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EOLD Principles & Processes for
Tenure Promotion and Reappointment (TPR) Review

Principle #1: Tenure, Promotion, and Reappointment (TPR) Review, along with annual faculty evaluation, form the basis for a robust professoriate when these processes focus simultaneously on faculty development and accountability.

Principle #2: The TPR and the annual faculty evaluation processes are aligned with each other requiring transparent and reliable communication among the TPR chair (serving as the representative of the TPR committee) and the Chair of the faculty responsible for evaluation and the faculty candidate with his/her mentor/s.

Principle #3: Faculty development and accountability require the civil and respectful participation of all tenure track faculty in processes of mentoring, monitoring, and feedback for improving the Clemson experience across all programs in EOLD in the areas of teaching/advising, research, and service.

Principle #4: The faculty unit, Educational and Organizational Leadership & Development (EOLD), consists of a number of applied fields that require grounding in authentic settings across faculty responsibilities for research, teaching, and service. More than classical disciplines, applied fields demand integration of research, teaching, and service within practical contexts. The TPR Committee respects candidates’ well-argued presentations and assignment of their work across tri-partite scholarship in research, teaching, and service.

*******

Process #1: On a yearly basis, each faculty member and the Chair of the faculty engage in setting goals and determining measures of accomplishment in the areas of teaching/advising, research, and service per the contractual allocations of the faculty member’s assignment in those areas. These yearly goals and measures are provided to the TPR Committee per the University’s guidelines. Workload is a primary consideration in the TPR Committee’s review of candidate requests for reappointment, promotion with tenure, and/or promotion.

Process #2: The Chair of the faculty assigns each non-tenured faculty member a mentor or mentors as appropriate to the non-tenured faculty member’s area/s of expertise and guidance required per contractual allocations in the areas of teaching/advising, research, and service. Selected tenured members of the TPR Committee serve as advisors to non-tenured faculty on preparation of the University-required notebooks in the following groupings: (a) first and second contract years, (b) third through fourth contract years, and (c) fifth and penultimate contract years.
Amended and Approved April 2015

EOLD TPR Guidelines
Sources and Definition of Terms

Sources


Clemson’s Faculty Senate updates the Faculty Manual as an on-line electronic document on or about July of each year. The pertinent sections for tenure-track faculty on the University’s guidelines for tenure, promotion, and reappointment review include “Part IV, Personnel Practices,” along with the following sections and their associated appendices:

- D. Procedures for Renewal of Appointment, Tenure, and Promotion (p. IV-3)
- E. Annual Performance Evaluation (p. IV-4)
- F. Notification of Renewal and Non-Renewal of Appointments (p. IV-6)
- G. Tenure Policies (p. IV-6)
- H. Post Tenure Review (p. IV-7)
- I. Promotion Policies (p. IV-10)


Also known as the Provost’s 2004 Memo, this document contains the organization and instructions for a list of tabs or sections of the notebooks used in tenure, promotion, and reappointment reviews. Following the guidelines in this memo, each year, Clemson administration issues a calendar of deadlines for each contract category of tenure-track faculty. See Appendix G, for a copy of the memo.

Definition of Terms

Notebook – refers to the collection of candidates’ evidence of scholarship in research, teaching, and service. Also known as a dossier, the notebook may be in hard copy or electronic form provided it includes the same sections (tabs) and order as specified by University guidelines. (See Provost’s 2004 Memo).

Tabs – the numbered and titled sections of the notebook/dossier required by University guidelines. (See Provost’s 2004 Memo).
Moore School of Education  
Faculty of Educational and Organizational Leadership & Development (EOLD)  
Tenure, Promotion and Reappointment (TPR) Review Guidelines

Introduction

The Tenure, Promotion and Reappointment (TPR) Review Committee for the faculty of Educational and Organizational Leadership & Development (EOLD) has developed procedures for applications for tenure-track faculty’s requests for reappointment, tenure and/or promotion faculty peer review. This document offers criteria for evaluating applications for Tenure and/or Promotion and Reappointment Review. Candidate’s administratively assigned percentage of workload across the responsibilities of research, teaching/advising, and service is a fundamental framework for the TPR Committee’s review of candidate requests for reappointment, promotion with tenure, and/or promotion.

I. The Process of Application and Peer Review

A. Steps in the peer review process follow University guidelines.

1. **Letter of Intent.** The first step in the application process is a Letter of Intent to apply for Tenure and/or Promotion or Reappointment. This letter is addressed to the Chair, TPR Committee. Based on University guidelines issued through the Provost’s office annually, deadlines for submission of the Letter of Intent will be announced and disseminated by the Chair of the TPR Committee. [See Appendix A for guidance on this Letter of Intent]. The Letter of Intent, along with the External reviewers list (Appendix D) and the External dossiers must submitted to the TPR Committee by **May 15th** of the 5th year (TPR Executive Summary Notebook). See guidance in Appendix D, p. 27.

2. **Submission of Notebook.** The TPR Executive Summary Notebook to be considered by the TPR Committee must be submitted to the Chair prior to the deadline announced by the Committee.

3. **Recommendations from the Department Chair and TPR Committee.** The Department Chair and the TPR Committee will conduct independent reviews of the applications, using the evaluation criteria listed in section II, below. When this review is complete, the Department Chair will meet with the applicant, and inform the applicant of the recommendations. At this time, applicants for early promotion/tenure will indicate in writing whether or not the TPR Executive Summary Notebook should be forwarded to the Dean of the School. [In the case of reappointment candidates and penultimate year Tenure applicants the TPR Executive Summary Notebook is automatically forwarded.]

4. **Recommendation from Dean.** The Dean will review the TPR Executive Summary Notebook and make a recommendation. The applicant will be informed of the Dean's recommendation. At this point, applicants for Promotion and/or early Tenure will indicate in writing whether or not they wish the application to go forward to the Provost. [In the case of penultimate year Tenure applicants, the applicant's file is automatically forwarded. In addition, the Dean will
forward the complete file on any request for reappointment for which there is at least one negative recommendation from the Department Chair, TPR Committee or Dean.

5. Recommendation from Provost and final action by President. The Provost will review the complete file and forward a recommendation for final action to the President. The applicant will be informed of the final action.

B. The role of the TPR Committee

1. Membership: The TPR membership is limited to a maximum of 7 committee members. Membership of TPR Committee is based on ballots by EOLD faculty vote. Eligible faculty for the TPR committee ballot process holds the ranks of Senior Lecturer, Associate Professor, and Full Professor. Two members of the committee will be senior lecturers (whose role is solely to help consider promotion of lecturers) and at least 3 will be full faculty (these are required to consider promotions to full). The remaining 2 members may be associate or full.

2. The TPR Committee Chair will be determined by EOLD faculty vote no later than the EOLD’s February or March faculty meeting for the upcoming academic year.

