

Trplex Poly(rA)-2Poly(rU). Recognition of the RNA triplex has not attracted much attention even though it was the first three-stranded nucleic acid reported.87 The RNA triplex adopts a C₁'-endo sugar pucker characteristic of the A-form conformation. Ligands like sanguinarine, berberine,88 and berenil89 have been shown to bind to the RNA triplex. Neomycin stabilizes the RNA triplex more significantly than the DNA triplex.21 The DSC melting profile reveals that RNA triplex poly(rA)-2poly(rU) exhibits the same thermal stability as its corresponding duplex at pH 6.8 [Tₘ ~ 59 °C (Figure S34 of the Supporting Information)].
triplex dissociates the three strands directly to the single strand with a melting enthalpy of 5.5 kcal/mol. This enthalpy of melting of three strands, as expected, is much larger than the enthalpy of melting of the DNA triplex to the duplex and a single strand (1.0 kcal/mol). However, it is comparable to the melting enthalpy obtained from the corresponding RNA duplex denaturation (6.8 kcal/mol). The RNA triplex forms easily even in the equimolar ratio mixture of poly(rA) and poly(rU). In the presence of neomycin, two melting transitions in the DSC melting profile are observed for poly(rA)·2poly(rU) at pH 6.8 (Figure 18a and Figure S34 of the Supporting Information). Similarly, at pH 5.5, two melting transitions were observed in the UV experiment: one at 45.5 °C identified as the triplex melting to single strands and the other at 56.2 °C identified as the duplex melting to single strands (Figure 18b). However, these two melting transitions are too close in the DSC melting profile for an accurate determination of melting enthalpies for each transition. This ambiguity prevents an accurate calculation for the melting enthalpy of the RNA triplex and precludes the determination of a binding constant using the ΔT_m method (Figure S35 of the Supporting Information).

Quadruplexes. Four guanine-rich DNA strands can associate to form a quadruplex structure under physiological conditions. The formation of a G-quadruplex involves eight hydrogen bonds formed through Hoogsteen pairing where four guanines orient themselves in either a parallel or an antiparallel orientation. The G-rich quadruplex structure can adopt a diverse pattern of folding, resulting from variations in loop size and sequence and the possible combinations of strand orientation. The binding of small molecules to the quadruplex structure has been intensely researched in recent years. To date, most molecules found to bind to the quadruplex are intercalators with a planar structural feature. Most of these planar aromatic moieties bind to their host quadruplex DNAs with moderate affinities (K_a ~ 10^5 M^-1). Their binding affinities are also highly dependent on the structure of quadruplex DNA. While most of the G-quadruplex binding molecules discovered so far have been shown to have non-groove binding interactions, only a handful of molecules have been characterized to have interactions in the groove.
studied the binding of aminoglycosides to a well-characterized quadruplex formed by the telomeric DNA of *Oxytricha nova* [d(5′-GGGGTTTTGGG-3′)] and human telomeric DNA [d(5′-AGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGG-3′)]. These nucleic acid sequences are known to form an antiparallel quadruplex. 95 In both sodium and potassium ions, the *O. nova* quadruplex is known to have the same overall topology with slight variations in the loop connections. 96 This quadruplex DNA has four grooves that vary in shape and width and have been classified as narrow, wide, and medium (two grooves that are similar in width and are between the groove widths of narrow and wide grooves). Similarly, the unimolecular human telomeric quadruplex also has medium, narrow, and wide grooves.

**O. nova Quadruplex. pH 5.5.** The formation of the antiparallel quadruplex was checked using CD spectroscopy. As seen in Figure 19a, a positive band at 295 nm and a negative band at 260 nm were observed and are characteristic CD signatures for an antiparallel quadruplex structure. 97 To investigate any binding-induced changes, CD titration experiments were conducted. The DNA solution was serially titrated with a concentrated ligand solution. However, even after additions of large amounts of the ligand, very small changes in the CD signal at 295 or 260 nm were observed (Figure 19). These results indicate that neomycin binding does not perturb the quadruplex structure significantly. UV thermal denaturation experiments showed that the quadruplex melted at 55.9 °C in the absence of ligand (Figure S36 of the Supporting Information). At a 1:1 ligand:quadruplex ratio, the DNA melted at 56.3 °C. ITC experiments showed the heat of ligand--quadruplex interaction to be very small at this pH, <1.0 kcal/mol (Figure S37 of the Supporting Information).

