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“Academic freedom is essential”

“Academic freedom is a right and not a privilege to be granted or withheld”
“In policy and in practice, the University and its accrediting agency, the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, adhere to the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure of the American Association of University Professors (AAUP)”
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“Tenure is a means to certain ends; specifically:
(1) freedom of teaching and research and of extramural activities, and

(2) a sufficient degree of economic security to make the profession attractive to men and women of ability.

Freedom and economic security, hence, tenure, are indispensable to the success of an institution in fulfilling its obligations to its students and to society.”
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“After the expiration of a probationary period, teachers or investigators should have permanent or continuous tenure”

“Beginning with appointment to the rank of full-time instructor or a higher rank, the probationary period should not exceed seven years”
'7. The concept of “rank of full-time instructor or a higher rank” is intended to include any person who teaches a full-time load regardless of the teacher’s specific title.

[For a discussion of this question, see the “Report of the Special Committee on Academic Personnel Ineligible for Tenure,”...’]
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“The Special Committee is unanimously agreed... any person whom an institution appoints to a full-time teaching position should be treated as a candidate for tenure under the requirements of the 1940 Statement, no matter what rank or title he may be given by the institution.”
Report of the Special Committee on Academic Personnel Ineligible for Tenure

“If an institution wants to exclude a doctoral candidate (or any other person whom it considers inadequately qualified for regular faculty membership and status) from tenure candidacy, it should not appoint him as a full-time teacher.”
Report of the Special Committee on Academic Personnel Ineligible for Tenure

“The Special Committee believes that anyone who does an instructor's work should be given appropriate rank and privileges. In short, the Special Committee wishes to eliminate the second problem category by refusing to grant that, for purposes of the 1940 Statement, there is any such thing as a full-time teacher at a rank below that of instructor.”
Report of the Special Committee on Academic Personnel Ineligible for Tenure

“Acceptable academic practice for tenure is described in the 1940 Statement of Principles only for full-time appointments beginning with the rank of instructor. The Special Committee recommends that these provisions be extended to include all full-time teacher appointments in the university.”
Report of the Special Committee on Academic Personnel Ineligible for Tenure

“If, however, a full-time appointment can be made as a lecturer or acting instructor, without obligating the institution to a limited probation period, it will diminish the protection of the Association's statement of policy on tenure.”

[Thus...]
"To provide for protection of the young teachers' tenure rights, the committee proposes:

(3) All full-time teachers, but not investigators, in the universities regardless of their titles should acquire tenure after a probationary period as provided for appointments to the rank of full-time instructor or a higher rank in the 1940 Statement."
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The Three Key Questions

1) Is the position teaching a “full time load”?  

2) Is the position “permanent” (or temporary)?  

3) Is it “appropriate” for a regular faculty member to teach those classes?
The Bottom Line

In policy and in practice, Clemson University does not adhere to the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure of the American Association of University Professors.
Possible Solution

1) Abrogate our commitment to the nationwide standard of Academic Freedom and Tenure
Possible Solution

2) Insert language into the Faculty Manual

- To define where the assignment of regular faculty ranks is not appropriate
- To define what happens when a temporary need becomes a permanent need
- To convert positions as needed to adhere to the AAUP statements.
Not A Possible Solution

3) Ignore the problem

4) Make the excuse that other universities have the same violations

5) Blame the state legislature for not opening FTEs
Thank You
1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure

with 1970 Interpretive Comments

In 1915 the Committee on Academic Freedom and Academic Tenure of the American Association of University Professors formulated a statement of principles on academic freedom and academic tenure known as the 1915 Declaration of Principles, which was officially endorsed by the Association at its Second Annual Meeting held in Washington, D.C., December 31, 1915, and January 1, 1916.

In 1925 the American Council on Education called a conference of representatives of a number of its constituent members, among them the American Association of University Professors, for the purpose of formulating a shorter statement of principles on academic freedom and tenure. The statement formulated at this conference, known as the 1925 Conference Statement on Academic Freedom and Tenure, was endorsed by the Association of American Colleges (now the Association of American Colleges and Universities) in 1925 and by the American Association of University Professors in 1926.