3. Applicants may address any procedural questions (e.g., questions regarding form of the TPR Executive Summary Notebook, external letters, etc.) to the TPR Committee Chair and/or the Chair of the Faculty.

4. The TPR Committee endorses an inclusive, deliberative, and democratic process of consensus engagement in consensus, as opposed to a voting process, reflects the standard of collegiality as described in the Faculty Manual. In the case of promotion or tenure request, if consensus cannot be reach the vote will be reported along with the majority and minority statements.

5. The TPR Committee upholds the principles and processes necessary to ensure a robust professorate in the unit. Any EOLD associate or full professors, regardless of his or her membership on the TPR Committee can serve as an “advocate” or “mentor” for EOLD TPR candidates in the process of TPR review. An “advocate” assists TPR candidates involved in the review process for promotion or tenure from assistant to associate professor rank. A “mentor” assists TPR candidates involved in the review process for promotion from associate to full professor rank.

6. Non-tenured faculty members are encouraged to attend sessions whenever provided by the chair/director/dean regarding the development of their portfolios.
II. Guidelines for Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment Review

The following guidelines will be used by the Department Chair and the TPR Committee in evaluating applications for Tenure and/or Promotion and Reappointment:

Reappointment of Lecturer and Assistant Professor: A record of Competence in each of the 3 areas of performance evaluation. Reappointment as a lecturer (yearly) and senior lecture (every three years) will be based on teaching and service.

Promotion to Associate Professor and/or Tenure: (a) A consistent record of Achievement in each of the 3 areas of performance evaluation (Teaching, Research/Scholarship, Service).

Promotion to Full Professor: (a) A record of Achievement in each of the 3 areas of performance evaluation (Teaching, Research/Scholarship, Service); (b) a record of Achievement with Distinction in Research plus Achievement with Distinction in at least one other performance area.

Promotion to Senior Lecturer: A consistent record of Achievement in teaching and service, particularly as it relates to the mission of the university. Candidates for promotion to senior lecturer will be expected to rate Achievement in teaching and service, but are expected to advise the peer review committee of the areas that they feel the committee should most highly consider when evaluating credentials. Senior lecturers will have voting privileges on the Peer Review Committee where they would vote for candidates for promotion to Senior Lecturer.

Note that a prospective faculty member seeking the rank of full professor and tenure upon appointment must meet the criteria for promotion to professor in order to receive tenure.

Performance Levels:

“No Evidence” refers to candidate’s failure to list activities, include documentation, and/or provide an explanation in any of the required sections [tabs] of the TPR Notebook.

"Competence" refers to activities through which the faculty member demonstrates effort and ability in a particular area of performance evaluation.

"Achievement" refers to substantive contributions to the field of education and/or one's academic/professional specialty area.

"Achievement with Distinction" refers to significant contributions to one’s discipline and/or one’s academic/professional specialty area. Such distinctive contributions are identified through rigorous peer review and approval.

1 NOTE: The TPR committee may exempt the distinction in research requirement for faculty members whose assignment precludes their ability to maintain this level of research; e.g., administrative assignments. These candidates will, however, be required to demonstrate distinction performance in teaching and service.
Indicators

Indicators of Competence, Achievement and Achievement with Distinction for each of the three performance areas of Teaching, Research/Scholarship and Service are given on the following pages. It should be noted that the indicators on each list are examples of activities that meet the criteria for inclusion in the category.

The indicator lists are not exhaustive. Candidates may make the case that a different, unlisted activity meets the intent of the indicator in any given category. For all categories, research, teaching and service, candidates present their work as evidence along with an argument stating the relevance of that work to that category. The TPR Committee serves as Clemson University’s representative and reviews all candidates’ TPR Notebooks seeking evidence of how each candidate contributes to the University’s mission.
A. Research/Scholarship

Faculty at nationally recognized research institutions are expected to make substantial contributions to the research and scholarship in their fields of specialization. To receive tenure and promotion to associate professor, a faculty member must be able to provide evidence that his or her accomplishments in this area are well-recognized by peers and have begun to have had a national impact. Evidence of such contributions includes publication in refereed, nationally distributed, and abstracted/indexed journals; publications of books, book chapters, and monographs (refereed and indexed); and external funding for scholarship and research. Factors that the TPR Committee considers in evaluating the quality of a candidate’s research and scholarship include the reputation of the journals in which the candidate has published, the acceptance/rejection rates of the journals in which he or she has published, the frequency with which the candidate’s works are cited in the literature (e.g., citation index), the reputation of funding sources, the acceptance/rejection rates of funding sources, and the amount of external funding.

According to the Faculty Manual “the rank of professor is granted on the basis of distinguished scholarly or creative publication.” For promotion to full professor, evidence of sustained contributions in the area of research and scholarship of a quality and quantity commensurate with the standards of a research institution is required. In addition to meeting all the requirements for tenure and associate professor, a faculty member at this level must be able to provide evidence that his or her scholarship has been nationally recognized and has had a substantial impact on his or her field of specialization. In addition, a significant number of first authored publications is desirable. Lists of performance indicators are given below. The lists are not exhaustive.

**Competence**

1. Presentations at state or regional conferences
2. Articles published in refereed state and regional journals
3. Technical report
4. Grant submitted (external)
5. University grant (funded)

**Achievement**

1. Principal Investigator or Co-principal investigator on external grant (funded).
2. Publications in national refereed professional journals or monographs (abstracted/indexed)
3. Chapter in book
4. Presentations at national or international professional conferences (evidence of refereed process)
5. Invited reviewer for book, book chapter(s) or journal manuscript(s)
6. Invited lecture (keynote address or equivalent) at state or regional conference
7. Invited review panel member for national conference
8. Research and scholarly activity found in citation index
9. Electronic media development; e.g. computer software (evidence of national impact)
10. Editor, section editor or editorial board member of state or regional professional journal
Achievement with Distinction
1. Sustained contributions in nationally recognized professional journals (refereed and indexed) and edited books
2. National recognition for publications (e.g., awards, articles in national newspapers)
3. Editorial Board member for nationally recognized, refereed journal
4. Author or co-author of book or scholarly monograph
5. Principal investigator or co-investigator on major external grants (funded)
6. Editor or section editor of a national journal
7. Editor of a book (in print)
8. Evidence of publication impact- Citation index
9. Nationally recognized contributions (sustained) in electronic media development
10. Invited presentations at a national or international conferences
B. Teaching & Advising

Teaching is the fundamental responsibility of the faculty. Teaching encompasses not only classroom teaching, but also such activities as clinical supervision, advising, mentoring, tutoring, and service on graduate committees. Advising is an important component of scholarship in teaching due to the specialized and individualized nature of graduate programs. EOLD’s TPR candidates include advising as a significant component of their statements of teaching philosophy.