**pH 6.8.** Similar to observations at pH 5.5, the CD spectrum showed the formation of the quadruplex (Figure 19b). The CD titration with neomycin did not show any significant binding-induced changes. Likewise, there was also a small thermal stabilization upon ligand binding at a 1:1 ligand:quadruplex ratio (Figure S36 of the Supporting Information). The ITC experiments were performed to determine the binding affinities (Figure S37 of the Supporting Information). The ITC-derived binding stoichiometry showed approximately one ligand binding to the quadruplex with an affinity ($K_a$) of ($1.8 \pm 0.3$) $\times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ (Table 4).

**Human Telomeric DNA. pH 5.5.** Human telomeric DNA contains a repeat hexamer unit [d(5′-TTAGGG)]. A 22-mer oligonucleotide model d(5′-AGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGG) has been shown to adopt an antiparallel structure in solution. 98 The CD spectrum showed a positive peak at 295 nm and a negative peak at 260 nm that are consistent with the antiparallel structure of the quadruplex (Figure 20a). To characterize the binding-induced structural changes, we conducted CD titration with increasing ligand concentrations. Even after large additions of neomycin to the quadruplex, very small changes in the CD intensity were observed at 295 nm. These results indicate that like *O. nova*, this quadruplex undergoes very small structural changes upon ligand binding, and the overall antiparallel fold of the quadruplex is preserved.

The UV thermal denaturation studies showed a small thermal stabilization of <1 °C (Figure S38 of the Supporting Information). Similar to the *O. nova*, because of the low heats of interaction in the ITC studies, the resulting enthalpy profile could not be fitted at this pH (Figure S37 of the Supporting Information).

**pH 6.8.** As seen from the CD spectrum, the antiparallel structure was confirmed by the positive band at 295 nm. The addition of neomycin resulted in minimal changes in the CD intensity at 295 nm (Figure 20b). Similar to the results at pH 5.5, UV thermal denaturation experiments also showed minimal thermal stabilization (Figure S38 of the Supporting Information). ITC-derived binding constants were obtained using a one-site binding model (Figure S37 of the Supporting Information). The results obtained show that neomycin binds to the human telomeric DNA with a 1:1 ligand:quadruplex ratio with an affinity constant ($K_a$) of ($2.5 \pm 0.2$) $\times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ (Table 4).

**I-Motif Poly(dC).** Cytosine-rich polynucleotides or oligodeoxynucleotides can adopt a non-B-form structure called the i-motif at slightly acidic or neutral pH. This i-motif DNA is a tetramer of equivalent strands, containing two duplexes zipped together in an antiparallel fashion. The individual parallel stranded duplexes have their hemiprotonated C-C$^+$ base pairs face to face in the right-handed and unwound manner (Figure 1). 99 This structure contains two wide and two narrow grooves.

The cytosine-rich sequence is found at the 5′ end of the telomere in eukaryotic chromosomes and some noncoding regions of eukaryotic DNA such as promoter sites and introns. Some RNA in the genomes of various cardioviruses and encephalomyocarditis viruses contains many stretches composed of more than 75% cytosine. 100

As shown in Figure 21a, the CD scan of the poly(dC) sequence exhibits a strong positive band at 285 nm and a negative band at 265 nm, both characteristics of the i-motif structure. Titration of neomycin shows the formation of a complex with an isosbestic point at 272 nm. Binding of neomycin at an n$_{bd}$ of 4 increases the thermal stability of the i-
motif DNA by 4 °C (from 50 to 53.5 °C) as shown in Figure 21b and Figure S39 of the Supporting Information. The binding affinity cannot be accurately calculated using the ΔT_{m} method because of the contribution of the heat of protonation to enthalpy at pH 6.8.

**DISCUSSION**

**Comparison of Neomycin–Nucleic Acid Interactions at pH 5.5 and 6.8.** Aminosugars such as neomycin undergo protonation after forming complexes with DNAs or RNAs. This presents a significant problem in obtaining the intrinsic binding enthalpy and heat capacity change at or near physiological pH. Thus, the binding affinity calculated with the ΔT_{m} method using these thermodynamic parameters could be overestimated at pH 6.8. Inspection of the pK_{a} values of the six amino groups on neomycin shows that it is almost fully protonated at pH 5.5. Thereby, enthalpies of binding obtained at this pH reflect values close to the intrinsic heats of binding of neomycin–nucleic acid complexation. Caution, however, must be exercised because a pH of 5.5 can itself induce nucleic acid instability for some structures. In this report, we have reported thermodynamic studies of neomycin binding to DNA and RNA under physiological conditions.