In 1940, following a series of joint conferences begun in 1934, representatives of the American Association of University Professors and of the Association of American Colleges agreed on a restatement of the principles that had been set forth in the 1925 Conference Statement on Academic Freedom and Tenure. This restatement is known to the profession as the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure.

Following extensive discussions on the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure with leading educational associations and with individual faculty members and administrators, a joint committee of the AAUP and the Association of American Colleges met during 1969 to reevaluate this key policy statement. On the basis of the comments received, and the discussions that ensued, the joint committee felt the preferable approach was to formulate interpretations of the 1940 Statement from the experience gained in implementing and applying it for over thirty years and of adapting it to current needs.

The committee submitted to the two associations for their consideration Interpretive Comments that are included below as footnotes to the 1940 Statement. These interpretations were adopted by the Council of the American Association of University Professors in April 1970 and endorsed by the Fifty-Sixth Annual Meeting as Association policy.

1. The Introduction to the Interpretive Comments notes: In the thirty years since their promulgation, the principles of the 1940 “Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure” have undergone a substantial amount of refinement. This has evolved through a variety of processes, including customary acceptance, understandings mutually arrived at between institutions and professors or their representatives, investigations and reports by the American Association of University Professors, and formulations of statements by that association either alone or in conjunction with the Association of American...
The purpose of this statement is to promote public understanding and support of academic freedom and tenure and agreement upon procedures to ensure them in colleges and universities. Institutions of higher education are conducted for the common good and not to further the interest of either the individual teacher or the institution as a whole. The common good depends upon the free search for truth and its free exposition.

Academic freedom is essential to these purposes and applies to both teaching and research. Freedom in research is fundamental to the advancement of truth. Academic freedom in its teaching aspect is fundamental for the protection of the rights of the teacher in teaching and of the student to freedom in learning. It carries with it duties correlative with rights.

Tenure is a means to certain ends; specifically: (1) freedom of teaching and research and of extramural activities, and (2) a sufficient degree of economic security to make the profession attractive to men and women of ability. Freedom and economic security, hence, tenure, are indispensable to the success of an institution in fulfilling its obligations to its students and to society.

### Academic Freedom

1. Teachers are entitled to full freedom in research and in the publication of the results, subject to the adequate performance of their other academic duties; but research for pecuniary return should be based upon an understanding with the authorities of the institution.

2. Teachers are entitled to freedom in the classroom in discussing their subject, but they should be careful not to introduce into their teaching controversial matter which has no relation to their subject. Limitations of academic freedom because of religious or other aims of the institution should be clearly stated in writing at the time of the appointment.

3. College and university teachers are citizens, members of a learned profession, and officers of an educational institution. When they speak or write as citizens, they should be free from institutional censorship or discipline, but their special position in the community imposes special obligations. As scholars and educational officers, they should remember that the public may judge their profession and their institution by their utterances. Hence they should at all times be accurate, should exercise appropriate restraint, should show respect for the opinions of others, and should make every effort to indicate that they are not speaking for the institution.

4. Second 1970 comment: The intent of this statement is not to discourage what is “controversial.” Controversy is at the heart of the free academic inquiry which the entire statement is designed to foster. The passage serves to underscore the need for teachers to avoid persistently intruding material which has no relation to their subject.

5. Third 1970 comment: Most church-related institutions no longer need or desire the departure from the principle of academic freedom implied in the 1940 “Statement,” and we do not now endorse such a departure.