Teaching effectiveness must be documented with student evaluations and course syllabi. Teaching documentation may also include evidence of pedagogical innovations, evidence of academic rigor of courses, measured improvements in subject mastery by students, special teaching awards and recognition, peer review, contributions to course development, and professional development activities.

Competence
The faculty member will be evaluated by students, peers and supervisors as generally demonstrating a consistent record of improvement in teaching. Indicators include:
1. A high level of subject matter knowledge and mastery of course content.
2. Technical proficiency: Ability to organize and sequence course lessons; organize individual lessons; communicate expectations and objectives to students; deliver subject matter clearly, concisely, and with an appropriate level of repetition; choose and execute measurement procedures appropriately.
3. Evidence of a high level of scholarly course content, rigor and fairness in grading, and effective instructional methods.
4. Evidence that students perceive that instructor promotes growth in student interest, abilities, learning, and understanding.
5. Evidence that instructor defines expectations, supplies timely feedback to students, and reports on student progress.
6. Evidence that instructor has respect for students and respect for cultural, intellectual and ethnic diversity.
7. Evidence that students perceive the instructor’s interest in and commitment to the subject matter.
8. Evidence of effective student advising.
9. Evidence that the instructor has demonstrated appropriate laboratory management techniques (if applicable).
10. Evidence of significant contributions to the education of graduate students.
11. Evidence of dependability and commitment to institutional goals and professional standards when working as an instructor, clinical supervisor, or mentor.
12. Evidence of successful integration of technology into curricula.
13. Evidence of work to develop curricula to keep content and instructional strategies current.

Achievement
The faculty member will be evaluated by students, peers and supervisors as consistently demonstrating high quality teaching. Indicators include:
1. A high level of subject matter knowledge and mastery of course content.
2. Technical proficiency: Ability to organize and sequence course lessons; organize individual lessons; communicate expectations and objectives to students; deliver subject matter clearly, concisely, and with an appropriate level of repetition; choose and execute measurement procedures appropriately.
3. Evidence of a high level of scholarly course content, rigor and fairness in grading, and effective instructional methods.
4. Evidence that students perceive that instructor promotes growth in student interest, abilities, learning, and understanding.
5. Evidence that instructor defines expectations, supplies timely feedback to students, and reports on student progress.
6. Evidence that instructor has respect for students and respect for cultural, intellectual and ethnic diversity.
7. Evidence that students perceive the instructor’s interest in and commitment to the subject matter.
8. Evidence of effective student advising.
9. Evidence that the instructor has demonstrated appropriate laboratory management techniques (if applicable).
10. Evidence of significant contributions to the education of graduate students.
11. Evidence of dependability and commitment to institutional goals and professional standards when working as an instructor, clinical supervisor, or mentor.
12. Evidence of successful integration of technology into curricula.
13. Evidence of work to develop curricula to keep content and instructional strategies current.

At least 1 of the following:
13. Development of pedagogical methods and materials that demonstrate a significant impact on learning.
14. Significant contributions to major curriculum changes, course development, and other instructional programs.
15. Generation of significant grants and/or the securing of donations of resources to support the instructional mission.
13. Evidence of leadership in program advising and mentoring students
14. Evidence of participation with students in programmatic requirements beyond courses and curriculum, such as orientation, preparation for examinations, etc.

Achievement with Distinction
The faculty member will be evaluated by students, peers and supervisors as consistently demonstrating exceptional teaching. Indicators include:

1. A high level of subject matter knowledge and mastery of course content.
2. Technical proficiency: Ability to organize and sequence course lessons; organize individual lessons; communicate expectations and objectives to students; deliver subject matter clearly, concisely, and with an appropriate level of repetition; choose and execute measurement procedures appropriately.
3. Evidence of a high level of scholarly course content, rigor and fairness in grading, and effective instructional methods.
4. Evidence that students perceive that instructor promotes growth in student interest, abilities, learning, and understanding.

5. Evidence that instructor defines expectations, supplies timely feedback to students, and reports on student progress.

6. Evidence that instructor has respect for students and respect for cultural, intellectual and ethnic diversity.

7. Evidence that students perceive the instructor’s interest in and commitment to the subject matter.

8. Evidence of effective student advising.

9. Evidence that the instructor has demonstrated appropriate laboratory management techniques (if applicable).

10. Evidence of significant contributions to the education of graduate students.

11. Evidence of dependability and commitment to institutional goals and professional standards when working as an instructor, clinical supervisor, or mentor.

12. Evidence of successful integration of technology into curricula.

13. Evidence of work to develop curricula to keep content and instructional strategies current.

At least 2 of the following:

13. Development of pedagogical methods and materials that demonstrate a significant impact on learning.

14. Significant contributions to major curriculum changes, course development, and other instructional programs.

15. Generation of significant grants and/or the securing of donations of resources to support the instructional mission.

16. Evidence of leadership in program advising and mentoring students.

17. Evidence of participation with students in programmatic requirements beyond courses and curriculum, such as orientation, preparation for examinations.

18. Leadership on sub-committees focused on advising and student experiences such as development of program handbooks.

In addition, evidence of an exceptional level of teaching based on extensive peer review is required.
C. Service

Indicators of Competence, Achievement and Achievement with Distinction for Service are given below. It should be noted that the indicators on each list are examples of activities that meet the criteria for inclusion in the category. The lists are not exhaustive. Given the context of graduate programming which requires a deep intellectual relationship between faculty and students, all of EOLD’s tenure-track faculty members serve for the good of their respective programs to meet Clemson's land grant mission in the state and region. In addition to the activities listed below, service includes non-compensated consultation, products developed for a variety of media/technology, performances/products/services for the arts, professional reviewing activities, in-service activities, service related grants and acquisition of resources. Activities should be appropriately documented.

Contributions may be documented through organizational minutes or agendas, letters of recognition and/or appreciation, recognized authorship of professional documents or technical reports, invited presentations, awards, or through publication of related reports or distributed literature.

Note: The Peer Review Committee distinguishes as follows between service in the university/profession and University mission: service in the university/profession refers to such things as working on committees and service in professional organizations; the University mission relates to work that improves the well-being of South Carolinians. In both situations, these sorts of service should benefit programs and students. We will evaluate candidates for appropriate balance between these categories.