**Table 5. Comparison of Binding Preferences of Neomycin between Competition Dialysis and ΔT_{m} Method-Derived Binding Constants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNA</th>
<th>K_{a} (x10^5 M^{-1}) via ΔT_{m}</th>
<th>K_{app} (x10^5 M^{-1}) via competition dialysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>poly(dA-dT)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1 [poly(dA-dT)]</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02 [poly(dA)-poly(dT)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dA)-2poly(dT)</td>
<td>11 ± 1 (51 °C)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(rA)-poly(dT)</td>
<td>34 ± 4</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(dA)-poly(rU)</td>
<td>94 ± 1</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(rA)-poly(rA)</td>
<td>170 ± 20</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC-rich duplex</td>
<td>180 ± 60 [d(A_{15}G_{15}C_{15}T_{2})]</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.06 [poly(dG)-poly(dC)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(rA)-2poly(rU)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(rA)-poly(rU)</td>
<td>290 ± 10</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16S A-site RNA</td>
<td>870 ± 90</td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Obtained via the ΔT_{m} method. Obtained via ITC data fitting using the one-binding site model.

motif DNA by 4 °C (from 50 to 53.5 °C) as shown in Figure 21b and Figure S39 of the Supporting Information. The binding affinity cannot be accurately calculated using the ΔT_{m} method because of the contribution of the heat of protonation to enthalpy at pH 6.8.
binding at pH 6.8 and 5.5 to single-strand, duplex, triplex, and quadruplex nucleic acids. The results presented provide a fundamental basis for understanding neomycin’s interaction and selectivity for a variety of nucleic acids and can serve as a database for studying binding of aminosugars and modified aminosugars to nucleic acid targets.

The advantage of the study at pH 6.8 is that all the conformations adopted by nucleic acids, their binding site size, melting enthalpy, and thermal stabilization by neomycin reflect binding properties that are indicative of solution conditions much closer to physiological conditions. At pH 5.5, neomycin amines are nearly protonated; thus, the observed binding enthalpy, entropy, and binding constant reflect the intrinsic heat of interaction between the ligand and nucleic acids. However, study at such a low pH can lead to practical problems such as a decreased enthalpy of interaction and alterations in nucleic acid structure and stability. At pH 6.8, the observed binding enthalpy includes the intrinsic binding enthalpy between neomycin and nucleic acid, the binding-linked heat of protonation, and the dilution heat of the ligand. The dilution heat can be obtained experimentally by titrating the ligand solution into buffer only. The protonation heat of neomycin differs from system to system, depending on the extent of binding-linked protonation, and thus contributes differently to the observed $\Delta C_p$ and binding constants. The overestimated binding enthalpy obtained from ITC experiments at pH 6.8 can therefore lead to a slightly higher binding constant with the $\Delta T_m$-based method. $\Delta T_m$-based binding constants at this pH are not reported here, but the observed heats of interaction and $T_m$ changes are listed in Table 3.

A negative $\Delta C_p$ was observed for neomycin–nucleic acid interactions at pH 5.5 and 6.8 (Tables 3 and 4). The negative $\Delta C_p$ is thought to be a distinctive feature of site-specific binding in protein–DNA interactions. The negative sign partially results from the removal of large amounts of nonpolar surface area upon formation of the complex. Removal of nonpolar surface area causes the $\Delta C_p$ value to be more negative, while removal of polar surface leads to a more positive $\Delta C_p$ value. In addition to removal of nonpolar solvent-accessible surface area, changes in vibrational modes of macromolecules and water molecules, as well as the involvement of the conformational equilibrium of macromolecules, contribute to the $\Delta C_p$. Binding-coupled protonation of the ligand molecule is also believed to contribute to the observed $\Delta C_p$ value at pH 6.8.