6. Fourth 1970 comment: This paragraph is the subject of an interpretation adopted by the sponsors of the 1940 “Statement” immediately following its endorsement:

If the administration of a college or university feels that a teacher has not observed the admonitions of paragraph 3 of the section on Academic Freedom and believes that the extramural utterances of the teacher have been such as to raise grave doubts concerning the teacher’s fitness for his or her position, it may proceed to file charges under paragraph 4 of the section on Academic Tenure. In pressing such charges, the administration should remember that teachers are citizens and should be
Academic Tenure
After the expiration of a probationary period, teachers or investigators should have permanent or continuous tenure, and their service should be terminated only for adequate cause, except in the case of retirement for age, or under extraordinary circumstances because of financial exigencies.

In the interpretation of this principle it is understood that the following represents acceptable academic practice:

1. The precise terms and conditions of every appointment should be stated in writing and be in the possession of both institution and teacher before the appointment is consummated.
2. Beginning with appointment to the rank of full-time instructor or a higher rank,7 the probationary period should not exceed seven years, including within this period full-time service in all institutions of higher education; but subject to the proviso that when, after a term of probationary service of more than three years in one or more institutions, a teacher is called to another institution, it may be agreed in writing that the new appointment is for a probationary period of not more than four years, even though thereby the person’s total probationary period in the academic profession is extended beyond the normal maximum of seven years.8 Notice should be given at least one year prior to the expiration of the probationary period if the teacher is not to be continued in service after the expiration of that period.9

Paragraph 3 of the section on Academic Freedom in the 1940 “Statement” should also be interpreted in keeping with the 1964 “Committee A Statement on Extramural Utterances,” Policy Documents and Reports, 31, which states inter alia: “The controlling principle is that a faculty member’s expression of opinion as a citizen cannot constitute grounds for dismissal unless it clearly demonstrates the faculty member’s unfitness for his or her position. Extramural utterances rarely bear upon the faculty member’s fitness for the position. Moreover, a final decision should take into account the faculty member’s entire record as a teacher and scholar.”

Paragraph 5 of the “Statement on Professional Ethics,” Policy Documents and Reports, 146, also addresses the nature of the “special obligations” of the teacher:

As members of their community, professors have the rights and obligations of other citizens. Professors measure the urgency of these obligations in the light of their responsibilities to their subject, to their students, to their profession, and to their institution. When they speak or act as private persons, they avoid creating the impression of speaking or acting for their college or university. As citizens engaged in a profession that depends upon freedom for its health and integrity, professors have a particular obligation to promote conditions of free inquiry and to further public understanding of academic freedom.

Both the protection of academic freedom and the requirements of academic responsibility apply not only to the full-time probationary and the tenured teacher, but also to all others, such as part-time faculty and teaching assistants, who exercise teaching responsibilities.

7. Fifth 1970 comment: The concept of “rank of full-time instructor or a higher rank” is intended to include any person who teaches a full-time load regardless of the teacher’s specific title. For a discussion of this question, see the “Report of the Special Committee on Academic Personnel Ineligible for Tenure,” AAUP Bulletin 52 (September 1966): 280–82.

8. Sixth 1970 comment: In calling for an agreement “in writing” on the amount of credit given for a faculty member’s prior service at other institutions, the “Statement” furthered the general policy of full understanding by the professor of the terms and conditions of the appointment. It does not necessarily follow that a professor’s tenure rights have been violated because of the absence of a written agreement on this matter. Nonetheless, especially because of the variation in permissible institutional practices, a written understanding concerning these matters at the time of appointment is particularly appropriate and advantageous to both the individual and the institution. [For a more detailed statement on this question, see “On Crediting Prior Service Elsewhere as Part of the Probationary Period,” Policy Documents and Reports, 167–68.]

9. Seventh 1970 comment: The effect of this subparagraph is that a decision on tenure, favorable or unfavorable, must be made at least twelve months prior to the completion of the probationary period. If the decision is negative, the appointment for the following year becomes a terminal one. If the decision is affirmative, the provisions in the 1940 “Statement” with respect to the termination of service of teachers or investigators after the expiration of a probationary period should apply from the date when the favorable decision is made.