Competence

1. Serves on and contributes to School committees; contributes to area program of study; invited class presentations.
2. Belongs to professional organizations, attends local meetings, and contributes to local program of work; invited talks and presentations to professional groups.
3. Contributes professional expertise to the community.
4. Contributes to field-oriented research projects
5. Contributes to area program of studies

Achievement

1. Officer or board member of a state or regional professional organization.
2. Chair of a University or School committee.
3. Has role of responsibility within Department (chairs committees, including service as Program Coordinator); serves on and contributes to College or University committees; represents University at community or regional level.
4. Attends state meetings of professional organizations; active in state organization or contributes substantially to state work (i.e., policy-making or professional literature).
5. In the area of one's professional expertise, contributes to the resolution of a problem at a state or local level; serves on a state policy-making and/or advisory board.
6. Provides consultation or training at the local, regional, or state level.
7. Publication in practitioner journals
8. Participation in field-oriented research projects
9. Coordinating field experiences
10. Regular professional development renewal
11. Invited class presentations
12. Invited talks to professional groups
13. Provides mentoring for junior faculty/lecturers
14. Holds coordinator positions

Achievement with Distinction
1. Officer or board member of a national or international professional organization.
2. President or chair of a state or regional professional organization.
3. Attends national meetings and holds national office or contributes substantially to national work (e.g., policy-making, boards, or professional literature; chair or program chair of state organization).
4. Has role of responsibility at University level (chairs committees; represents University at state level; chair of accreditation committee; works on University-wide projects; provides statewide or regional training.
5. In the area of one’s professional expertise, contributes to the resolution of a problem at a national level; serves on a national policy-making and/or advisory board.
6. Provides consultation or training at a national level.
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III. Format For Application

[Replaced by Provost’s Memo of 2004 in Appendix G... See Candidate Preparation Checklist in this document approved by EOLD by vote on 4-14-2008]

IV. Curriculum Vita

[Section replaced for EOLD by vote on 4-14-2008; See Appendix B of This Document]

V. Procedures for External Peer Review

[Section replaced for EOLD by vote on 4-20-2011; See Appendices D and E of This Document]
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Clemson University
Eugene T. Moore School of Education
Notebook Preparation for Tenure Promotion and Reappointment Review (TPRR) Checklist and Guidelines

Candidate Name: __________________________  Current Rank: __________________________  Current Academic Year: __________________________

Due Date: __________________________  Date Hired: __________________________

Candidate for: [check one]

- Reappointment, Contract Year: ____________
- Promotion to Associate Professor with Tenure
- Promotion to Full Professor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes to and by Candidate</th>
<th>Check Completed</th>
<th>Tab Number and Description</th>
<th>Specifications and Guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>See Appendix A.</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td>Tab 1: Letter Requesting Action</td>
<td>Insert a copy of the signed letter addressed to the TPRR committee requesting reappointment, or consideration for promotion to Associate professor with tenure, or for promotion from Associate Professor to Full Professor. That letter should have already been submitted by a deadline early in the Fall semester. The deadline for the following Fall semester is announced during the previous Spring semester. See Appendix A for an example end format of this letter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate does not complete this tab.</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td>Tab 2</td>
<td>Do not insert anything in this tab. Materials added by Department Chair/Dean.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate does not complete this tab.</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td>Tab 3</td>
<td>Do not insert anything in this tab. Materials added by TPRR Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate does not complete this tab.</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td>Tab 4</td>
<td>Do not insert anything in this tab. Materials added by Dept Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate does not complete this tab.</td>
<td>✅</td>
<td>Tab 5</td>
<td>Do not insert anything in this tab. Materials added by Dean.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See Appendix B.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tab 6: Standard College Resume</td>
<td>Insert your vita. The organization and content of your vita can be personalized, but it must conform to the criteria specified in Appendix B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum of 1200 words If necessary, remove statements from previous years.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tab 7: Top Achievements</td>
<td>Insert a current statement (maximum of 1200 words) that highlights your top achievements and that makes a convincing case for the action you are requesting. Remove any previously written statements that are not current. For reappointment, you should highlight your achievements since your previous appointment. For promotion and tenure, you should highlight your cumulative accomplishments since you became a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tenure-track faculty member, and how your accomplishments position you for continued contributions, professional growth, and greater achievements. For promotion to full professor, you should emphasize your achievements, especially as a senior scholar nationally and internationally, since your promotion to Associate Professor, and how your achievements position you for continued contributions, professional growth, and greater achievement. In all cases, it is expected that you comment directly on your scholarly accomplishments and potential, not only on your accomplishments in the area of teaching and service. You must convince those reading your notebook that Clemson and the School of Education are notably enriched by your achievements and are likely to be enriched by your contributions in the future. See your unit's promotion and tenure guidelines for more specific guidance.

### Maximum of 1000 words

**Tab 8: Candidate's Teaching & Advising Statement (3 pgs max)**

Insert a statement (maximum of 1000 words) that highlights and contextualizes your accomplishments in the area of teaching and advising. Remove any previously written statements that are not current. Your statement should assist those evaluating your accomplishments in understanding how your teaching/advising relates to your other professional activities, how you define teaching/advising and which of your activities are included in that definition. You may note any special circumstances relevant to understanding your appointment for teaching/advising. (Either refer to the percentage assigned in Clemson's Faculty Activity System assigned by your Unit head or if available, using the 4-block form: Blocks 1, 2 and possibly 3). Your statement must include efforts you have made to improve your teaching/advising. Your teaching and advising philosophy should be grounded in and should cite the relevant literature as well as indicate how student input and data inform the refinement of your instruction and advising. See your unit's promotion and tenure guidelines for more specific guidance.

### Summary from Appendix C is first page in this section. Limit the number of original copies of individual student evaluations according to the instructions in the Provost's 2004 Memo and these checklist/guidelines.

**Tab 9: Student Feedback Forms –**

A multiple-year summary of courses taught since initial appointment with the results of key items on the evaluation forms should be the first page in this tabbed section. Use the form in Appendix C of this document to provide this first-page summary. Insert original copies of students' evaluations of your teaching in reverse chronological order by semester or session from the preceding two regular semesters plus any summer courses (fall, spring, and/or summer 1 and/or summer 2). See individual unit guidelines (EOLD or TE) for other specific directions for promotion reviews.