Tables 3 and 4 show the thermodynamic parameters obtained for interactions of neomycin with a variety of nucleic acids at pH 6.8 and 5.5, respectively. Several conclusions can be drawn from the results. (1) In the absence of the heat of binding-induced protonation, the binding enthalpies at pH 5.5 are all smaller than the ones observed at pH 6.8. (2) All heat capacity changes at pH 5.5 are smaller than the heat capacity changes at pH 6.8.
changes at pH 6.8, suggesting that at pH 6.8 the temperature dependence of the heats of protonation also contributes to the differences in heat capacity changes of interaction. (3) At pH 6.8 and 5.5, CD titration of neomycin into various nucleic acids as well as FID titrations at pH 6.8 of neomycin into the same (Figures S40–S42 of the Supporting Information) reveals similar binding site sizes. This result indicates that different pH values do not affect the binding sites and modes but may affect the strength of ligand–nucleic acid interaction in addition to the heats of interaction. (4) The order of preference of neomycin for nucleic acid structures observed at pH 5.5 is as follows: A-site RNA > poly(rA)·poly(rU) > GC-rich 34-mer oligonucleotide DNA > poly(rA)·poly(rA) > poly(dA)·poly-(rU) > poly(rA)·poly(dt) > poly(dA)·2poly(dt) > poly-(dA)·poly(dt).

McGhee’s Statistical Mechanical Model-Derived Binding Affinities. Ligand–DNA binding affinities can be determined by using a statistical mechanical model derived from McGhee’s theory for the thermal denaturation of ligand-bound DNA. By using $T_m$, $\Delta H$, $n$, and $\Delta H_{wc}$ from Tables 3 and 4, as well as a nucleation parameter ($\sigma$), complete melting curves can be generated. By fitting these models to thermal denaturation data, binding affinities can be computationally simulated. McGhee fits for the thermal denaturation curves of neomycin and nucleic acid duplexes poly(dA·dT)$_2$, poly-(rA)·poly(dt), poly(dA)·poly(rU), and poly(rA)·poly(rA) at pH 5.5 are shown in Figure 22. While the McGhee fits produced binding affinities that were consistently lower than the ITC-derived constants (Table 4), the overall trend did not change: poly(rA)·poly(rA) $K_c = 4.7 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$; poly(dA)·poly-(rU) $K_c = 9.4 \times 10^5$ M$^{-1}$; poly(rA)·poly(dt) $K_c = 3.4 \times 10^5$ M$^{-1}$; poly(dA·dT)$_2$ $K_c = 2.0 \times 10^4$ M$^{-2}$.

Comparison of Competition Dialysis and $\Delta T_m$ Method-Derived Binding Constants of Neomycin Interaction. When comparing the binding preference of neomycin, we calculated the apparent binding constant $K_{app}$ with eq 4,

$$K_{app} = C_b/[C_f - ([NA]_{total} - C_b)]$$

where $C_b$ and $C_f$ are the bound and free ligand concentrations, respectively, and $[NA]_{total}$ is the total nucleic acid concentration used in the competition dialysis.

Table 5 shows the binding affinities of neomycin calculated from eq 4 for competition dialysis and the $\Delta T_m$-based method at pH 5.5. DNA triplex poly(dA·dT)$_2$·poly(rU) and poly(rA)·poly-(rA) were not used in competition dialysis because these structures do not exist at pH 7.0 under the salt conditions used for this comparison. Poly(rA)·2poly(rU) triplex–neomycin binding affinity also cannot be derived with the $\Delta T_m$ method because of the aforementioned reasons.

The order of binding preferences of neomycin observed from competition dialysis is as follows: 16S A-site rRNA > RNA triplex poly(rA)·2poly(rU) > RNA duplex poly(rA)·poly(rU) > poly(dG)·poly(dC) and poly(dA)·poly(rU) > poly(rA)·poly-(dT) > poly(dA)·2poly(dt). The trend observed from $\Delta T_m$-derived binding affinities at pH 5.5 follows the same general trend: 16S A-site rRNA > poly(rA)·poly(rU) > $d(A_{16}G_{17}C_{18}T_{19})_2$ > poly(rA)·poly(rA) > poly(dA)·poly(rU) > poly(rA)·poly-(dT) > poly(dA)·2poly(dt) > poly(dA·dT)$_2$.