The general principle of notice contained in this paragraph is developed with greater specificity in the “Standards for Notice of Reappointment,” endorsed by the Fiftieth Annual Meeting of the American Association of University Professors (1964) (Policy Documents and Reports, 99). These standards are:

Notice of nonreappointment, or of intention not to recommend reappointment to the governing board, should be given in writing in accordance with the following standards:

1. Not later than March 1 of the first academic year of service, if the appointment expires at the end of that year; or, if a one-year appointment terminates during an academic year, at least three months in advance of its termination.
3. During the probationary period a teacher should have the academic freedom that all other members of the faculty have.\textsuperscript{10}

4. Termination for cause of a continuous appointment, or the dismissal for cause of a teacher previous to the expiration of a term appointment, should, if possible, be considered by both a faculty committee and the governing board of the institution. In all cases where the facts are in dispute, the accused teacher should be informed before the hearing in writing of the charges and should have the opportunity to be heard in his or her own defense by all bodies that pass judgment upon the case. The teacher should be permitted to be accompanied by an advisor of his or her own choosing who may act as counsel. There should be a full stenographic record of the hearing available to the parties concerned. In the hearing of charges of incompetence the testimony should include that of teachers and other scholars, either from the teacher's own or from other institutions. Teachers on continuous appointment who are dismissed for reasons not involving moral turpitude should receive their salaries for at least a year from the date of notification of dismissal whether or not they are continued in their duties at the institution.\textsuperscript{11}

5. Termination of a continuous appointment because of financial exigency should be demonstrably bona fide.

\textbf{Endorsers}

Note: Groups that changed names subsequent to endorsing the statement are listed under their current names.

- Association of American Colleges and Universities \textsuperscript{1941}
- American Association of University Professors \textsuperscript{1941}
- American Library Association (adapted for librarians) \textsuperscript{1946}
- Association of American Law Schools \textsuperscript{1946}
- American Political Science Association \textsuperscript{1947}
- American Association for Higher Education and Accreditation \textsuperscript{1950}
- American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education \textsuperscript{1950}
- Eastern Psychological Association \textsuperscript{1950}
- Southern Society for Philosophy and Psychology \textsuperscript{1953}
- American Psychological Association \textsuperscript{1961}
- American Historical Association \textsuperscript{1961}
- Modern Language Association \textsuperscript{1962}
- American Economic Association \textsuperscript{1962}
- Agricultural and Applied Economic Association \textsuperscript{1962}
- Midwest Sociological Society \textsuperscript{1963}
- Organization of American Historians \textsuperscript{1963}
- Society for Classical Studies \textsuperscript{1963}
- American Council of Learned Societies \textsuperscript{1963}
- American Sociological Association \textsuperscript{1963}

\textsuperscript{2} Not later than December 15 of the second academic year of service, if the appointment expires at the end of that year; or, if an initial two-year appointment terminates during an academic year, at least six months in advance of its termination.

\textsuperscript{3} At least twelve months before the expiration of an appointment after two or more years in the institution.

Other obligations, both of institutions and of individuals, are described in the “Statement on Recruitment and Resignation of Faculty Members,” Policy Documents and Reports, 153–54, as endorsed by the Association of American Colleges and the American Association of University Professors in 1961.

10. Eighth 1970 comment: The freedom of probationary teachers is enhanced by the establishment of a regular procedure for the periodic evaluation and assessment of the teacher’s academic performance during probationary status. Provision should be made for regularized procedures for the consideration of complaints by probationary teachers that their academic freedom has been violated. One suggested procedure to serve these purposes is contained in the “Recommended Institutional Regulations on Academic Freedom and Tenure,” Policy Documents and Reports, 79–90, prepared by the American Association of University Professors.

11. Ninth 1970 comment: A further specification of the academic due process to which the teacher is entitled under this paragraph is contained in the “Statement on Procedural Standards in Faculty Dismissal Proceedings,” Policy Documents and Reports, 91–93, jointly approved by the American Association of University Professors and the Association of American Colleges in 1958. This interpretive document deals with the issue of suspension, about which the 1940 “Statement” is silent.