### Tab 10: Other Evidence of Teaching Effectiveness

Insert other notable evidence in support of your teaching. Evidence may include samples of syllabi for new or innovative course materials, workshop evaluations, instructional activities for program and course development, students' performance outside of coursework but directly related to your teaching, peer-evaluations, unsolicited feedback...
### Notes to and by Candidate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tab Number and Description</th>
<th>Specifications and Guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tab 11: Research/Scholarship Activities not addressed in the standard college resume</td>
<td>Insert a statement (maximum 1000 words) summarizing your research agenda [no more than 3 pages]. This statement needs to incorporate a listing of the artifacts that follow. For Reappointment Review the artifacts are follows: published articles during the current year should be included for reappointment review along with the FAS reports of grants submitted and those received or in progress. Conference papers and grant reports also should be included for the current year. Some of the artifacts also may include manuscripts under review by including the title page attached to the journal editor's letter or email of receipt. You may also include abstracts of prospective research as submitted to sponsored projects or the Dean's office. Brief (up to three pages) conference proposals with evidence of submission receipt also may be included. For Tenure/Promotion Review, the artifacts should be selected to showcase top achievements across your academic career [See Tab 7]. All candidates should include information about the percent of workload assigned to research using either FAS or the 4-block form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 12: Service Activity not addressed in the standard college resume</td>
<td>Committee work and other faculty &quot;duties as assigned&quot; (Percentages from FAS and/or Blocks 4 or 5 of the 4-Block Form) should appear here. Some of these activities may include managing the logistics for Advisory Board meetings, advising student groups, participating in development/fundraising activities, providing services to civic organizations, serving as expert for local and regional media outlets. Artifacts of these types of services may include agenda, minutes, and programs showing your name, news articles or editorials directly quoting you. Your academic service work, such as officer/committee member of a scholarly/professional organization, editorial board, etc. should appear in your resume and thus, not in this section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 14: Goals Statement</td>
<td>This is a copy/printout of your current FAS goals (one and five year versions), preferably previously approved by your chair. Along with the FAS Goals report, this section should include the distribution of percentages across all goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 15: Administrative Duties</td>
<td>Such activities include service as program coordinator, managing program activities, creating unit assessments, recruiting students, etc. (Include the assigned FAS percentage or in the 45-Block form, Block 5).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| T&P Candidates only. Reappointment candidates do not complete this tab. | Tab 16: External Evaluator Listing | [TPRR Chair solicits and inserts]. Use Appendix D to complete a form for the Committee Chair who will use the form to collect letters of evaluation on a packet of the following materials:
- Current Vita
- Statement of Top Achievements [Tab 7]
- Teaching & Advising Statement with Summary of Student Data [Tab 8 with
### Specifications and Guidelines

- Exemplary Samples of Scholarship
- Three to five published refereed articles
- Executive summaries/abstracts of funded research reports/monographs
- One or two book chapters

Candidates for promotion prepare this material with a table of contents and make 9 copies to deliver to the TPRR Committee Chair with the Letter of Intent and form in Appendix D no later than May 15th prior to the October deadline dates determined by the Provost. See also Appendix E for the assigned timeline for External Reviews for promotions and tenure requests.

### Candidate does not complete this tab.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tab Number and Description</th>
<th>Check Completed</th>
<th>Specifications and Guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tab 17: [TPRR Chair solicits and inserts] External Evaluator Letters of Reference</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Do not insert anything in this tab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 18: Supplementary Information - (supplementary to the standard college resume)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Certificates and other evidence of ongoing professional personal development may be included here. If such artifacts are included, then a brief overview statement should precede them in this section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 19: Joint Appointment Agreements</td>
<td></td>
<td>This section includes official Clemson documentation, a copy of the appointment papers/agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 20: [Dean’s Office prepares] Employment Offer Letter</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Do not insert anything in this tab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 21: Tenure Agreement Form</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Do not insert anything in this tab. Dean’s Office prepares.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 22: Promotion Letters</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Do not insert anything in this tab. Dean’s Office prepares.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 23: Department TPRR Guidelines</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Do not insert anything in this tab. Dept. Chair/School Dean prepares.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 24: College TPRR Guidelines</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Do not insert anything in this tab. Dean’s Office prepares.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes to and by Candidate</td>
<td>Check Completed</td>
<td>Tab Number and Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPRR Committee prepares the following sections:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 3: TPRR Committee’s Letter of Recommendation – in reverse chronological current and all prior years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[T&amp;P Candidates only] Tab 16: [TPRR Chair solicits and inserts] External Evaluator Listing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[T&amp;P Candidates only] Tab 17: [TPRR Chair solicits and inserts] External Evaluator Letters of Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. Chair/School Director prepares the following:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 2: Request for Faculty Personnel Action [not clearly stated in Provost’s 2004 memo; based on practice]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 4: Department Chair’s Letter of Recommendation – in reverse chronological current and all prior years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 13: Faculty Evaluation Form 3 - for last three years in reverse chronological order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 23: Department TPRR Guidelines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean’s Office prepares –</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 5: Dean’s Letter of Recommendation – in reverse chronological current and all prior years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 20: Employment Offer Letter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 21: Tenure Agreement Form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 22: Promotion Letters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 24: College TPRR Guidelines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX A

REQUEST LETTER FORMAT

Date: [no later than deadline established by the TPR Committee; for promotion with tenure and tenure candidates the date is no later than May 15th before the October deadline dates determined by the Provost]3

To: [EOLD] Tenure, Promotion and Reappointment Review Committee

From: [Candidate Name]

RE: [select one of the following:
• Reappointment for [number] year of contract
• Promotion with Tenure to Associate Professor
• Promotion to Full Professor
• [Specifics for any other request]]

Please accept this request to consider my candidacy for [insert specific request-reappointment, promotion, etc.]. My dossier supporting my candidacy will be available to the Committee by [no later than deadline established by the Committee].

---

Tab 1: Letter Requesting Action - Letter requesting tenure, promotion and/or reappointment. For promotion with tenure and tenure candidates, submit external reviewer list (Appendix D) and external dossiers with the Letter of Intent no later than May 15th before the October deadline dates determined by the Provost.

3 Insert the appropriate language per the instructions within each set of square brackets [ ]. Remove the brackets and the instructions in the final version for submission.
APPENDIX B
EUGENE T. MOORE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION VITA FORMAT

Instructions:
- Use APA, including chronology of most recent to oldest
- Hierarchy of importance for listing credentials and accomplishments is:
  - Contribution to field of scholarship and Clemson's Research Land-grant mission
  - Refereed to invited
  - International to local

---

Academic Identification

Name
Position

Academic Background/Degrees
[Appropriate section for indicating licenses and certificates for field]

Research

Publications
  Books
  Peer reviewed articles,
  Invited articles and chapters in books
  Unpublished works (technical reports, ERIC documents)

Presentations
  Refereed International and National Conference Papers
  Invited Lectures and Papers
  Regional and State Conferences
  Local

Grants and Contracts
  Title with dates, amounts, funding source (external or internal) and specify role (PI, co-PI or technical expertise)

Honors and Awards
  Date, description, source

Teaching & Advising

Teaching
  Brief listing of courses taught, institution, course number, title

Advising
  Chair of dissertation committee (completed and in process) – student, title of dissertation, dates
  Membership on Doctoral Advising Committees (completed and in process)
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Other graduate advising

Service

Service to Field of Scholarship
   Editor of refereed journal, editorial board membership, manuscript reviewer: List journal with dates of service
   Officer of Scholarly Organization, Committee work: List organization, position, dates of service

Service to Profession
   Officer of Professional Organization, Committee work: List organization, position, dates of service

Other National, State and Regional Service
   Task forces, Blue-Ribbon Committees, Community Boards, Commissions

University Service
   Committees (University, College, School, Department), role and dates of service
APPENDIX C

Summary Tables of
- Courses Taught with Key Categories on Course Evaluations
- Advising Loads