Remarkably, both methods exhibit approximately the same order of binding preference of neomycin over the range of nucleic acids studied. The binding constants obtained from competition dialysis are all smaller in magnitude than those observed by the $\Delta T_m$-based method. The discrepancy could be due to the fact that unlike the excess site ITC titrations, the affinities derived from competition dialysis are averages of affinities for specific as well as the weaker, nonspecific ligand binding events that occur at higher concentrations for the polycation–nucleic acid interactions studied here. Other factors such as the effect of the dye on neomycin binding and the...
binding of F-neo to the dialysis membrane cannot be ruled out. Therefore, as previously suggested, for an accurate determination of binding constants, a rigorous calorimetric treatment is clearly the method of choice. Though competition dialysis may not quantitatively distinguish the binding affinities for primary binding sites, it still can be used qualitatively as an efficient technique to compare the binding preference of neomycin with various nucleic acids, even where weaker secondary binding events may be operable.

The thermodynamic properties for neomycin–nucleic acid interactions are displayed as bar graphs (Figure 23). Individual thermodynamic contributions for neomycin bound to the nucleic acids are mostly entropically driven. As previously stated, all neomycin amines are close to being fully protonated at pH 5.5, which minimizes enthalpic contributions from binding-induced protonation. Accordingly, all structures exhibit large positive entropy values that can be attributed to factors such as desolvation, conformational shifts, and the liberation of counterions as well as water. Interactions with high-affinity A-form structures such as poly(rA)-poly(rU) display the highest positive entropy values. As the structures undergo the transition from the A-form to the B-form, ligand affinities are gradually reduced. High-affinity structures such as the 16S A-site RNA were used as a control and represent a special case of an RNA secondary structure. Neomycin binding to 16S A-site RNA exhibits negative enthalpies. Poly(rA)-2poly(rU) binding data shows an incomplete thermodynamic profile due to the disproportionation of the structure at this pH. A comparison of ΔG values is shown in Figure 24a. As expected, the good potential and shape complements found in A-form structures generate the most negative free energies of binding. Additionally, the higher-affinity A-form DNA–ligand interactions are more entropically driven than lower-affinity B-form DNA–ligand binding (Figure 24b). ΔH values range from low positive heats for A-form RNA and hybrid structures to negative heats for B-form structures (Figure 24c).

Docking Studies. In the analysis of the various DNA and RNA structures studied in this work, the groove width of the nucleic acid structures appears to strongly impact the binding affinity of neomycin. As seen in Figure 25, the binding of neomycin to various forms of DNA and RNA correlates roughly with the width of the nucleic acid groove. Figure 25a displays the general survey trend from A-form RNA to B-form DNA. As the structures undergo the transition from the A-form to the B-form and RNA to AT-rich DNA, the free energy of binding decreases as the groove width increases to produce a shallower and wider pocket. The modeling results also correlate well with the entropic contribution to binding [the smaller the groove width, larger the entropic contribution (Figure 24b)]. This phenomenon is succinctly expressed by Figure 25b. The same trend is further supported by Figure 25c.

Overall, a strong correlation is seen for the affinity of neomycin for the major groove width of nucleic acid structures, and the increase in binding energy correlates well with a decrease in major groove width (A-form RNA > A-form DNA > DNA-RNA hybrid and triplex > B-form DNA and quadruplex DNA) (Figure 26 and Tables S1–S3 of the Supporting Information).
CONCLUSION

An attempt has previously been made to depict distinct qualitative differences between the thermodynamic profiles for groove binding and intercalating ligands. Figure 27 is a reproduction of the figure from that study. Two distinct groups emerge from the thermodynamic comparison of ΔH vs ΔS at pH 5.5 and 25 °C.

Since the discovery of aminoglycosides as RNA binders three decades ago, their nucleic acid targets have grown to include multistrand DNA structures such as triplexes and quadruplexes. This study investigates a variety of nucleic acid structures in our evaluation of neomycin’s binding properties and extraction of thermodynamic parameters of binding. Results obtained from this study suggest that neomycin prefers to bind A-form-like nucleic acid structures, gradually becoming less favorable as nucleic acid structures undergo the transition to the B-form. This report, the first involving a nucleic acid screen by neomycin from a thermodynamic perspective, can function as a database for comparing modified aminoglycoside ligands.

A different perspective on the results obtained from this study also comes from our developing understanding of nucleic acid recognition. As it is becoming increasingly evident from studies of protein–DNA recognition, shape readout of DNA in addition to direct readout mechanisms is extremely important. Small molecule-based approaches to nucleic acid recognition should therefore include approaches that use nucleic acid shape readout with the ability to detect local variations in DNA shape and electrostatic potential, as shown here, in addition to existing “direct readout” approaches utilized in the development of small molecule DNA minor groove binding ligands.
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