The “Statement on Procedural Standards in Faculty Dismissal Proceedings” provides: “Suspension of the faculty member during the proceedings is justified only if immediate harm to the faculty member or others is threatened by the faculty member’s continuance. Unless legal considerations forbid, any such suspension should be with pay.” A suspension which is not followed by either reinstatement or the opportunity for a hearing is in effect a summary dismissal in violation of academic due process.

The concept of “moral turpitude” identifies the exceptional case in which the professor may be denied a year’s teaching or pay in whole or in part. The statement applies to that kind of behavior which goes beyond simply warranting discharge and is so utterly blameworthy as to make it inappropriate to require the offering of a year’s teaching or pay. The standard is not that the moral sensibilities of persons in the particular community have been affronted. The standard is behavior that would evoke condemnation by the academic community generally.
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Report of the Special Committee on
Academic Personnel Ineligible for Tenure

The report which follows is published here, at the direction of the Association's
Council, in order that chapters, conferences, members and other interested per-
casso may have an opportunity to submit their comments to the Special Com-
mittee. All comments should be directed to the Association's Washington Office.

Preamble

The Special Committee considered problems with re-
gard to nontenure positions particularly as they concern
three categories of academic people: (1) part-time teach-
ers, (2) full-time teachers who are not considered regular
members of faculties, and (3) persons who are appointed
to full-time research positions. The Special Committee's
first effort has been to survey and analyze the policies
and practices of reputable universities with regard to
nontenure positions, reports of which were previously
made to the Council and Committee A. Its second con-
cern has been to examine these practices in relation to
the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom
and Tenure of the Association of American Colleges and
the American Association of University Professors. Its
third and final effort has been to formulate an interpret-
tion of the 1940 Statement that might serve to guide
the Association in advising interested persons about prob-
lems and disputes involving nontenure appointments.

The Special Committee soon concluded that the 1940
Statement could not be interpreted as guaranteeing ten-
ure rights to part-time teachers. Its provisions for a pro-
bationary period apply explicitly to "... appointment to
the rank of full-time instructor or higher rank." The
Special Committee feels, however, that the Association
should continue to be actively concerned with cases be-
longing to this category, and should use its influence to
persuade institutions to adopt and use suitable grievance
procedures so that disputes involving part-time teachers
can be judiciously resolved within the institutions. Where
such procedures are inadequate or lacking, the Associa-
tion should vigorously uphold the right of part-time
teachers to the same academic freedom that teachers with
tenure have. This policy should of course apply equally
to full-time teachers during their probation period.

There has been much discussion by the Special Com-
mittee, as there has been among other organs of the

Association, of the question whether the increasing use
of people without doctors' degrees as full-time teachers
calls for clarification of the probationary requirements
set forth by the 1940 Statement. That is, does an educa-
tional institution have to count years of full-time service
accumulated by a tenure candidate before he has re-
ceived his doctorate in determining when the decision to
grant or not grant tenure must be made? Or, conversely,
is it legitimate for an institution to appoint a doctoral
candidate as a full-time teacher, in a rank below, or
different from, that of instructor, and consider that his
term of probation for tenure begins only if and when he
receives the doctorate? The 1940 Statement, whether inten-
tionally or not, appears to leave room for the second
interpretation by saying that the probationary period
should begin with appointment at the rank of instructor
or a higher rank. It does not, however, say at what rank
a full-time teacher with the doctorate must be appointed.