Note the following:
1. Include any courses taught during the previous contract years from date of hire or previous rank decision, whichever is most recent, starting with the most recently completed semester or summer session.
2. List courses taught in reverse chronological order including summer sessions.
3. The final two columns refer to items on the standard Clemson University student course evaluation. Candidates are encouraged to plot the item means for their evaluations against unit averages on an additional chart to display trends. In their teaching and advising statement, candidates must discuss these data and explain how such information informs their teaching and advising.
4. Insert this appendix with the completed table as the first page behind Tab 9.
5. Original printouts of course evaluations for the most recent previous semesters provided to each faculty member should follow this summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Prefix &amp; No.</th>
<th>Semester and Year</th>
<th># of Students</th>
<th># of Evals</th>
<th>Mean on item X</th>
<th>Mean on item Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your Mean</td>
<td>Unit Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your Mean</td>
<td>Unit Mean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Advising Loads

Completed Degrees as Committee Chair [reverse chronological order]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Degree [include Titles of Theses and Dissertations]</th>
<th>Graduation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Completed Degrees as Committee Member [reverse chronological order]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Committee Chair</th>
<th>Degree [include Titles of Theses and Dissertations]</th>
<th>Graduation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Advisees as Committee Chair [chronological order]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Degree [include Working Titles of Theses and Dissertations]</th>
<th>Projected Graduation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Degree [include Working Titles of Theses and Dissertations]</th>
<th>Projected Graduation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Current Advisees as Committee Member [chronological order]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Committee Chair</th>
<th>Degree [include Working Titles of Theses and Dissertations]</th>
<th>Projected Graduation Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
# Appendix D
## External Reviewers Candidate’s Nominations

Provide the names of at least 8 possible reviewers no later than **April 15th**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External Reviewer’s Name</th>
<th>Summary of Reviewer Qualifications</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Must be submitted to the TPR Committee Chair by May 15th prior to the October due dates provided by the Provost. Nine packets of materials for the external reviewers must be prepared and provided with this completed form. The packets include: a Table of Contents, Current Vita, Statement of Top Achievements (Tab 7), Teaching & Advising Statement with Summary of Student Data (Tab 8 with Appendix C), Exemplary Samples of Scholarship such as Three to Five published refereed articles, Executive summaries/abstracts of funded research reports/monographs, one or two book chapters. Candidates for senior lecturer do not need to submit external reviews.

2. For promotion to Associate Professor, nominate individuals who have achieved rank as Associate or Full Professors. For promotion to Full Professor, all reviewers must have achieved rank as Full Professors. Explain how each individual’s scholarship merits standing in your field and their qualifications for reviewing your dossier.

3. Remove all instructions and footnotes before submitting this form. Remove the Appendix title.
Assurances of Reviewer Eligibility

All reviewers carry the appropriate rank at or above the rank sought by candidate.

External reviewers must be from peer institutions (major research universities which have schools of education) and/or reviewers who are highly regarded in the candidate's field of scholarship.

None of the reviewers hold a close or direct relationship with the candidate such as:

- Major professor
- Committee member, advisor or mentor
- Co-author
- Spouse or other relative
- Fellow graduate student
- Graduate/research assistant, post doc, or advisee/protégé
- Or possesses any other conflict of interest in candidate's attaining promotion or tenure.

Candidate signature: _____________________________________________________________  Date: ________________________________
### Appendix E
Faculty of Educational and Organizational Leadership & Development
Candidates for Promotion Timeline in Obtaining External Reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Responsible Party/ies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to one year in advance</td>
<td>Invite Candidate’s Preferred External Reviewers</td>
<td>Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No later than May 15 [prior academic year]</td>
<td>Candidates for promotion with tenure or tenure submit to TPR Committee Chair Letter of Intent and completed External Reviewer Form (EOLD Guidelines, Appendix D)</td>
<td>Candidate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| No later than May 15 [prior academic year] | Submit External Reviewers’ (ER) Packet  
1. Letter to ER explaining contents/order of Packet and/or Table of Contents 
2. Statement of Top Achievements – See Notebook Tab 7, and EOLD Candidate Preparation Check List Matrix 
3. Up to and no more than 5 published articles/samples of top scholarly achievements 
4. Curriculum Vita | Candidate             |
| By July 1 of prior summer   | • Contact and confirm ERs from both Candidate’s list and TPR Committee list  
• Compile and bind ER’s packets  
• Compose cover letter to ERs with the following: 
  o Instructions to ER  
    ▪ Explanation of Clemson TPR process  
    ▪ Focus on scholarship and national stature of candidate  
    ▪ Summary of candidate’s load for scholarship in prior years  
  o Request from ER  
    ▪ Evaluation of Candidate’s evidence of scholarship and national stature in packet  
    ▪ Description of prior relationship with candidate  
    ▪ 1 to 2 page short vita of ER | TPR Chair |

1 Promotion includes Penultimate-year candidates for promotion to Associate Professor with tenure as well as candidates for promotion to Full Professor, plus candidates who hold rank as Associate or Full and are asking for tenure.
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Appendix F
Educational and Organizational Leadership & Development
Timeline for Tenure & Promotion Review (TPR) and Reappointment
Compiled from
“Calendar of Dates and Deadlines” (n.d.) Clemson University, 9 pgs.

August XX, 20XX

Intent to Submit for Reappointment Review OR Promotion/Tenure Review: September X, 20XX
Goals1 for XX-XX Frozen by Chair: September XX, 20XX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due to Reviewer/s</th>
<th>Reappointment 1st year (9-12 mo. Contracts)</th>
<th>Reappointment 2nd year</th>
<th>Reappointment 3rd to 5th year</th>
<th>TPR - Penultimate (P&amp;T year)</th>
<th>Post Tenure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provost</td>
<td>Mar. XX, 20XX</td>
<td>Feb XX, 20XX</td>
<td>Mar. XX, 20XX</td>
<td>Mar. XX, 20XX</td>
<td>May XX, 20XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>Mar. XX, 20XX</td>
<td>Mar. XX, 20XX</td>
<td>Mar. XX, 20XX</td>
<td>Mar. XX, 20XX</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome notification</td>
<td>April XX, 20XX</td>
<td>April XX, 20XX</td>
<td>April XX, 20XX</td>
<td>April XX, 20XX</td>
<td>If negative, August XX, 20XX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TPR Deadlines for Committee - chronologically with possible meeting windows inserted

May 15th Deadline for Letter of Intent for Promotion with Tenure or Promotion for review in the upcoming year. Must submit External reviewers list (Appendix D) and external dossiers
Sept. X Deadline for Letter of Intent for Reappointment
Sept. XX 2nd year files due to Committee