After full discussion, the Special Committee is unani-
mously agreed that the first interpretation should be
Association policy; that is, any person whom an institu-
tion appoints to a full-time teaching position should be
-treated as a candidate for tenure under the requirements
of the 1940 Statement, no matter what rank or title he
may be given by the institution. If an institution wants
to exclude a doctoral candidate (or any other person
whom it considers inadequately qualified for regular
faculty membership and status) from tenure candidacy,
it should not appoint him as a full-time teacher. The
Special Committee believes that less injustice will be
done, both to teachers and to institutions, if this policy
is enforced than if the apparent loophole is left open. A
serious doctoral candidate ought not to do full-time
teaching anyhow; it is not in his interest or that of the
institutions to have his attainment of the degree delayed
or prevented by overwork. Nor are academic salaries
any longer so low, or financial support in the form of
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fellowships and loans so difficult to attain, that a serious doctoral candidate cannot survive a few years on part-time pay. The Special Committee feels particularly strongly that an institution which is unable to recruit enough doctors to fill all its full-time teaching positions ought not to deny tenure to full-time teachers lacking doctors' degrees. The Special Committee does not believe that the present shortage of fully qualified teachers is in any sense a temporary emergency. It was foreseen twenty years ago, and it should have been prevented. It will continue indefinitely if institutions allow themselves to make do with underqualified and underprivileged teachers of the kind implied by the term "subfaculty." Institutions should do all they can to increase the number of qualified teachers. The Special Committee believes that anyone who does an instructor's work should be given appropriate rank and privileges. In short, the Special Committee wishes to eliminate the second problem category by refusing to grant that, for purposes of the 1940 Statement, there is any such thing as a full-time teacher at a rank below that of instructor.

The third problem category, that of research people who are not teachers, is relatively new to higher education. It was not foreseen, and its full effect on the regulation and conduct of academic institutions is not yet foreseeable. In particular, it seems clear to the Special Committee that the two associations had no major category of such academic people in mind when they formulated the 1940 Statement. A question may be, therefore, whether it is possible for the Special Committee to apply the 1940 Statement to this category. Its deliberations may in fact have led to another question: does the 1940 Statement itself need some revision, amendment, or supplement in order to provide proper guidance for Association policy in this area? The 1940 Statement plainly assumes that the normal basic activity of university professors is teaching and that research is a functionally related activity by means of which teaching is enriched and extended. On this assumption it is entirely reasonable and proper to maintain, as the 1940 Statement evidently does, that a researcher is the same thing as a teacher insofar as his right to academic freedom, his status as a faculty member, and his entitlement to tenure are concerned. In 1940, with negligible exceptions, researchers in universities were teachers, part of whose teaching was by word of mouth and part by the medium of print. The two parts served the same purpose of transmitting the teacher's individual ideas into the arena of public discussion, and the same principles of freedom and of responsibility applied to both.

Now, however, there are an important number of researchers working in universities and university-operated agencies to whom this assumption does not so clearly apply. Workers on Department of Defense and Atomic Energy Commission projects offer the extreme example; but anyone who works on a project which is defined by a contract between the employing institution and a sponsoring agency, government, industry, or foundation is likely to be more or less limited in his freedom to decide for himself what line of investigation he will pursue. The question arises whether universities ought to be engaged in this kind of contract research at all. The Special Committee regards this as an important question, but not one that can be settled at this time by a component of the AAUP. The fact is that many of the best universities are so engaged, and the question to be answered is what the AAUP policy should be toward the people involved, particularly concerning the conditions of academic freedom and tenure under which they work.

The Special Committee recognizes that many and perhaps most of the researchers doing contract work are qualified by education and training to be members of teaching faculties. What makes them different is their function. A related consideration, which administrators are quick to point out, is that the shifting character of the financial support for contract work imposes a special problem in relation to tenure. It is not so much a matter of the total amount of money available as it is of the fact that individual research contracts run for limited terms, and that researchers are not always transferable from one contract project to another within the same institution. Administratively, the logical solution is to let the individual researcher's contract run for not longer than the term of the project contract. The situation is roughly parallel to that which arises when an institution decides to discontinue a course or department or college. The AAUP recognizes that legitimate academic reasons may require such a change, and that it is not always possible for the institution to retain all the people whose positions are eliminated. Such a situation, rare in teaching faculties, is normal and frequent in contract research.

These problems are closely related to the fact that many research projects are carried out by teams of researchers under the supervision of project directors. The director of a project, often a faculty member with tenure, and very often a kind of entrepreneur in proposing the project and attracting financial support for it from sources outside the institution, has a legitimate need for freedom in the selection and rejection of team members, and for adequate authority to assign their tasks and coordinate their activities. Furthermore, individual team members are not free to publish results of work they have done on the project without the consent of other members and especially of the director. For these reasons, traditional concepts of academic freedom and tenure do not apply to the activities of contract research teams. The Special Committee has gone as far as it believes possible, under the circumstances, in asserting and defending in the statement which follows such academic freedom and job security as can be had. Its members feel that an effort to go beyond the limits imposed by the facts of the situation would make the statement weaker, not stronger.

The Special Committee is by no means indifferent to the conditions under which members of contract research project teams have to work, nor does it advocate indifference on the part of the AAUP. It believes that good administrative and personnel policies ought to operate in this area as in all other areas of academic life, and that the AAUP should try to define good policies and
encourage institutions to apply them. It also believes that, whenever academic institutions designate full-time researchers as faculty members, either by formal appointment or by conferring the titles of instructor, assistant or associate professor, or professor, those researchers should have all the rights of other faculty members, and that the AAUP should apply the 1940 Statement of Principles to them as strictly as to anyone else.

Statement of the Special Committee on Academic Personnel Ineligible for Tenure

A clear definition of acceptable academic practice in American colleges and universities requires some amplification and interpretation of the 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure. Most of the 1940 Statement applies without change to the operations of the universities today. The academic freedom statement however leaves some question about the freedom of research for the secondary staff of large research projects restricted by government or industrial support and security. The academic tenure provisions leave some doubt about the tenure rights of part-time teachers and of persons appointed with titles other than those of the four ranks of instructor to professor.

To make quite clear that the policy of the Association provides protection in matters of academic freedom to all teachers at all ranks and on any fractional appointment and to all investigators with university appointments, the following amplifying statement is proposed:

(1) The academic freedom of all teachers and investigators with full-time or part-time appointments in a university should have the full protection of the Association.

The committee recognizes that it is appropriate to have, within the university, faculty members with instructor or professional status who are exclusively investigators. These professors should be selected by the faculty and should have the full privileges of other professors. The following statement is within the 1940 Statement but more directly describes the status of the research faculty member with an academic appointment:

(2) Full-time teachers and investigators who are appointed to the rank of Instructor, Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and Professor should have the rights and privileges appropriate to their rank including tenure or the eligibility for tenure after the appropriate probationary period.

Acceptable academic practice for tenure is described in the 1940 Statement of Principles only for full-time appointments beginning with the rank of instructor. The Special Committee recommends that these provisions be extended to include all full-time teacher appointments in the university. Part-time appointments are often given to scholars who are still working on their advanced degree programs. If, however, a full-time appointment can be made as a lecturer or acting instructor, without obligating the institution to a limited probation period, it will diminish the protection of the Association's statement of policy on tenure. To provide for protection of the young teachers' tenure rights, the committee proposes:

(5) All full-time teachers, but not investigators, in the universities regardless of their titles should acquire tenure after a probationary period as provided for appointments to the rank of full-time instructor or a higher rank in the 1940 Statement.

The Association extends the full protection of academic freedom to all teachers and investigators on full-time or part-time university appointments. The policy for the tenure of investigators with full-time university appointments without one of the usual academic ranks has not been adequately determined. In the science and technology areas of the twenty largest universities, there are now twice as many full-time investigators as full-time academic appointments. Most of these investigator appointments are made from research grants of short duration that are subject to frequent and uncertain renewal. The selection and termination of appointees is made by the project director without the usual procedures of review involved in departmental academic appointments. Until the funds for the support of investigators are assured for substantial periods and until the university determines policies for the distribution and use of these funds it will be difficult for the university to assume the obligation for continuous tenure appointments. The committee makes no recommendation for a tenure policy for investigators who do not have regular academic appointments.
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