1 Goals need to be downloaded from FAS and inserted in Tab 14.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct. XX</td>
<td>1st year, 3rd to 5th yr files due to Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Committee meets on 1st to 5th yr files and drafts recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. X</td>
<td>Penultimate P&amp;T files due to Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Committee meets on Penultimate files and drafts recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eligible Committee members meet on Promotion to Full files and drafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. X</td>
<td>Committee recommendation letters due to Chair/Dean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix G.1
Faculty of Educational and Organizational Leadership & Development
Promotion, Tenure and Review Committee
20xx-20xx
Faculty by Status and Due/Receipt Dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Member (email)</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Receipt of TPRR document</th>
<th>Letter of Intent Due</th>
<th>Turn In Date?</th>
<th>File Due to Committee</th>
<th>File Turned In Date?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Faculty and Mentors by Due Dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Member/Mentor</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Receipt of TPRR documents</th>
<th>Letter of Intent Due</th>
<th>Turn In Date?</th>
<th>File Due to Committee</th>
<th>File Turned In Date?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix G.2
Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment Review Form 20xx\(^1\)\(^2\)

Committee Member ____________________________  Date: ____________________________

Candidate: __________________________________  Contract Status: __________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Area</th>
<th>Notable Contributions within Performance Areas</th>
<th>Source of evidence</th>
<th>Judgment within Performance Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vita</td>
<td>Competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>notebook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Research/Scholarship
- Teaching
- Service
- Other notes

---

\(^1\) This form is derived from the School of Education document (May 11, 2002), *Promotion, tenure and appointment renewal procedures*.

\(^2\) This form is a worksheet for the use of members of the PTR committee (20xx-20xx) members. No single form with notes represents the position of the entire PTR committee, its deliberations, or its final decisions.
Appendix G. 3  
Educational and Organizational Leadership & Development  
Promotion, Tenure and Review Committee  
Dossier Review Checkout Form  
Fall, 20xx

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TPRR Committee Members→</th>
<th>Date out</th>
<th>Date In</th>
<th>Date out</th>
<th>Date In</th>
<th>Date out</th>
<th>Date In</th>
<th>Date out</th>
<th>Date In</th>
<th>Date out</th>
<th>Date In</th>
<th>Date out</th>
<th>Date In</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notebooks to Review ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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MEMORANDUM

TO: The Deans and All Faculty

FROM: Doris R. Helms, Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost

DATE: August 12, 2004

SUBJECT: Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion and Post Tenure Review

This memorandum serves two purposes: first to notify you regarding Tenure and Promotion and Post Tenure Review dates and second to clearly define the format for T&P and PTR portfolios. Dates when T &P and PTR portfolios are due in the Office of the Provost for review and dates when faculty should expect to receive an outcome are defined below.

November 15, 2004 Non-Renewal letters for regular 9 month faculty are due to faculty six months in advance of the appointment's expiration if in the second year of service (second year of service being August 15, 2003-May 16, 2004) Do Not miss this deadline.

December 29, 2004 Non-Renewal letters for regular 12 month faculty are due to faculty six months in advance of the appointment's expiration if in the second year of service (second year of service being July 1, 2003 -June 30, 2004) Do Not miss this deadline.

February 11, 2005 Non-Renewal Letters for regular 9 month faculty are due to faculty three months in advance of the appointment's expiration if in the first year of service (first year of service being August 15, 2003-May 16, 2004). Do not miss this deadline.

February 16, 2005 Tenure and Promotion recommendations are due in the Provost's Office. According to the Faculty Manual: "should notice of the denial of tenure not be given in advance of the expiration of the final probationary appointment, tenure shall become automatic at the end of the probationary period. n Therefore, it is imperative that the department chairs and school Dean monitor all non-tenured faculty members who are in tenure-track positions and be keenly aware of the penultimate year for each. Automatic tenure is not an acceptable option, so please be especially vigilant. (Please do not miss this date)
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February 28, 2005  Courtesy Non-Renewal letters are due faculty with special faculty rank with the exception of lecturers (in regular appointments) who after 4 or more years of continuous employment, require 12 months notice.

March 29, 2005  Non-Renewal Letters on Regular 12 month faculty are due to faculty three months in advance of the appointment's expiration if in the first year of service (first year of service being July 1, 2003-June 30,2004). Do Not miss this deadline.

April 15, 2005  Letters reporting the outcome of Promotion and Tenure, as approved by the Provost and the President, are due the Faculty Member.

May 16, 2005  Non-Tenured regular faculty after two or more years of service (including those in the penultimate year who were not granted tenure) are due to receive terminal year non renewal letters (termination letters are due to faculty having completed two or more years of service at least twelve months before the expiration of an appointment) terminal year non renewal letters serve to notify the faculty member of the expectations of the terminal year of employment. Do not miss this deadline for terminal letters of reappointment. (For twelve month faculty and for regular lectures with 4 or more years of continuous service, the letter must be in the faculty members hands no later than June 30, 2005)

May 17, 2005  Post-Tenure Review files that contain one or more negative votes from the PTR Committee, Chair and/or Dean, are due in the Provost's Office. NOTE: The Faculty Manual states that all tenured faculty will be peer reviewed every six years. Therefore the PTR Portfolio shall come forth through the college in the beginning of the Faculty Members 6th year after being tenured or having completed a Post Tenure Review cycle. Please keep in mind that the year or years in which a faculty member is on sabbatical, unpaid leave, and/or extended sick leave shall not be counted in the review period. Those Faculty who have elected to TERI are NOT excluded from the PTR process or remediation unless they sign a binding letter of intent to retire by August 15 of the same academic year during which the review would occur.

May 17, 2005  Post Tenure Review Remediation: Copies of the Chair and PTR Committees' written evaluations are due in the Office of the Provost for individuals receiving an unsatisfactory PTR review.

August 16, 2005  Post-Tenure Review letters are due to faculty members via distribution through the Dean's Office.
September 1, 2005  Remediation plans for faculty receiving unsatisfactory PTR reviews are due in the Office of the Provost.

The second purpose is to ensure that the portfolios for those candidates being considered either for Tenure and Promotion or Post Tenure Review during A Y 2003-2004 contain the needed information, are comprehensive, are presented in the most favorable light, and are consistent across the entire campus community. This memorandum is not intended to supplant the guidelines established by your college for compiling your portfolio, but to supplement them. If you are being considered either for Tenure and Promotion or Post Tenure Review during A Y 2003-2004, you are respectfully requested to adhere to the format defined on the following pages with appropriate tabbed dividers.

Tenure and Promotion Review Executive Summary Notebook (TPR)

The TPR Executive Summary Notebook provides documented evidence for review for those being considered for tenure and/or promotion. The TPR Executive Summary Notebook (3-ring, 2-inch) supplied by the faculty member, TPR Committee, and department chair (for dean's review, Dean's Advisory Committees, and Provost's review) shall include the following and shall be presented in the following format, tabbed accordingly:

➤ Tab 1: Letter Requesting Action - Letter requesting tenure, promotion and/or reappointment.
➤ Tab 2: Request for Faculty Personnel Action - Request for Faculty Personnel Action Form (Routing Slip) (Attachment G) and, if appropriate, Granting of Tenure Form (Attachment H).
➤ Tab 3: TPR Committee's Letter of Recommendation - Letter of recommendation from the TPR Committee. The TPR Peer Review Committee should also insert in reverse chronological order copies of all prior year TPR Peer Review Committee letters of recommendation following the current year letter.
➤ Tab 4: Department Chair's Letter of Recommendation - Letter of recommendation from the department chair. The department chair should also insert in reverse chronological order copies of all prior year chair letters of recommendation following the current year letter.
➤ Tab 5: Dean's Letter of Recommendation - Letter of recommendation from the dean. The dean should also insert in reverse chronological order copies of all prior year dean letters of recommendation following the current year letter.
➤ Tab 6: Standard College Resume - Updated detailed resume according to standard college format.
➤ Tab 7: Top Achievements - Candidate's personal summary of his/her top achievements pertinent to the TPR decision (3 pages maximum).
➤ Tab 8: Candidate's Teaching Statement - Candidate's statement on teaching including philosophy, methodology, materials developed, effectiveness, challenges, etc. (3 pages maximum).
Tab 9: Student Feedback Forms - Originals of teaching effectiveness evaluation forms submitted by all students for all courses taught during the preceding two regular semesters. Summary instructor statistics and comparisons with department and college averages are to be included.

Tab 10: Other Evidence of Teaching Effectiveness - Any evidence of teaching effectiveness such as senior exit surveys, alumni surveys, in-class peer visitation reports, etc.

Tab 11: Research/Scholarship Activities - Research and scholarship activities not addressed in the standard college resume such as literature citations, patents, awards, research proposals, etc.

Tab 12: Service Activity - Service activity not addressed in the standard college resume. May be documented in letters of recommendation from TPR Committee, department chair, and dean.

Tab 13: Faculty Evaluation Form 3 - Copies of Faculty Evaluation Form 3 for last three years in reverse chronological order. Also included is a spreadsheet of annual evaluation ratings for the past three years including an indication of how the candidate's evaluations compare with other faculty in his/her unit. The department chair inserts these documents into the portfolio.

Tab 14: Goals Statement - Statement of short (1-year) and long-term (5-years) goals.

Tab 15: Administrative Duties - Description of administrative duties, if appropriate.

Tab 16: External Evaluator Listing - Candidate's list of suggested external evaluators including contact information.

Tab 17: External Evaluator Letters of Reference - TPR Committee inserts all external letters of reference from the candidates list and 3 that are independently provided by the TPR Committee) and tenure (tenure will require at least five external reviews, with a minimum of 2 taken from the candidates list and a minimum of two that are independently provided by the TPR Committee) considerations.

Tab 18: Supplementary Information - Any clarifying statements or additional information (supplementary to the standard college resume) that a tenure, promotion, or reappointment candidate wishes to have included in the TPR portfolio (5 pages maximum; optional).

Tab 19: Joint Appointment Agreements - A copy of any joint appointment agreement, if applicable (Attachment J).

Tab 20: Employment Offer Letter - A copy of the original letter of offer of employment is inserted by the dean's office.

Tab 21: Tenure Agreement Form - A copy of the signed tenure agreement form is inserted by the dean's office (Attachment 1).

Tab 22: Promotion Letters - A copy of all promotion letters, as applicable, is inserted by the dean's office.

Tab 23: Department TPR Guidelines - A copy of the department's TPR guidelines is inserted by the department chair/Dean of school.

Tab 24: College TPR Guidelines - A copy of the college's TPR guidelines is inserted by the dean's office.
The Faculty Manual (August 2002 Web Edition [http://www.clemson.edu/facman]) V.H.8, page 23 states with reference to Post Tenure Review, "Promotion will be counted as post-tenure review at any time within the 6-year cycle. If a faculty member desires to be considered for promotion in his/her 6th year in the (PTR) cycle (or by the departmental bylaws established to identify colleagues during the first six years), s/he must also be considered for post-tenure review in the same academic year."

**A candidate being considered for Tenure and Promotion review (TPR) and Post Tenure Review (PTR) simultaneously will need to include five additional pieces of information in the Tenure and Promotion Review Executive Summary Notebook (TPR) as defined below.**

(a) "Two additional years of student evaluations;
(b) two additional years of evaluation Form 3's;
(c) a plan for continued professional growth;
(d) detailed information about any sabbatical outcomes; and
(e) any additional materials deemed necessary for PTR (Post Tenure Review) by departmental bylaws.

*The time clock for PTR is reset at this time.*

"If the applicant is promoted, then the PTR outcome is automatically considered 'satisfactory.' The time clock for PTR is reset at this time."

"If the individual being considered for promotion is not promoted, s/he will be required to undergo PTR at the time normally assigned or during the 6th year after the last PTR."

**Post Tenure review Executive Summary Notebook (PTR)**

The PTR Executive Summary Notebook provides documented evidence for review for those undergoing post tenure review. The PTR Executive Summary Notebook supplied by the faculty member, PTR Committee, and department chair or school director, (for dean's review and Provost's review) shall include the following and shall be presented in the following format, tabbed accordingly:

- **Tab 1:** Dean's Recommendation - Request for Post-Tenure Review Personnel Action Form - Dean (Attachment L)
- **Tab 2:** Chair's/Deans Recommendation - Request for Post-Tenure Review Personnel Action Form - Department Chair/Dean of School of Education (Attachment M). Report from Department Chair/Dean of School of Education and faculty member's response, if any.
  
  NOTE: The letter of recommendation shall include a commentary on the Faculty Member's significant accomplishments during the review period.

- **Tab 3:** PTR Committee's Recommendation - Request for Post-Tenure Review Action Form PTR Committee (Attachment N). Report from PTR Committee and faculty member's response, if any.
NOTE: The letter of recommendation shall include a short summary of the Faculty Member's significant accomplishments during the review period.

- Tab 4: Granting of Tenure Form - Copy of filed form. (Attachment H or I, whichever is appropriate).
- Tab 6: Standard College Resume - Updated detailed resume according to standard college format.
- Tab 7: Student Evaluation of Teaching - Summary statistical sheets for "Student Evaluation of Instructors" for past five years in reverse chronological order.
- Tab 8: Plan for Professional Growth - Plan for continued professional growth.
- Tab 9: Sabbatical Leave Outcomes - Detailed information about outcomes of any sabbatical leave awarded during the six-year post-tenure review period.
- Tab 10: Additional Letters of Support
- Tab 11: Other Documents - Additional documents relative to the review. This could include any additional evidence of teaching effectiveness, research impacts, or service activity.

Find enclosed copies of forms noted above as Attachment G, H, L, M and N. Should you have any questions on the foregoing, please contact Brenda J. Smith at 656-3940 or email BJS@CLEMSON.EDU

DRH/bjs

c: President Barker
   Dr. John Kelly
   File

Attachments: G, H, L, M and N